

A CUBICAL APPROACH TO STRAIGHTENING

KRZYSZTOF KAPULKIN AND VLADIMIR VOEVODSKY

ABSTRACT. For a suitable choice of the cube category, we construct a Grothendieck topology on it such that sheaves with respect to this topology are exactly simplicial sets (thus establishing simplicial sets as a reflective subcategory of cubical sets). We then extend the construction of the homotopy coherent nerve to cubical categories and establish an analogue of Lurie’s straightening–unstraightening construction.

INTRODUCTION

Cubical sets provide a well-studied combinatorial model for spaces. They were considered by Kan [Kan55, Kan56] before the introduction of simplicial sets. However, while there is only one category of simplicial sets, there are several different categories of cubical sets, depending on the choice of morphisms in the indexing category \square .

In each case, the objects of \square are posets of the form $[1]^n = \{0 \leq 1\}^n$, but different authors consider different choices of maps. The minimalistic choice (considered for example by Jardine [Jar06]) would be to take the smallest category generated by the *face* and *degeneracy* maps. This category is for instance a test category, but its cartesian product is not homotopically well-behaved (e.g. the cartesian product of the interval with itself has the homotopy type of $S^1 \vee S^2$), which is somewhat unsatisfying. Other authors extend the category \square to include also connections [Mal09, Cis06], which fixes some of the problems with the cartesian product and makes \square into a *strict* test category.

In this paper, we consider a new category of combinatorial cubes, taking \square to be the *full* subcategory of \mathbf{Cat} with those objects. Until now, this category has not been used in homotopy theory and has only been considered in dependent type theory to give a constructive interpretation of the Univalence Axiom [CCHM15].¹ Many of the standard methods from simplicial homotopy theory are not available in this setting, for instance, the Eilenberg–Zilber Lemma (asserting that every simplex is a degeneracy of a unique non-degenerate one in a unique way, see e.g. [JT08, Prop. 1.2.2]). Our category \square is also not a (generalized) Reedy category.

We show however that this category can be used to gain a better understanding of several constructions in higher category theory and simplicial homotopy theory.

In Section 1, we introduce cubical sets and cubical categories. Our first observation is that cubical sets are more general than simplicial sets. To make this statement precise, we equip the cube category with a Grothendieck topology and show that the sheaves for this topology are precisely simplicial sets. Thus we obtain a full embedding $\mathbf{sSet} \hookrightarrow \mathbf{cSet}$ of the category of simplicial sets into the category of cubical sets. The corresponding sheafification functor “triangulates” a cubical set into a simplicial set.

In Section 2, we generalize the construction of the homotopy coherent nerve from the category of simplicial categories to that of cubical categories. Precisely, we define a functor $N_{\square}: \mathbf{cCat} \rightarrow \mathbf{sSet}$ (here, \mathbf{cCat} denotes the category of categories enriched over cubical sets) and show that the

Date: September 15, 2020.

¹In fact, [CCHM15] works with yet another variation on the notion of a cubical set, although our cube category is perfectly sufficient for all of their applications.

homotopy coherent nerve functor N_Δ is then the composite $\mathbf{sCat} \hookrightarrow \mathbf{cCat} \rightarrow \mathbf{sSet}$ of the inclusion $\mathbf{sCat} \hookrightarrow \mathbf{cCat}$, induced by $\mathbf{sSet} \hookrightarrow \mathbf{cSet}$, with N_\square .

We moreover show that if the cubical category is locally Kan, then the resulting simplicial set is a quasicategory, which mirrors an analogous result for the homotopy coherent nerve.

In Section 3, we give a functorial construction taking a map $F: S \rightarrow N_\square \mathbf{cSet}$ of simplicial sets to a simplicial map $\int_S F \rightarrow S$. This is analogous to the *category of elements* of F , although $\int_S F$ does not need to be a (nerve of a) category. Finally, we prove that \int_S is a right adjoint by explicitly constructing its left adjoint and show that by passing between simplicial and cubical categories, this adjunction recovers Lurie’s (straightening \dashv unstraightening)-adjunction.

We note that the results of Sections 2 and 3 hold also for more restrictive choices of the category, e.g., the one of [Mal09]. Indeed, since this paper was first made available in 2018, its results were used to show [KLW19] that the unstraightening-over-the-point functor in the sense of Section 3 below defines a coreflection of cubical sets with connections (as studied by Maltsiniotis) onto simplicial sets.

The results of this paper were obtained jointly by the authors. Vladimir Voevodsky passed away during the preparation of this manuscript, and the final version was prepared for publication by Kapulkin with permission from Daniel Grayson, the academic executor of Voevodsky’s estate.

1. THE CATEGORY OF CUBICAL SETS

Let \square denote the full subcategory of the category \mathbf{Cat} of small categories (or \mathbf{Pos} of small posets) whose objects are posets of the form $[1]^n$, where $[1] = \{0 \leq 1\}$. Depending on the context, we represent the elements of $[1]^n$ as either subsets of the set $\{1, \dots, n\}$ or binary sequences (x_1, \dots, x_n) . We refer to \square as the *cube category*. The category \mathbf{cSet} of *cubical sets* is the category of contravariant functors $\square^{\text{op}} \rightarrow \mathbf{Set}$ and natural transformations.

We will write \square^n for the standard n -cube, that is, the representable cubical set, represented by $[1]^n$. For each $i = 1, \dots, n$ and $\varepsilon = 0, 1$, we write $\partial_{i,\varepsilon}: \square^{n-1} \rightarrow \square^n$ for the image of the (i, ε) -face map $(x_1, \dots, x_{n-1}) \mapsto (x_1, \dots, x_{i-1}, \varepsilon, x_i, \dots, x_n)$ under the Yoneda embedding. Similarly, for each $i = 1, \dots, n$, we write $\sigma_i: \square[1]^n \rightarrow \square[1]^{n-1}$ for the image of the *degeneracy* map $(x_1, \dots, x_n) \mapsto (x_1, \dots, x_{i-1}, x_{i+1}, \dots, x_n)$ under the Yoneda embedding. The action of these cubical operators is written on the right, e.g., for an n -cube $x: \square^n \rightarrow X$, we write $x\partial_{i,\varepsilon}$ for its (i, ε) -face.

As the category \mathbf{cSet} is a topos, we can speak about images of maps, as well as unions and intersections of subobjects. By a face of a cube, we understand the image of one of the $\partial_{i,\varepsilon}$ ’s. We define the *boundary* $\partial\square^n$ of the n -cube \square^n as the union of all of its faces, and similarly, the (i, ε) -*open box* $\square_{i,\varepsilon}^n$ as the union of all the faces except the one in the image of $\partial_{i,\varepsilon}$.

Definition 1.1.

- (1) A cubical set X is a (cubical) *Kan complex* if for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $i = 1, \dots, n$, and $\varepsilon = 0, 1$, and any map $\square_{i,\varepsilon}^n \rightarrow X$, there exists an extension

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \square_{i,\varepsilon}^n & \longrightarrow & X \\ \downarrow & \nearrow & \\ \square^n & & \end{array}$$

- (2) A cubical set X is a *universal Kan complex* if for any cubical set K , the exponential X^K is a Kan complex.

Taking $K = \square[0]$, we see that every universal Kan complex is also a Kan complex. The converse however is not true. Indeed, this result is usually proven, e.g., for simplicial sets or some categories of cubical sets, using induction on skeleta, a technique not available outside of the Reedy setting. Specific examples of failure of general Kan complexes to be stable under taking exponentials were constructed by C. Sattler, but these constructions tend to be technically involved and go beyond the scope of the present paper.

Moreover, as we shall see in Lemma 1.5, many of the interesting Kan complexes are indeed universal. And as indicated above the category of universal Kan complexes has better categorical properties, e.g., being cartesian closed, than the category of (all) Kan complexes. We write \mathbf{Kan} for the full subcategory of \mathbf{cSet} whose objects are universal Kan complexes.

Remark 1.2. Using [Mal05, Ex. 1.5.9 and 1.6.11], the category \square is easily seen to be a strict test category and thus \mathbf{cSet} carries a model structure, in which cofibrations are monomorphisms. However, not every fibrant object in this model structure is a cubical Kan complex and thus this model structure is not helpful from our point of view.

Our next goal is to establish a topology J on \square such that the category of sheaves $\mathbf{Sh}(\square, J)$ is equivalent to the category \mathbf{sSet} of simplicial sets. We will obtain it from a more general construction.

Let \mathcal{C} be a small category and consider the topology J_{jef} on the presheaf category $\mathbf{PrSh}(\mathcal{C})$, given by jointly epimorphic families. Let $u: \mathcal{T} \hookrightarrow \mathbf{PrSh}(\mathcal{C})$ be a full subcategory with the property that every representable $\hat{c} \in \mathbf{PrSh}(\mathcal{C})$ admits a cover by the objects from \mathcal{T} . Considering \mathcal{T} as a site with the topology u^*J_{jef} induced by u from J_{jef} (i.e., the topology in which a sieve $R \subseteq \mathcal{T}(-, t)$ is *covering* if and only if for any $f: t' \rightarrow t$, the sieve $u(f^*R) \subseteq \mathbf{PrSh}(\mathcal{C})(-, ut')$ is covering), we obtain a composite map:

$$\mathbf{PrSh}(\mathcal{C}) \hookrightarrow \mathbf{Sh}(\mathbf{PrSh}(\mathcal{C}), J_{\text{jef}}) \xrightarrow{u^*} \mathbf{Sh}(\mathcal{T}, u^*J_{\text{jef}})$$

where the first map is the Yoneda embedding, and the second map is given by precomposition with u .

Lemma 1.3. *The map $\mathbf{PrSh}(\mathcal{C}) \rightarrow \mathbf{Sh}(\mathcal{T}, J_{\text{jef}}|\mathcal{T})$ above is an equivalence of categories.*

Proof. By [MR77, Prop. 1.3.14], the inclusion $\mathbf{PrSh}(\mathcal{C}) \hookrightarrow \mathbf{Sh}(\mathbf{PrSh}(\mathcal{C}), J_{\text{jef}})$ is an equivalence of categories. The inclusion of sites $u: (\mathcal{T}, u^*J_{\text{jef}}) \hookrightarrow (\mathbf{PrSh}(\mathcal{C}), J_{\text{jef}})$ satisfies the assumptions of the Lemme de Comparaison [AGV71, Thm. 4.1], and thus u^* gives an equivalence $\mathbf{Sh}(\mathbf{PrSh}(\mathcal{C}), J_{\text{jef}}) \xrightarrow{u^*} \mathbf{Sh}(\mathcal{T}, u^*J_{\text{jef}})$. \square

Note that the construction of the composite map $\mathbf{PrSh}(\mathcal{C}) \rightarrow \mathbf{Sh}(\mathcal{T}, u^*J_{\text{jef}})$ a priori depends on the choice of a universe, since the category $\mathbf{Sh}(\mathbf{PrSh}(\mathcal{C}), J_{\text{jef}})$ is a category of sheaves on a large category. However, the composite map itself does not depend on such a choice, as it can be written explicitly as $X \mapsto \mathcal{T}(u(-), X)$. Similarly, Lemma 1.3 is a statement about this specific map and hence its truth value is independent of the choice of a universe.

Let $N: \mathbf{Cat} \rightarrow \mathbf{sSet}$ be the *nerve functor*, taking a category \mathcal{C} to a simplicial set $N\mathcal{C}$ whose n -simplices are given by $(N\mathcal{C})_n = \mathbf{Cat}([n], \mathcal{C})$. This functor is full and faithful.

Theorem 1.4. *The category $\mathcal{C} = \Delta$ and the inclusion $u: \square \hookrightarrow \mathbf{sSet}$ given by the restriction of the nerve functor satisfy the assumptions of Lemma 1.3, thus yielding an equivalence $\mathbf{sSet} \simeq \mathbf{Sh}(\square, J)$, where J is the topology induced by the restriction of the nerve functor from the topology given by jointly epimorphic families on \mathbf{sSet} .*

Proof. The symmetric group Σ_n acts on $\Delta[1]^n \cong N([1]^n)$ by permuting the factors and the standard n -simplex is the quotient of $\Delta[1]^n$ by this action. \square

Denote the inclusion $\mathbf{sSet} \simeq \mathbf{Sh}(\square, J) \hookrightarrow \mathbf{cSet}$ by U . By construction, we obtain that for a simplicial set X , UX is a cubical set whose n -cubes are given by:

$$U(X)_n = \mathbf{sSet}(\Delta[1]^n, X).$$

The sheafification functor $T: \mathbf{cSet} \rightarrow \mathbf{sSet}$ is given by triangulation, that is, the left Kan extension of the inclusion $u: \square \hookrightarrow \mathbf{sSet}$ along the Yoneda embedding:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} & T & \\ \mathbf{cSet} & \begin{array}{c} \xrightarrow{\quad} \\ \perp \\ \xleftarrow{\quad} \end{array} & \mathbf{sSet} \\ & U & \\ & \square & \end{array}$$

It follows that U is full and faithful, the counit map $TU \rightarrow \text{id}_{\mathbf{sSet}}$ is an isomorphism, and T , as associated sheaf functor, preserves finite limits.

Lemma 1.5. *The functor $U: \mathbf{sSet} \rightarrow \mathbf{cSet}$ takes (simplicial) Kan complexes to universal Kan complexes.*

Proof. Let X be a simplicial Kan complex, K a cubical set and consider a lifting problem:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \square_{i,\varepsilon}^n & \longrightarrow & (UX)^K \\ \downarrow & \dashrightarrow & \\ \square^n & & \end{array}$$

Since T preserves finite limits, a filler for the open box $\square_{i,\varepsilon}^n \rightarrow (UX)^K$ corresponds, by adjointness, to a lift in:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} TK \times T\square_{i,\varepsilon}^n & \longrightarrow & X \\ \downarrow & \dashrightarrow & \\ TK \times T\square^n & & \end{array}$$

Since T preserves monomorphisms, the map $T\square_{i,\varepsilon}^n \rightarrow T\square^n = \Delta[1]^n$ is a cofibration. It is moreover a weak equivalence, because both simplicial sets are contractible. Thus it is anodyne and hence the desired lift exists. \square

Remark 1.6. There are $n!$ inclusions of the n -simplex $\Delta[n]$ into the simplicial n -cube $\Delta[1]^n$ (canonically indexed by the symmetric group Σ_n). These inclusions are jointly epimorphic, and it follows that the topology on \square is generated by the families $\{\bar{\sigma}: \square^n \rightarrow \square^n\}_{\sigma \in \Sigma_n}$, where $\bar{\sigma}$ is a map whose image under T factors through the inclusion $\Delta[n] \hookrightarrow \Delta[1]^n$ corresponding to σ .

Note however that the inclusions $\{U\Delta[n] \hookrightarrow \square^n\}_{\Sigma_n}$ are not jointly epimorphic in \mathbf{cSet} , since a surjection with a representable codomain must necessarily admit a section.

We will write \mathbf{cCat} for the category of cubical categories (i.e. categories enriched over the cartesian monoidal category \mathbf{cSet}) and cubical functors. Similarly, we will write \mathbf{sCat} for the category of simplicial categories (categories enriched over the cartesian monoidal category \mathbf{sSet}) and simplicial functors.

Given a simplicially/cubically enriched category \mathcal{C} and two objects $x, y \in \mathcal{C}$, we will write $\text{Map}_{\mathcal{C}}(x, y)$ for the mapping simplicial/cubical set. The subscript \mathcal{C} will be omitted whenever no ambiguity is possible.

Let \mathcal{C} be a cubical category and $x, y \in \mathcal{C}$ two objects. We will write $f: x \rightarrow y$ to mean that $f \in \text{Map}_{\mathcal{C}}(x, y)_0$. Given $f, g: x \rightarrow y$, we write $H: f \rightarrow g$ for $H \in \text{Map}_{\mathcal{C}}(x, y)_1$ such that $H\partial_{1,0} = f$ and $H\partial_{1,1} = g$. The composition in a cubical category will be denoted with \cdot and will be written in the diagrammatic order. Thus for $f: x \rightarrow y$ and $g: y \rightarrow z$, their composite will be written $f \cdot g$.

Every (1-)category can be regarded as a cubical category with discrete mapping cubical sets, which defines an inclusion $\text{Cat} \hookrightarrow \text{cCat}$.

Since both T and U preserve finite products, the adjunction $T: \text{cSet} \rightleftarrows \text{sSet} : U$ gives rise to

$$\text{cCat} \begin{array}{c} \xleftarrow{T \bullet} \\ \perp \\ \xrightarrow{U \bullet} \end{array} \text{sCat}.$$

where $T_{\bullet}\mathcal{C}$ (respectively, $U_{\bullet}\mathcal{C}$) has the same objects as \mathcal{C} and the mapping objects are obtained by applying T (resp. U) to those of \mathcal{C} .

We conclude this section with a discussion of homotopies and (homotopy) equivalences in cubical categories. Since the mapping spaces $\text{Map}_{\mathcal{C}}(x, y)$ may not be Kan complexes, we need to consider the notion of a zig-zag (cf. [GZ67, Sec. II.2.5.1 and IV.1.1.1]) in order to make homotopy an equivalence relation.

An abstract zig-zag is a cubical set of the form $\square^1 +_{\square^0} \dots +_{\square^0} \square^1$ with the property that if some \square^1 receives two maps from \square^0 in the above colimit, then these maps must be different (and necessarily be $\partial_{1,0}, \partial_{1,1}: \square^0 \rightarrow \square^1$). A zig-zag in a cubical set X is a cubical map from an abstract zig-zag to X .

Definition 1.7.

- (1) An *elementary homotopy* between two maps $f, g: x \rightarrow y$ in a cubical category \mathcal{C} is $H: f \rightarrow g$ (i.e. a 1-cube $H \in \text{Map}_{\mathcal{C}}(x, y)_1$ with $H\partial_{1,0} = f$ and $H\partial_{1,1} = g$). We write $H: f \sim_1 g$ to indicate that H is an elementary homotopy from f to g .
- (2) A *homotopy* between two maps $f, g: x \rightarrow y$ in a cubical category \mathcal{C} is a zig-zag of elementary homotopies from f to g . We write $H: f \sim g$ to indicate that H is a homotopy from f to g .
- (3) A morphism $f: x \rightarrow y$ in a cubical category \mathcal{C} is an *equivalence* if there exist maps $g_1, g_2: y \rightarrow x$ and homotopies $H_1: f \cdot g_1 \sim \text{id}_x$ and $H_2: g_2 \cdot f \sim \text{id}_y$.

Lemma 1.8. *Homotopy defines an equivalence relation on $\text{Map}_{\mathcal{C}}(x, y)$.*

Proof. For reflexivity, we take $f\sigma_1: f \sim f$. Symmetry is immediate since zig-zags are symmetric. Finally, we can compose zig-zags by taking the appropriate pushout along \square^0 . \square

Lemma 1.9. *In every cubical category \mathcal{C} , the class of equivalences is closed under composition and every identity is an equivalence.*

Proof. To see that identities are homotopy equivalences, take $g_1 = g_2 = \text{id}$ and use reflexivity of homotopy. Now, suppose that $x \xrightarrow{f} y \xrightarrow{f'} z$ are both homotopy equivalences with inverses $g_1, g_2: y \rightarrow x$ and $g'_1, g'_2: z \rightarrow y$. Suppose we wish to show that $g'_1 \cdot g_1$ is a one-sided inverse of $f \cdot f'$. Let $H_1: f \cdot g_1 \sim \text{id}_x$ and $H'_1: f' \cdot g'_1 \sim \text{id}_y$. Then $f^*(g_1)_*H'_1$ is a homotopy $f \cdot f' \cdot g'_1 \cdot g_1 \sim f \cdot g_1$, so composing it with H_1 gives the desired homotopy $f \cdot f' \cdot g'_1 \cdot g_1 \sim \text{id}_x$. Similarly, one verifies that $g'_2 \cdot g_2$ is an inverse of $f \cdot f'$ on the other side. \square

Definition 1.10. A cubical category \mathcal{C} is *locally Kan* if for every pair $x, y \in \text{Ob } \mathcal{C}$, the cubical set $\text{Map}_{\mathcal{C}}(x, y)$ is a (cubical) Kan complex.

We note that we do not require here that $\text{Map}_{\mathcal{C}}(x, y)$ is a *universal* Kan complex, as this notion is sufficient for our results, e.g., Theorem 2.6.

Examples 1.11.

- (1) The full cubical subcategory Kan (with $\text{Map}_{\text{Kan}}(X, Y) = Y^X$) of cSet spanned by the universal Kan complexes is locally Kan.
- (2) By Lemma 1.5, $\text{U}_{\bullet}\mathcal{C}$ is a locally Kan cubical category for any locally Kan simplicial category \mathcal{C} .
- (3) The cubical categories arising via the inclusion $\text{Cat} \hookrightarrow \text{cCat}$ are locally Kan since every discrete cubical set is Kan.

Proposition 1.12. *Let \mathcal{C} be a cubical category and $x, y \in \mathcal{C}$ two objects such that $\text{Map}_{\mathcal{C}}(x, y)$ is a cubical Kan complex. Then two maps $f, g: x \rightarrow y$ are homotopic if and only if they are elementary homotopic (i.e. $\sim = \sim_1$) and hence elementary homotopy is an equivalence relation on $\text{Map}_{\mathcal{C}}(x, y)_0$.*

Proof. It suffices to show that every homotopy of the form $\square^1 +_{\square^0} \square^1 \rightarrow \text{Map}_{\mathcal{C}}(x, y)$ can be replaced by an elementary homotopy. This follows by considering lifting of different $\square_{i,\varepsilon}^1 \hookrightarrow \square^2$. \square

2. THE NERVE OF A CUBICAL CATEGORY

The goal of this section is to give a construction of the sSet -valued functor $N_{\square}: \text{cCat} \rightarrow \text{sSet}$ taking the *coherent nerve* of a cubical category, the analog of the homotopy coherent nerve of simplicial categories. This functor will arise from a cosimplicial object $\mathfrak{C}: \Delta \rightarrow \text{cCat}$ in the category of cubical categories. We will prove that if all mapping cubical sets of a cubical category \mathcal{C} are Kan complexes, then the resulting simplicial set is a quasicategory. If in addition all maps of \mathcal{C} are equivalences, then $N_{\square}\mathcal{C}$ is a Kan complex.

For $n \in \mathbb{N}$, we define a cubical category $\mathfrak{C}[n]$ as follows:

- the objects are $0, 1, \dots, n$;
- given $i, j \in \{0, 1, \dots, n\}$, we define:

$$\text{Map}(i, j) := \square^{j-i-1},$$

where we assume \square^{-1} is a singleton and $\square^k = \emptyset$ for $k \leq -2$; (For exposition reasons, we will slightly abuse notation writing $[1]^{\{i+1, \dots, j-1\}}$ for $\text{Map}_{\mathfrak{C}[n]}(i, j)$ throughout the definition of \mathfrak{C} , thus omitting the Yoneda embedding and identifying the set $\{i+1, \dots, j-1\}$ with its cardinality.)

- the identity morphism is given by the unique element of \square^{-1} ;
- the composition operation $\cdot: \text{Map}(i, j) \times \text{Map}(j, k) \rightarrow \text{Map}(i, k)$ is given by:

$$(x_{i+1}, \dots, x_{j-1}) \cdot (y_{j+1}, \dots, y_{k-1}) = (x_{i+1}, \dots, x_{j-1}, 1, y_{j+1}, \dots, y_{k-1}).$$

One then easily verifies the axioms of an enriched category; for instance, for associativity, we have:

$$((x \cdot y) \cdot z) = ((x, 1, y) \cdot z) = (x, 1, y, 1, z) = (x \cdot (y, 1, z)) = (x \cdot (y \cdot z)).$$

Remark 2.1. The category $\mathfrak{C}[n]$ is obtained by freely adding identity morphisms to a cubical non-unital category with the same objects where $\text{Map}(i, i) = \emptyset$.

Given a simplicial operator $\varphi: [m] \rightarrow [n]$, we define $\varphi_*: \mathfrak{C}[m] \rightarrow \mathfrak{C}[n]$ as follows:

- on objects $\varphi_*(i) = \varphi(i)$;

- for $i, j \in \{0, 1, \dots, m\}$, we have the induced map

$$\varphi_*: [1]^{\{i+1, \dots, j-1\}} \rightarrow [1]^{\{\varphi(i)+1, \dots, \varphi(j)-1\}}$$

taking a sequence $(x_{i+1}, \dots, x_{j-1})$ to $(\bar{x}_{\varphi(i)+1}, \dots, \bar{x}_{\varphi(j)-1})$ with $\bar{x}_t := \max\{x_s \mid s \in \varphi^{-1}(t)\}$.

Lemma 2.2.

- (1) For a simplicial operator $\varphi: [m] \rightarrow [n]$, the map $\varphi_*: \mathfrak{C}[m] \rightarrow \mathfrak{C}[n]$ is a cubical functor.
- (2) For a composable pair of simplicial operators φ, ψ , we have $(\varphi \circ \psi)_* = \varphi_* \circ \psi_*$ and $(\text{id}_{[m]})_* = \text{id}_{\mathfrak{C}[m]}$ and thus $\mathfrak{C}: \Delta \rightarrow \mathbf{cCat}$ is a functor (a cosimplicial object in \mathbf{cCat}).

Proof. For (1), given composable strings $x = (x_{i+1}, \dots, x_{j-1})$ and $y = (y_{j+1}, \dots, y_{k-1})$, we have:

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi(x) \cdot \varphi(y) &= (\bar{x}_{\varphi(i)+1}, \dots, \bar{x}_{\varphi(j)-1}) \cdot (\bar{y}_{\varphi(j)+1}, \dots, \bar{y}_{\varphi(k)-1}) \\ &= (\bar{x}_{\varphi(i)+1}, \dots, \bar{x}_{\varphi(j)-1}, 1, \bar{y}_{\varphi(j)+1}, \dots, \bar{y}_{\varphi(k)-1}) \\ &= (\bar{x}_{\varphi(i)+1}, \dots, \bar{x}_{\varphi(j)-1}, \bar{x}_{\varphi(j)}, \bar{y}_{\varphi(j)+1}, \dots, \bar{y}_{\varphi(k)-1}) \\ &= \varphi(x \cdot y) \end{aligned}$$

since $\bar{x}_{\varphi(j)} = \max\{x_s \mid s \in \varphi^{-1}(\varphi(j))\} = x_j = 1$.

In (2), it is clear that $(\varphi \circ \psi)_*$ and $\varphi_* \circ \psi_*$ agree on objects. To see that they also agree on mapping cubical sets, we must show that for each v :

$$\max \left\{ \max\{x_s \mid s \in \psi^{-1}(t)\} \mid t \in \varphi^{-1}(v) \right\} = \max\{x_s \mid s \in \psi^{-1}(\varphi^{-1}(v))\}$$

This follows from the fact that the maximum of a finite set can be found by taking a partition of the set, finding the maximum of each element of the partition, and then taking the maximum of those. \square

We define the (cubical) homotopy coherent nerve functor $N_{\square}: \mathbf{cCat} \rightarrow \mathbf{sSet}$ by setting:

$$(N_{\square}\mathfrak{C})_n = \mathbf{cCat}(\mathfrak{C}[n], \mathfrak{C}).$$

The category \mathbf{cCat} of cubical categories possesses all small colimits (as a category of models for an essentially algebraic theory), and hence we may extend $\mathfrak{C}: \Delta \rightarrow \mathbf{cCat}$ (by the left Kan extension along the Yoneda embedding) to a functor on \mathbf{sSet} :

$$\begin{array}{ccc} & \mathfrak{C} & \\ & \rightrightarrows & \\ \mathbf{sSet} & \xleftarrow{\perp} & \mathbf{cCat} \\ & \searrow N_{\square} & \nearrow \mathfrak{C} \\ & \Delta & \end{array}$$

Remark 2.3. Let us point out that in order for \mathfrak{C} to be a cosimplicial object, we need at least face maps, degeneracies, and maximum (a.k.a. negative) connections in \square . In particular, without max-connections we would not be able to define one of the degeneracies $s_1: \mathfrak{C}[3] \rightarrow \mathfrak{C}[2]$. On the other hand, all of our theorems of Sections 2 and 3 are true for more restrictive choices of morphisms in the category \square (as long as they contain the three classes described above).

Examples 2.4.

- (1) If \mathfrak{C} is a category, regarded as a cubical category with discrete mapping spaces, then $N_{\square}\mathfrak{C} \cong \mathbf{NC}$.
- (2) The category \mathbf{cSet} is enriched over itself as a presheaf category and one therefore obtains a simplicial set $N_{\square}\mathbf{cSet}$. This simplicial set will play an important role in our considerations regarding the Grothendieck construction in Section 3.

Let us try to understand the functor $N_{\square}: \mathbf{cCat} \rightarrow \mathbf{sSet}$ by writing explicitly the 0-, 1-, 2-, and 3-simplices of $N_{\square}\mathcal{C}$ for some cubical category \mathcal{C} .

Since $\mathcal{C}[0]$ consists of a single object 0 and a single map id_0 , we have that $(N_{\square}\mathcal{C})_0 = \text{Ob } \mathcal{C}$.

The category $\mathcal{C}[1]$ has two objects: 0 and 1, and $\text{Map}_{\mathcal{C}[1]}(0, 1)_0$ is a single vertex. Thus an element in $(N_{\square}\mathcal{C})_1$ consists of two objects $x_0, x_1 \in \mathcal{C}$, together with a map $f_{01}: x_0 \rightarrow x_1$.

Similarly, an element of $(N_{\square}\mathcal{C})_2$ consists of three objects $x_0, x_1, x_2 \in \text{Ob } \mathcal{C}$, three maps $f_{01}: x_0 \rightarrow x_1$, $f_{12}: x_1 \rightarrow x_2$, and $f_{02}: x_0 \rightarrow x_2$, and a homotopy (that is, a 1-cube in $\text{Map}_{\mathcal{C}}(x_0, x_2)$) $H_{012}: f_{02} \rightarrow f_{01} \cdot f_{12}$.

Remark 2.5. The direction of the homotopy H_{012} *towards the composite* is determined by the fact that $\text{Map}_{\mathcal{C}[n]}(0, 2) = \{\emptyset \subseteq \{1\}\}$. The map f_{02} is then the value assigned to \emptyset and the composite $f_{01} \cdot f_{12}$ is assigned to $\{1\}$.

A 3-simplex in $N_{\square}\mathcal{C}$ consists of the following data:

- four objects $x_0, x_1, x_2, x_3 \in \text{Ob } \mathcal{C}$;
- for each $0 \leq i < j \leq 3$, a 0-cube $f_{ij}: x_i \rightarrow x_j$;
- for each triple $0 \leq i < j < k \leq 3$, a 1-cube $H_{ijk}: f_{ik} \rightarrow f_{ij} \cdot f_{jk}$;
- a 2-cube:

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 f_{03} & \xrightarrow{H_{023}} & f_{02} \cdot f_{23} \\
 \downarrow H_{013} & & \downarrow H_{012} \cdot (f_{23}\sigma_1) \\
 f_{01} \cdot f_{13} & \xrightarrow{(f_{01}\sigma_1) \cdot H_{123}} & f_{01} \cdot f_{12} \cdot f_{23}
 \end{array}$$

Θ_{0123}

where s_1 is the degeneracy operation.

Intuitively, the n -simplices of $N_{\square}\mathcal{C}$ encode the coherence in composing a string of n arrows in \mathcal{C} . To see this, let

$$x_0 \rightarrow x_1 \rightarrow \dots \rightarrow x_n$$

be a composable string in \mathcal{C} . The corresponding n -simplex in $N_{\square}\mathcal{C}$ is an $(n-1)$ -cube whose $2n-2$ faces are accounted for as follows:

- there are $(n+1)$ faces coming from omitting one of the objects $i = 0, 1, \dots, n$ and considering the possible ways of composing all non-adjacent morphisms;
- there are $(n-3)$ faces obtained by choosing $i \in \{2, 3, \dots, n-2\}$ and considering separately the strings $x_0 \rightarrow \dots \rightarrow x_i$ and $x_i \rightarrow \dots \rightarrow x_n$. Thus they are composites of degenerate cells.

In particular, cells of dimension 4 have faces that are composites of degenerate cells of lower dimensions; and 4 is the lowest dimension in which this occurs. In the notation above, one of the faces in a 4-cell has the form:

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
f_{02} \cdot f_{24} & \xrightarrow{H_{012} \cdot (f_{24}\sigma_1)} & f_{01} \cdot f_{12} \cdot f_{24} \\
\downarrow (f_{02}\sigma_1) \cdot H_{234} & & \downarrow (f_{01} \cdot f_{12})\sigma_1 \cdot H_{234} \\
f_{01} \cdot f_{12} \cdot f_{24} & \xrightarrow{H_{012} \cdot (f_{23} \cdot f_{34})\sigma_1} & f_{01} \cdot f_{12} \cdot f_{23} \cdot f_{34}
\end{array}$$

We next turn our attention to the question: when is $N_{\square}\mathcal{C}$ a quasicategory? Recall that a *quasicategory* is a simplicial set X satisfying the inner horn filling condition; that is for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $0 < i < n$, and every map $\Lambda^i[n] \rightarrow X$, there exists a filler

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
\Lambda^i[n] & \longrightarrow & X \\
\downarrow & \nearrow \text{dashed} & \\
\Delta[n] & &
\end{array}$$

We will show that if all mapping cubical sets of \mathcal{C} satisfy the Kan condition, then the simplicial nerve of \mathcal{C} is a quasicategory. In our proof, we will only use half of the Kan conditions, namely existence of fillers for $(i, 0)$ -open boxes, but one can show that if a cubical set X has fillers for $(i, 0)$ -open boxes, then it must also have fillers for $(i, 1)$ -open boxes.

Theorem 2.6. *Let \mathcal{C} be a locally Kan cubical category. Then $N_{\square}\mathcal{C}$ is a quasicategory.*

Before giving the proof in full generality, we check the cases $n = 2$ and $n = 3$.

When $n = 2$, we need to solve the following lifting problem:

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
\Lambda^1[2] & \longrightarrow & N_{\square}\mathcal{C} \\
\downarrow & \nearrow \text{dashed} & \\
\Delta[2] & &
\end{array}$$

By $\mathcal{C} \dashv N_{\square}$, this is equivalent to the lifting problem:

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
\mathcal{C}\Lambda^1[2] & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{C} \\
\downarrow & \nearrow \text{dashed} & \\
\mathcal{C}[2] & &
\end{array}$$

in \mathbf{cCat} .

A map $\mathcal{C}\Lambda^1[2] \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$ corresponds to a choice of three objects $x_0, x_1, x_2 \in \text{Ob } \mathcal{C}$ along with two maps $f_{01}: x_0 \rightarrow x_1$ and $f_{12}: x_1 \rightarrow x_2$. We seek an extension $\mathcal{C}[2] \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$, that is, a map $f_{02}: x_0 \rightarrow x_2$ together with a homotopy $H_{012}: f_{02} \rightarrow f_{01} \cdot f_{12}$. This can be expressed as a lifting problem in the category \mathbf{cSet} as follows:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \square_{1,0}^1 & \longrightarrow & \text{Map}_{\mathcal{C}}(x_0, x_2) \\ \downarrow & \nearrow \text{dashed} & \\ \square^1 & & \end{array}$$

This problem, however, has a solution since \mathcal{C} was assumed to be locally Kan.

In fact, we did not have to use any Kan condition to produce the required lift. Indeed, we could have simply taken $f_{02} := f_{01} \cdot f_{12}$ and $H_{012} := s_1(f_{01} \cdot f_{12})$. This is because \mathcal{C} , as a cubical category, was equipped with composition.

Next, we shall discuss the case $n = 3$ and $i = 1$. The case $i = 2$ is completely analogous and we will comment on it later. As before, the lifting problem:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \Lambda^1[3] & \longrightarrow & N_{\square}\mathcal{C} \\ \downarrow & \nearrow \text{dashed} & \\ \Delta[3] & & \end{array}$$

is, by adjointness, equivalent to:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{C}\Lambda^1[3] & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{C} \\ \downarrow & \nearrow \text{dashed} & \\ \mathcal{C}[3] & & \end{array}$$

Thus, we are given:

- four objects $x_0, x_1, x_2, x_3 \in \text{Ob } \mathcal{C}$;
- for each $0 \leq i < j \leq 3$, a 0-cube $f_{ij}: x_i \rightarrow x_j$;
- three 2-simplices $H_{012}: f_{02} \rightarrow f_{01} \cdot f_{12}$, $H_{013}: f_{03} \rightarrow f_{01} \cdot f_{13}$, and $H_{123}: f_{13} \rightarrow f_{12} \cdot f_{23}$;

and we are seeking $H_{023}: f_{03} \rightarrow f_{02} \cdot f_{23}$ together with $\Theta_{0123} \in \text{Map}_{\mathcal{C}}(x_0, x_3)_2$, i.e. given the solid arrows in the following open box:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} f_{03} & \overset{H_{023}}{\dashrightarrow} & f_{02} \cdot f_{23} \\ \downarrow H_{013} & & \downarrow H_{012} \cdot (f_{23}\sigma_1) \\ f_{01} \cdot f_{13} & \xrightarrow{(f_{01}\sigma_1) \cdot H_{123}} & f_{01} \cdot f_{12} \cdot f_{23} \end{array}$$

we need an extension to a 2-cube. In other words, we need to solve the following lifting problem in $\mathcal{C}\text{Set}$:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \square_{1,0}^2 & \longrightarrow & \text{Map}_{\mathcal{C}}(x_0, x_3) \\ \downarrow & \nearrow \text{dashed} & \\ \square^2 & & \end{array}$$

which has a solution since $\mathcal{M}\text{ap}_{\mathcal{C}}(x_0, x_3)$ is a cubical Kan complex.

The above procedure with the inclusion $\Lambda^2[3] \hookrightarrow \Delta[3]$ yields:

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 f_{03} & \xrightarrow{H_{023}} & f_{02} \cdot f_{23} \\
 \downarrow H_{013} & & \downarrow H_{012} \cdot (f_{23}\sigma_1) \\
 f_{01} \cdot f_{13} & \xrightarrow{(f_{01}\sigma_1) \cdot H_{123}} & f_{01} \cdot f_{12} \cdot f_{23}
 \end{array}$$

that is, we need a lift in:

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 \square_{2,0}^2 & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{M}\text{ap}_{\mathcal{C}}(x_0, x_3) \\
 \downarrow & \nearrow & \\
 \square^2 & &
 \end{array}$$

which exists since $\mathcal{M}\text{ap}_{\mathcal{C}}(x_0, x_3)$ is Kan.

We can now give the proof in the general case.

Proof of Theorem 2.6. By adjointness $\mathfrak{C} \dashv N_{\square}$, we need to solve a family of lifting problems:

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 \mathfrak{C}\Lambda^i[n] & \longrightarrow & \mathfrak{C} \\
 \downarrow & \nearrow & \\
 \mathfrak{C}[n] & &
 \end{array}$$

where $n \geq 2$ and $i = 1, 2, \dots, n-1$.

For each such n and i , we note that $\mathfrak{C}\Lambda^i[n]$ has the same vertices as $\mathfrak{C}[n]$ and the mapping spaces of $\mathfrak{C}\Lambda^i[n]$ and $\mathfrak{C}[n]$ agree for all objects, except for $\mathfrak{C}\Lambda^i[n](0, n)$, which is a proper subobject of $\mathfrak{C}[n](0, n)$. Indeed, by cocontinuity of \mathfrak{C} , the former is obtained from the latter by removing the interior and the $(i, 0)$ -face from the non-degenerate $(n-1)$ -cube in $\mathfrak{C}[n](0, n)$.

Thus writing x_0 and x_n for the value of the horizontal map on 0 and n , respectively, this lifting problem can be in turn reduced to:

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 \square_{i,0}^{n-1} & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{M}\text{ap}_{\mathcal{C}}(x_0, x_n) \\
 \downarrow & \nearrow & \\
 \square^{n-1} & &
 \end{array}$$

But since \mathcal{C} is locally Kan, all of these problems admit the required lifts. □

Example 2.7. The cubical category \mathbf{Kan} of universal Kan complexes is locally Kan (Examples 1.11), and thus $N_{\square}\mathbf{Kan}$ is a quasicategory.

Theorem 2.8. *If \mathcal{C} is a locally Kan cubical category in which every morphism is an equivalence, then the simplicial set $N_{\square}\mathcal{C}$ is a Kan complex.*

The proof of Theorem 2.8 will be preceded by a short discussion, in which we recall Joyal's theorem on existence of lifts for special horns. We begin with preliminary definitions:

Definition 2.9 (Joyal).

- (1) The simplicial set K is the pushout:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \Delta[1] + \Delta[1] & \xrightarrow{[02, 13]} & \Delta[3] \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \Delta[0] + \Delta[0] & \longrightarrow & K \end{array}$$

- (2) Let \mathcal{C} be a quasicategory. A 1-simplex $f: \Delta[1] \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$ is an *equivalence* if f factors through the inclusion $[12]: \Delta[1] \hookrightarrow K$.
- (3) Let \mathcal{C} be a quasicategory. A horn $u: \Lambda^0[n] \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$ (respectively, $v: \Lambda^n[n] \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$) is *special* if $u|_{\Delta^{\{0,1\}}}$ (respectively, $v|_{\Delta^{\{n-1,n\}}}$) is an equivalence.

Theorem 2.10 (Joyal, [Joy02, Thm. 2.2]). *Let $f: \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}$ be an inner fibration between quasicategories and consider the following diagram where $i = 0$ or $i = n$:*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \Lambda^i[n] & \xrightarrow{h} & \mathcal{C} \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \Delta[n] & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{D} \end{array}$$

If h is a special horn, then the lifting problem above admits a solution. In particular, for $\mathcal{D} = \Delta[0]$, quasicategories have fillers for all special horns.

Proof of Theorem 2.8. In a locally Kan cubical category, a 0-cube is an equivalence if and only if the corresponding 1-simplex (the image of $\mathcal{C}[1] \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$) is an equivalence in the quasicategory $N_{\square}\mathcal{C}$. Thus if every map in \mathcal{C} is an equivalence, every horn is special and hence, by Theorem 2.10, $N_{\square}\mathcal{C}$ admits fillers for all horns. \square

We conclude this section by relating N_{\square} to the homotopy coherent nerve functor. Let us begin by recalling the construction of the homotopy coherent nerve $N_{\Delta}: \mathbf{sCat} \rightarrow \mathbf{sSet}$. It arises from a cosimplicial object in the category \mathbf{sCat} of simplicial categories.

Namely, one defines $\mathfrak{C}_{\Delta}: \Delta \rightarrow \mathbf{sCat}$ by putting $\mathfrak{C}_{\Delta}[n]$ to be the simplicial category with:

- objects: $0, 1, \dots, n$;
- mapping spaces given by:

$$\mathrm{Map}_{\mathfrak{C}_{\Delta}[n]}(i, j) = N([1]^{\{i+1, \dots, j-1\}})$$

- the composition is given by union of subsets.

One then defines $(N_{\Delta}\mathcal{C})_n := \mathbf{sCat}(\mathfrak{C}_{\Delta}[n], \mathcal{C})$ and obtains a pair of adjoint functors:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} & \mathfrak{C}_{\Delta} & \\ \mathbf{sSet} & \begin{array}{c} \xleftarrow{\quad} \\ \perp \\ \xrightarrow{\quad} \end{array} & \mathbf{sCat} \\ & N_{\Delta} & \\ & \Delta & \end{array}$$

where $\mathfrak{C}_\Delta: \mathbf{sSet} \rightarrow \mathbf{sCat}$ is given, as always, by the left Kan extension of $\mathfrak{C}_\Delta: \Delta \rightarrow \mathbf{sCat}$ along the Yoneda embedding.

Theorem 2.11. *There is a natural isomorphism of functors $N_\Delta \cong N_\square \circ U_\bullet$.*

Proof. Since the mapping cubical sets $\text{Map}_{\mathfrak{C}[n]}(i, j)$ are representable, T_\bullet acts as the nerve functor N on them and hence $T_\bullet \circ \mathfrak{C} \cong \mathfrak{C}_\Delta$. Thus, by $T_\bullet \dashv U_\bullet$, we have the following sequence of natural isomorphisms:

$$N_\Delta = \mathbf{sCat}(\mathfrak{C}_\Delta, -) \cong \mathbf{sCat}(T_\bullet \circ \mathfrak{C}, -) \cong \mathbf{sCat}(\mathfrak{C}, U_\bullet(-)) = N_\square \circ U_\bullet,$$

completing the proof. \square

Putting together Theorems 2.6 and 2.11 and Examples 1.11, we obtain the following well-known corollary.

Corollary 2.12. *If \mathcal{C} is a locally Kan simplicial category, then $N_\Delta \mathcal{C}$ is a quasicategory.* \square

3. THE GROTHENDIECK CONSTRUCTION

In this section, we consider the construction taking a simplicial map $F: S \rightarrow N_\square \mathbf{cSet}$ to an object in the slice category $\int_S F \in \mathbf{sSet} \downarrow S$. This is analogous to the Grothendieck construction of the category of elements. We then show that this assignment is functorial and admits a left adjoint. Finally, we will relate this construction to Lurie's (straightening \dashv unstraightening)-adjunction (cf. [Lur09, Sec. 2.2.1]).

We begin by defining, given $F: \Delta[n] \rightarrow N_\square \mathbf{cSet}$, the set

$$\text{Sect}F = \left\{ \Delta[n+1] \xrightarrow{G} N_\square \mathbf{cSet} \mid G|_{\Delta^{\{0\}}} = \square^0 \text{ and } G|_{\Delta^{\{1, \dots, n+1\}}} = F \right\}.$$

Here, we write $F|_{\Delta^{\{i, \dots, j\}}}$ for the restriction of F to the simplicial subset of $\Delta[n]$ spanned by the vertices i, \dots, j . A map $F: \Delta[n] \rightarrow N_\square \mathbf{cSet}$ should be thought of as a *homotopy coherent family* of cubical sets, indexed by $\Delta[n]$, and the set $\text{Sect}F$ as the set of its *homotopy coherent sections*. Let us illustrate these intuitions with examples of such families and their sections for small values of n .

Examples 3.1.

- (1) If $n = 0$, a simplex $F: \Delta[0] \rightarrow N_\square \mathbf{cSet}$ corresponds to a choice of a cubical set X , and the set $\text{Sect}F$ is simply the set X_0 of 0-cubes of X .
- (2) For $n = 1$, a map $F: \Delta[1] \rightarrow N_\square \mathbf{cSet}$ gives a pair of cubical sets X_0 and X_1 , along with a map $f_{01}: X_0 \rightarrow X_1$. The set $\text{Sect}F$ consists then of triples:

$$(x_0 \in X_0, x_1 \in X_1, p_{01} \in (X_1)_1),$$

where $p_{01}: x_1 \rightarrow f_{01}(x_0) \in X_1$.

- (3) For $n = 2$, a map $F: \Delta[2] \rightarrow N_\square \mathbf{cSet}$ corresponds to a choice of three cubical sets X_0 , X_1 , and X_2 , together with maps $f_{01}: X_0 \rightarrow X_1$, $f_{12}: X_1 \rightarrow X_2$, and $f_{02}: X_0 \rightarrow X_2$, and a homotopy $\alpha_{012}: f_{02} \rightarrow f_{12} \cdot f_{01}$. An element in $\text{Sect}F$ is a septuple:

$$(x_0 \in X_0, x_1 \in X_1, x_2 \in X_2, p_{01} \in (X_1)_1, p_{12} \in (X_2)_1, p_{02} \in (X_2)_1, H_{012} \in (X_2)_2)$$

where $p_{01}: x_1 \rightarrow f_{01}(x_0)$, $p_{12}: x_2 \rightarrow f_{12}(x_1)$, $p_{02}: x_2 \rightarrow f_{02}(x_0)$, and H_{012} is a 2-cube:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} x_2 & \xrightarrow{p_{02}} & f_{02}(x_0) \\ p_{12} \downarrow & & \downarrow \alpha_{012}(x_0) \\ f_{12}(x_1) & \xrightarrow{f_{12}(p_{01})} & f_{12}f_{01}(x_0) \end{array}$$

Definition 3.2. Let S be a simplicial set and $F: S \rightarrow \mathbf{N}_{\square}\mathbf{cSet}$ a simplicial map. Define the *Grothendieck construction* of F to be the simplicial set $\int_S F$ whose n -simplices are given by:

$$\left(\int_S F\right)_n = \{(s: \Delta[n] \rightarrow S, G \in \text{Sect}(Fs))\}.$$

The simplicial set $\int_S F$ is equipped with a canonical projection $P_F: \int_S F \rightarrow S$, given by $P_F(s, G) = s$. Let us now establish the universal case of this construction. We will write $(\mathbf{N}_{\square}\mathbf{cSet})_*$ for the simplicial set $\int_{\mathbf{N}_{\square}\mathbf{cSet}} \text{id}$ and P for the associated projection $P_{\text{id}}: (\mathbf{N}_{\square}\mathbf{cSet})_* \rightarrow \mathbf{N}_{\square}\mathbf{cSet}$. Given a simplicial set S and a map $F: S \rightarrow \mathbf{N}_{\square}\mathbf{cSet}$, define $Q_F: \int_S F \rightarrow (\mathbf{N}_{\square}\mathbf{cSet})_*$ by $Q_F(s, G) = (Fs, G)$.

Proposition 3.3. *For any simplicial map $F: S \rightarrow \mathbf{N}_{\square}\mathbf{cSet}$, the square:*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \int_S F & \xrightarrow{Q_F} & (\mathbf{N}_{\square}\mathbf{cSet})_* \\ P_F \downarrow & & \downarrow P \\ S & \xrightarrow{F} & \mathbf{N}_{\square}\mathbf{cSet} \end{array}$$

is a pullback.

Proof. The square is easily seen to commute. Consider a simplicial set K with maps $f_1: K \rightarrow S$ and $f_2: K \rightarrow (\mathbf{N}_{\square}\mathbf{cSet})_*$ with $F \circ f_1 = P \circ f_2$. Define $\bar{f}: K \rightarrow \int_S F$ by putting for $x: \Delta[n] \rightarrow K$, $\bar{f}(x) = (f_1 \circ x, \text{pr}_2(f_2 \circ x))$. \square

In the situation of Proposition 3.3, given a map $f: S' \rightarrow S$, by the universal property of the pullback, we obtain a map $Q_{F,f}: \int_{S'} F \circ f \rightarrow \int_S F$. Explicitly, this map is given by:

$$Q_{F,f}(s', G) = (fs', G).$$

Combining the previous proposition with the standard lemma about pasting pullback squares, we obtain:

Corollary 3.4. *Given any map $f: S' \rightarrow S$ of simplicial set, the following square:*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \int_{S'} F & \xrightarrow{Q_{F,f}} & \int_S F \\ P_{F \circ f} \downarrow & & \downarrow P_F \\ S' & \xrightarrow{f} & S \end{array}$$

is a pullback. \square

So far, we have defined an assignment taking a simplicial map $F: S \rightarrow \mathbf{N}_{\square}\mathbf{cSet}$, or equivalently a cubical functor $F: \mathfrak{CS} \rightarrow \mathbf{cSet}$, to a map $\int_S F \rightarrow S$. We now wish to extend it to a functor $\int_S: \mathbf{cSet}^{\mathfrak{CS}} \rightarrow \mathbf{sSet} \downarrow S$, where $\mathbf{cSet}^{\mathfrak{CS}}$ is the category of cubical functors $\mathfrak{CS} \rightarrow \mathbf{cSet}$. To this end let $F, F': \mathfrak{CS} \rightarrow \mathbf{cSet}$ and let φ be a morphism from F to F' in $(\mathbf{N}_{\square}\mathbf{cSet})^S$.

Given an n -simplex $(s: \Delta[n] \rightarrow S, G: \Delta[1+n] \rightarrow \mathbf{N}_{\square}\mathbf{cSet})$ in $\int_S F$, define $\int_S \varphi(s, G) := (s, G')$, where $G': \mathfrak{C}[1+n] \rightarrow \mathbf{cSet}$ is defined:

- on objects $G'_0 = \square^0$ and $G'_{1+i} = F'_i$ for $i = 0, 1, \dots, n$;
- on mapping cubical sets $G'_{i,j}: \mathfrak{Map}_{\mathfrak{C}[1+n]}(i, j) \rightarrow F'_j$ is given by: $G'_{1+i, 1+j} = F'_{i,j}$ for $i, j = 0, \dots, n$ and $G'_{0,j} = \varphi_j \circ G_{0,j}$.

It follows, by naturality of φ , that $G': \mathfrak{C}[1+n] \rightarrow \mathbf{cSet}$ is a cubical functor and moreover, by construction of G' , we obtain the following:

Proposition 3.5. *With the definition above \int_S defines a functor $\mathbf{cSet}^{\mathfrak{C}^S} \rightarrow \mathbf{sSet} \downarrow S$. \square*

One can also look at \int_S as a functor defined on a slightly different (but isomorphic, not only equivalent!) category which we describe below.

The cosimplicial object $\mathfrak{C}: \Delta \rightarrow \mathbf{cCat}$ defines the simplicial enrichment on the category \mathbf{cCat} of cubical categories where the simplicial set $\mathbf{Map}^\Delta(\mathfrak{C}, \mathcal{D})$ is defined by

$$\mathbf{Map}^\Delta(\mathfrak{C}, \mathcal{D})_n = \mathbf{cCat}(\mathfrak{C} \times \mathfrak{C}[n], \mathcal{D}).$$

In particular, with this definition $N_\square \mathfrak{C} \cong \mathbf{Map}^\Delta([0], \mathfrak{C})$ for any cubical category \mathfrak{C} . Moreover, we may define the *morphism part* \vec{N}_\square of N_\square by putting $\vec{N}_\square \mathfrak{C} = \mathbf{Map}^\Delta([1], \mathfrak{C})$, which yields the following description of its n -simplices:

$$(\vec{N}_\square \mathfrak{C})_n = \mathbf{cCat}([1] \times \mathfrak{C}[n], \mathfrak{C}).$$

The diagram $\delta_0, \delta_1: [0] \rightrightarrows [1]$ defines a cocategory object in \mathbf{Cat} and hence for any cubical category \mathfrak{C} , we obtain a category object in \mathbf{sSet} :

$$\vec{N}_\square \mathfrak{C} \begin{array}{c} \xrightarrow{\delta_0^*} \\ \rightrightarrows N_\square \mathfrak{C} \\ \xrightarrow{\delta_1^*} \end{array}$$

Given a cubical category \mathfrak{C} and a simplicial set S , we define a category $(N_\square \mathfrak{C})^S$ as follows:

- the objects are simplicial maps $S \rightarrow N_\square \mathfrak{C}$;
- the morphisms are simplicial maps $S \rightarrow \vec{N}_\square \mathfrak{C}$;
- the domain and codomain operations are given by postcomposition with δ_0^* and δ_1^* .

Let \mathfrak{C} and S be as above and let $\mathfrak{C}^{\mathfrak{C}^S}$ denote the category whose objects are given by cubical functors $\mathfrak{C}^S \rightarrow \mathfrak{C}$ and whose maps are cubical natural transformation.

Cubical natural transformations between functors $\mathfrak{C}^S \rightarrow \mathfrak{C}$ correspond naturally to cubical functors $[1] \times \mathfrak{C}^S \rightarrow \mathfrak{C}$. Thus, given such a natural transformation and an n -simplex of S , we obtain a map $[1] \times \mathfrak{C}[n] \rightarrow \mathfrak{C}$, hence an n -simplex in $\vec{N}_\square \mathfrak{C}$. This defines a functor $\mathfrak{C}^{\mathfrak{C}^S} \rightarrow (N_\square \mathfrak{C})^S$, which is easily seen to be an equivalence (and, in fact, an isomorphism) of categories.

Note that in particular the morphisms of $(N_\square \mathfrak{C})^S$ are given by cubical maps $[1] \times \mathfrak{C}^S \rightarrow \mathfrak{C}$, rather than by $\mathfrak{C}([1] \times S) \rightarrow \mathfrak{C}$, i.e., they are strict cubical transformation rather than “homotopy coherent” transformations.

The classical version of the Grothendieck construction (i.e. for functors $\mathfrak{C} \rightarrow \mathbf{Set}$) admits a left adjoint. The same is true in our setting and we next show that the functor $\int_S: \mathbf{cSet}^{\mathfrak{C}^S} \rightarrow \mathbf{sSet} \downarrow S$ constructed above also admits a left adjoint $L_S: \mathbf{sSet} \downarrow S \rightarrow \mathbf{cSet}^{\mathfrak{C}^S}$.

Recall that the *join* is a functor $\star: \mathbf{sSet} \times \mathbf{sSet} \rightarrow \mathbf{sSet}$ together with two natural transformations $X \rightarrow X \star Y \leftarrow Y$ such that $\Delta[m] \star \Delta[n] \cong \Delta[m+n+1]$, naturally in both m and n , and for all $X, Y \in \mathbf{sSet}$ the functors $X \star -: \mathbf{sSet} \rightarrow X \downarrow \mathbf{sSet}$ and $- \star Y: \mathbf{sSet} \rightarrow Y \downarrow \mathbf{sSet}$ preserve colimits. Explicitly, $X \star Y$ is given by:

$$(X \star Y)_n = \coprod_{i+j=n-1} X_i \times Y_j,$$

where $X_{-1} = Y_{-1} = \{*\}$. We will write X^\triangleleft for the join $\{*\} \star X$.

To construct L_S , take $p: X \rightarrow S$ and consider the pushout:

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
X & \hookrightarrow & X^\triangleleft \\
p \downarrow & & \downarrow \\
S & \longrightarrow & X^\triangleleft +_X S
\end{array}$$

We define $L_S p: \mathfrak{C}S \rightarrow \mathfrak{cSet}$ as $L_S p := \text{Map}_{\mathfrak{C}(X^\triangleleft +_X S)}(*, -)$.

Theorem 3.6. *For any simplicial set S , the functors $L_S: \mathfrak{sSet} \downarrow S \rightleftarrows \mathfrak{cSet}^{\mathfrak{C}S} : \int_S$ form an adjoint pair.*

Proof. The functor L_S preserves colimits, thus it suffices to construct a natural bijection between maps $s \rightarrow P_F$ in $\mathfrak{sSet} \downarrow S$ and $L_S s \rightarrow F$ in $\mathfrak{cSet}^{\mathfrak{C}[n]}$, where $s: \Delta[n] \rightarrow S$ is a simplicial map and $F: \mathfrak{C}S \rightarrow \mathfrak{cSet}$ is a cubical functor.

A map $s \rightarrow \int_S F$ corresponds naturally to an n -simplex in $\int_S F$ whose first component is s and the second component is $G: \Delta[1+n] \rightarrow \mathbb{N}_{\square} \mathfrak{cSet}$ such that $G|_{\Delta^{\{0\}}} = \square^0$ and $G|_{\Delta^{\{1, \dots, 1+n\}}} = F s$.

Such a map determines therefore an extension $\bar{F}: \Delta[1+n] +_{\Delta[n]} S \rightarrow \mathbb{N}_{\square} \mathfrak{cSet}$ of F :

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
\Delta[n] & \hookrightarrow & \Delta[1+n] \\
\downarrow & & \downarrow \\
S & \longrightarrow & \Delta[1+n] +_{\Delta[n]} S \\
& \searrow F & \nearrow G \\
& & \mathbb{N}_{\square} \mathfrak{cSet}
\end{array}$$

(Note: A dashed arrow labeled \bar{F} also points from $\Delta[1+n] +_{\Delta[n]} S$ to $\mathbb{N}_{\square} \mathfrak{cSet}$.)

which, by adjointness, gives $\bar{F}: \mathfrak{C}[1+n] +_{\mathfrak{C}[n]} \mathfrak{C}S \rightarrow \mathfrak{cSet}$. But since $\bar{F}(0) = G(0) = \square^0$, by the Enriched Yoneda Lemma, this gives a unique map $\text{Map}_{\mathfrak{C}[1+n] +_{\mathfrak{C}[n]} \mathfrak{C}S}(0, -) \rightarrow \bar{F}$ in $\mathfrak{cSet}^{\mathfrak{C}[1+n] +_{\mathfrak{C}[n]} \mathfrak{C}S}$, whose restriction to $\mathfrak{C}S$ gives the required natural transformation.

Conversely, given a cubical natural transformation $\varphi: \text{Map}_{\mathfrak{C}[1+n] +_{\mathfrak{C}[n]} \mathfrak{C}S}(0, -) \rightarrow F$ we extend F to $\bar{F}: \mathfrak{C}[1+n] +_{\mathfrak{C}[n]} \mathfrak{C}S \rightarrow \mathfrak{cSet}$ by putting $\bar{F}(0) = \square^0$ and defining $\bar{F}_{0,i}: \text{Map}_{\mathfrak{C}[1+n]}(0, 1) \rightarrow F(i)$ by $\bar{F}_{0,i} = \varphi_i$. Such an \bar{F} determines an n -simplex in $\int_S F$ whose first component is s .

Both of these maps are natural since all the steps involved in the construction were natural. It is moreover immediate to see that these maps are mutual inverses, thus yielding the required bijection. \square

We next consider a *relative* version of this construction. Fix a simplicial set S , cubical category \mathfrak{C} , and a cubical functor $\phi: \mathfrak{C}S \rightarrow \mathfrak{C}$. Associated with ϕ , there is an adjoint pair:

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
\mathfrak{cSet}^{\mathfrak{C}S} & \xrightleftharpoons[\phi^*]{\phi_!} & \mathfrak{cSet}^{\mathfrak{C}} \\
& \perp & \\
& &
\end{array}$$

where ϕ^* is given by precomposition with ϕ and $\phi_!$ is the left Kan extensions along ϕ .

Thus, we define $\int_{\phi} = \int_S \phi^*$ and $L_{\phi} = \phi_! L_S$. The following proposition is immediate by construction.

Proposition 3.7. *For any cubical functor $\phi: \mathfrak{C}S \rightarrow \mathfrak{C}$, the functors*

$$L_\phi: \mathfrak{sSet} \downarrow S \rightleftarrows \mathfrak{cSet}^{\mathfrak{C}} : \int_\phi$$

form an adjoint pair. □

Unwinding the definitions, we see that the n -simplices of $\int_\phi F$ (where $F: \mathfrak{C} \rightarrow \mathfrak{cSet}$ is a cubical functor) are given by:

$$\left(\int_\phi F \right)_n = \{ (s: \Delta[n] \rightarrow S, G \in \text{Sect}(\overline{F\phi s})) \}.$$

Here, $\overline{F\phi}: S \rightarrow N_{\square} \mathfrak{cSet}$ denotes the adjoint transpose of $F\phi: \mathfrak{C}S \rightarrow \mathfrak{cSet}$.

Conversely, $L_\phi p$ arises as a restriction of the functor $\text{Map}_{\mathfrak{C}[X^\triangleleft] +_{\mathfrak{C}X} \mathfrak{C}}(\ast, -)$ on the pushout:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathfrak{C}X & \longrightarrow & \mathfrak{C}(X^\triangleleft) \\ \mathfrak{C}[p] \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \mathfrak{C}S & & \\ \phi \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \mathfrak{C} & \longrightarrow & \mathfrak{C}(X^\triangleleft) +_{\mathfrak{C}X} \mathfrak{C} \end{array}$$

The analogous version of the Grothendieck construction, but for maps $S \rightarrow N_{\Delta} \mathfrak{sSet}$ is described in [Lur09, Sec. 2.2.1] and referred to as the unstraightening functor. We now show how to relate this construction to ours.

To begin, let us recall the construction of Lurie's (straightening \dashv unstraightening)-adjunction. For the remainder of the section, fix a simplicial set S , a simplicial category \mathfrak{C} , and a simplicial functor $\phi: \mathfrak{C}_{\Delta}[S] \rightarrow \mathfrak{C}$ (or equivalently, a simplicial map $S \rightarrow N_{\Delta} \mathfrak{C}$).

Given a map $p: X \rightarrow S$ of simplicial sets, one first forms the following pushout in \mathfrak{sCat} :

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathfrak{C}_{\Delta} X & \longrightarrow & \mathfrak{C}_{\Delta}(X^\triangleleft) \\ \mathfrak{C}_{\Delta} p \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \mathfrak{C}_{\Delta} S & & \\ \phi \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \mathfrak{C} & \longrightarrow & \mathfrak{C}_{\Delta}(X^\triangleleft) +_{\mathfrak{C}_{\Delta} X} \mathfrak{C} \end{array}$$

and then defines $\text{St}_\phi(p) := \text{Map}_{\mathfrak{C}_{\Delta}(X^\triangleleft) +_{\mathfrak{C}_{\Delta} X} \mathfrak{C}}(\ast, -)$. This gives the *straightening* functor

$$\text{St}_\phi: \mathfrak{sSet} \downarrow S \rightarrow \mathfrak{sSet}^{\mathfrak{C}}$$

(where $\mathfrak{sSet}^{\mathfrak{C}}$ denotes the category of simplicial functors $\mathfrak{C} \rightarrow \mathfrak{sSet}$ and simplicial natural transformations). It can be shown, either by the Adjoint Functor Theorem or an explicit construction, that St_ϕ admits a right adjoint, called the *unstraightening*, $\text{Un}_\phi: \mathfrak{sCat}^{\mathfrak{C}} \rightarrow \mathfrak{sSet} \downarrow S$.

The category \mathfrak{sSet} is a simplicial category (as a presheaf category), and hence we may use the functor U_\bullet , applying the right adjoint to triangulation on mapping spaces, cf. Section 1, to obtain $\text{U}_\bullet \mathfrak{sSet}$, a cubical category whose objects are simplicial sets and the mapping cubical set $\text{Map}_{\text{U}_\bullet \mathfrak{sSet}}(X, Y)$

is given by $U(Y^X)$, where Y^X is the internal exponential object. Moreover, the adjunction $T \dashv U$ yields a pair of \mathbf{cSet} -enriched functors $\tilde{T}: \mathbf{cSet} \rightleftarrows U_{\bullet}\mathbf{sSet} : \tilde{U}$, which are adjoint since U preserves exponentials.

Returning to the question of expressing the $(\mathrm{St}_{\phi} \dashv \mathrm{Un}_{\phi})$ -adjunction, we have the following:

Theorem 3.8. *Let $\phi: \mathfrak{C}_{\Delta}S \rightarrow \mathfrak{C}$ be a simplicial functor and let $\bar{\phi}: \mathfrak{C}S \rightarrow U_{\bullet}\mathfrak{C}$ be its adjoint transpose (under the $T_{\bullet} \dashv U_{\bullet}$ adjunction). Then St_{ϕ} and Un_{ϕ} arise as respectively the upper and the lower composites in the diagram:*

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} \mathbf{sSet} \downarrow S & \begin{array}{c} \xrightarrow{L_{\bar{\phi}}} \\ \perp \\ \xleftarrow{f_{\bar{\phi}}} \end{array} & \mathbf{cSet}^{U_{\bullet}\mathfrak{C}} & \begin{array}{c} \xrightarrow{\tilde{T} \circ -} \\ \perp \\ \xleftarrow{\tilde{U} \circ -} \end{array} & (U_{\bullet}\mathbf{sSet})^{U_{\bullet}\mathfrak{C}} \end{array}$$

via $(U_{\bullet}\mathbf{sSet})^{U_{\bullet}\mathfrak{C}} \simeq \mathbf{sSet}^{\mathfrak{C}}$.

Before proving this theorem, we state a corollary of Theorem 2.11 describing the relation between mapping spaces in $\mathfrak{C}_{\Delta}[S]$ and those of $\mathfrak{C}S$. This result indicates that the mapping spaces of $\mathfrak{C}S$ may admit a simpler description in terms of necklaces than its simplicial counterpart.

Lemma 3.9. *For a simplicial set S , the mapping spaces of $\mathfrak{C}_{\Delta}S$ are computed by triangulating those of $\mathfrak{C}S$.*

Proof. By Theorem 2.11, $N_{\square}U_{\bullet} = N_{\Delta}$, so we obtain an isomorphism of left adjoints $\mathfrak{C}_{\Delta} = T_{\bullet}\mathfrak{C}$. At a simplicial set S , this tells us that the hom-categories of $\mathfrak{C}_{\Delta}S$ are computed by triangulating the hom-categories of $\mathfrak{C}S$. \square

Proof of Theorem 3.8. Since U is full and faithful, we obtain the equivalence $(U_{\bullet}\mathbf{sSet})^{U_{\bullet}\mathfrak{C}} \simeq \mathbf{sSet}^{\mathfrak{C}}$. Lemma 3.9 then implies that the upper composite is St_{ϕ} and the result follows by uniqueness of adjoints. \square

Acknowledgements. Kapulkin would like to thank Karol Szumilo for numerous conversations about cubical sets during early stages of working on this project. The paper was greatly improved thanks to comments by Liang Ze Wong and two anonymous referees.

This material is based on research sponsored by The United States Air Force Research Laboratory under agreement number FA9550-15-1-0053. The US Government is authorized to reproduce and distribute reprints for Governmental purposes notwithstanding any copyright notation thereon.

The views and conclusions contained herein are those of the author and should not be interpreted as necessarily representing the official policies or endorsements, either expressed or implied, of the United States Air Force Research Laboratory, the U.S. Government or Carnegie Mellon University.

REFERENCES

- [AGV71] Michael Artin, Alexander Grothendieck, and Jean-Louis Verdier, *Theorie de topos et cohomologie etale des schemas I, II, III*, Lecture Notes in Mathematics, vol. 269, 270, 305, Springer, 1971.
- [CCHM15] Cyril Cohen, Thierry Coquand, Simon Huber, and Anders Mörtsberg, *Cubical type theory: a constructive interpretation of the univalence axiom*, preprint, 2015.
- [Cis06] Denis-Charles Cisinski, *Les préfaisceaux comme modèles des types d'homotopie*, Astérisque (2006), no. 308, xxiv+390.
- [GZ67] Peter Gabriel and Michel Zisman, *Calculus of fractions and homotopy theory*, Ergebnisse der Mathematik und ihrer Grenzgebiete, Band 35, Springer-Verlag New York, Inc., New York, 1967.
- [Jar06] John F. Jardine, *Categorical homotopy theory*, Homology, Homotopy Appl. **8** (2006), no. 1, 71–144, <http://projecteuclid.org/euclid.hha/1140012467>.

- [Joy02] André Joyal, *Quasi-categories and Kan complexes*, J. Pure Appl. Algebra **175** (2002), no. 1-3, 207–222, Special volume celebrating the 70th birthday of Professor Max Kelly, [doi:10.1016/S0022-4049\(02\)00135-4](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0022-4049(02)00135-4).
- [JT08] André Joyal and Myles Tierney, *Notes on simplicial homotopy theory*, unpublished manuscript, 2008, <http://mat.uab.cat/~kock/crm/hocat/advanced-course/Quadern47.pdf>.
- [Kan55] Daniel M. Kan, *Abstract homotopy. I*, Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. U.S.A. **41** (1955), 1092–1096.
- [Kan56] ———, *Abstract homotopy. II*, Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. U.S.A. **42** (1956), 255–258.
- [KLW19] Krzysztof Kapulkin, Zachary Lindsey, and Liang Ze Wong, *A co-reflection of cubical sets into simplicial sets with applications to model structures*, New York J. Math. **25** (2019), 627–641.
- [Lur09] Jacob Lurie, *Higher topos theory*, Annals of Mathematics Studies, vol. 170, Princeton University Press, Princeton, NJ, 2009.
- [Mal05] Georges Maltsiniotis, *La théorie de l’homotopie de Grothendieck*, Astérisque (2005), no. 301, vi+140.
- [Mal09] ———, *La catégorie cubique avec connexions est une catégorie test stricte*, Homology, Homotopy Appl. **11** (2009), no. 2, 309–326, <http://projecteuclid.org/euclid.hha/1296138523>.
- [MR77] Michael Makkai and Gonzalo E. Reyes, *First order categorical logic*, Lecture Notes in Mathematics, Vol. 611, Springer-Verlag, Berlin-New York, 1977, Model-theoretical methods in the theory of topoi and related categories.