

ON HOLOMORPHIC k -DIFFERENTIALS ON OPEN RIEMANN SURFACES

NADYA ASKARIPOUR AND TATYANA FOTH

Abstract. Let Σ be a hyperbolic Riemann surface, with a covering map $\pi : \Delta \rightarrow \Sigma$, where Δ is the unit disc. Let Λ be a closed subset of Σ such that $\pi^{-1}(\Sigma - \Lambda)$ is connected. Let $k \geq 2$ be an integer. We study spaces of integrable, square-integrable, and bounded holomorphic k -differentials on $\Sigma - \Lambda$ and we obtain, in particular, a description of the kernel of the Poincaré series operator.

AMS 2000 MSC: 30F35, 46E15.

Keywords: Riemann surfaces, Poincaré series, holomorphic k -differentials.

1. INTRODUCTION

Construction of automorphic forms via Poincaré series is a classical technique, there is a significant amount of literature on this subject. For example, it is well-known that any holomorphic k -differential (k is an integer, $k \geq 2$) on a compact Riemann surface of genus $g \geq 2$ is obtained from the Poincaré series of a polynomial in z of degree not higher than $k(2g - 2)$. There are various descriptions of the kernel of the Poincaré series operator: see, in particular, [K2], [K3], [Lj], [Ma], [Me].

The case $k = 2$ (quadratic differentials) is of special importance in Teichmüller theory and has connections to the Thurston's program. The large variety of references on this subject includes, in particular, [BD1], [BD2], [G], [La], and several McMullen's papers including [Mc].

Our work on this paper was inspired, partially, by the questions discussed in [LM], although we do not present results similar to the results of [LM].

The main results of this paper are stated in Section 3.

Acknowledgements. This paper is a part of the Ph.D. thesis of the first author, co-supervised by the second author and André Boivin. We

Research of the second author is supported in part by NSERC.

are thankful to André Boivin for discussions. We are grateful for the helpful suggestions that we received from one of the referees.

2. PRELIMINARIES

Throughout the paper we shall assume that k is a fixed positive integer, $k \geq 2$, and we shall omit k from notation (including notations for function spaces, norms, inner products, Bergman kernel).

2.1. In this subsection we shall introduce some notation and review basic definitions related to k -differentials on Riemann surfaces.

A *Riemann surface* is a connected 1-dimensional complex manifold. Note that it is a standard definition in complex analysis, but "connected" is sometimes dropped by algebraic geometers.

Let C be a Riemann surface, and let k be a positive integer. A k -*differential* on C is a section of the holomorphic line bundle $(T^*C)^{\otimes k}$, where T^*C is the holomorphic cotangent bundle on C . Equivalently, a k -*differential* on C is a collection of \mathbb{C} -valued functions $\{\phi_\alpha(z_\alpha)\}_{\alpha \in I}$, where z_α is a local complex coordinate on an open set U_α (for an open cover $\{U_\alpha\}_{\alpha \in I}$ of C ; I is an index set), and for all $\alpha, \beta \in I$ such that $U_\alpha \cap U_\beta \neq \emptyset$, over $U_\alpha \cap U_\beta$

$$(1) \quad \phi_\alpha(z_\alpha) = \phi_\beta(z_\beta) \left(\frac{dz_\beta}{dz_\alpha} \right)^k,$$

and the k -differential is usually written as $\phi(z)dz^k$, which is understood as follows: for any $\xi \in C$ choose $\alpha \in I$ such that $\xi \in U_\alpha$, then near ξ $z = z_\alpha$, $\phi = \phi_\alpha$ (this is well-defined because of (1)). Note that dz^k is a conventional notation for $dz^{\otimes k}$.

A k -differential on C is called *holomorphic* (resp. *meromorphic*) if it is a *holomorphic* (resp. *meromorphic*) section of $(T^*C)^{\otimes k}$, or, equivalently, if all ϕ_α , $\alpha \in I$ are *holomorphic* (resp. *meromorphic*).

For a Riemann surface $C \subset \Delta = \{z \in \mathbb{C} \mid |z| < 1\}$ a k -differential is (globally) $\phi(z)dz^k$, where z is the coordinate on Δ and ϕ is a \mathbb{C} -valued function on C .

The group

$$G = SU(1, 1) = \{A \in SL(2, \mathbb{C}) \mid A^t \sigma \bar{A} = \sigma\} = \\ \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ \bar{b} & \bar{a} \end{pmatrix} \mid a, b \in \mathbb{C}, a\bar{a} - b\bar{b} = 1 \right\}$$

where $\sigma = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix}$, acts on Δ by Moebius transformations: for $z \in \Delta$, $g = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ \bar{b} & \bar{a} \end{pmatrix} \in G$, $z \mapsto gz = \frac{az+b}{bz+\bar{a}}$. Denote $J(g, z) = \frac{d(gz)}{dz} =$

$\frac{1}{(bz+\bar{a})^2}$, where $g = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ \bar{b} & \bar{a} \end{pmatrix} \in G$, $z \in \Delta$. Note the cocycle property: $J(g_1g_2, z) = J(g_1, g_2z)J(g_2, z)$ for $g_1, g_2 \in G$, $z \in \Delta$.

A *hyperbolic Riemann surface* is a Riemann surface $\Sigma = \Gamma \backslash \Delta$, where Γ is a discrete subgroup of G , acting properly discontinuously on Δ (i.e. any $z \in \Delta$ has a neighborhood U_z such that $g(U_z) \cap U_z = \emptyset$ for any $g \in \Gamma$, $g \neq e$, where e is the identity element).

Note: if C is a Riemann surface, and p_1, p_2, p_3 are three distinct points on C , then $C - \{p_1, p_2, p_3\}$ is a hyperbolic Riemann surface. This follows from the uniformization theorem (see, for example, Sections 10-4, 10-6 [A]).

For a subset S of Δ we shall denote by χ_S the characteristic function of S : $\chi_S = 1$ on S and $\chi_S(z) = 0$ for $z \in \Delta - S$.

2.2. In this subsection we shall introduce notations. We shall also review some facts which will be used later - the reference is [K1] (Chapters II, III), see also [E].

Let $\Sigma = \Gamma \backslash \Delta$ be a hyperbolic Riemann surface, and let $\pi : \Delta \rightarrow \Sigma$ be the covering map.

The pull-back of a holomorphic (resp., meromorphic) k -differential on Σ to Δ under π is a Γ -invariant holomorphic (resp., meromorphic) k -differential $\varphi(z)dz^k$ on Δ . The Γ -invariance of $\varphi(z)dz^k$ is equivalent to the automorphy law

$$(2) \quad \varphi(gz)J(g, z)^k = \varphi(z)$$

for all $g \in \Gamma$, $z \in \Delta$. And any Γ -invariant holomorphic (resp., meromorphic) k -differential on Δ defines a holomorphic (resp., meromorphic) k -differential on Σ . The space of holomorphic (resp., meromorphic) k -differentials on Σ is isomorphic, as a complex vector space, to the space of holomorphic (resp., meromorphic) Γ -invariant k -differentials on Δ .

Let $\Lambda \subset \Sigma$ be a closed set such that $\pi^{-1}(\Sigma - \Lambda)$ is connected. Denote $V = \Sigma - \Lambda$, $U = \pi^{-1}(V)$. Clearly $V = \Gamma \backslash U$. Using the uniformization theorem, we conclude that U is a hyperbolic Riemann surface, so $U = \Gamma_0 \backslash \Delta$, where Γ_0 is a discrete subgroup of G . Denote by $\pi_0 : \Delta \rightarrow U$ the covering map.

The Poincaré metric on U corresponding to the hyperbolic metric $\frac{|dz|}{1-|z|^2}$ on Δ is given by $\frac{|d\xi|}{w(\xi)}$, where $w(\pi_0(z)) = (1 - |z|^2) \left| \frac{d}{dz} \pi_0(z) \right|$. The right-hand side depends only on $\pi_0(z)$. Also note: $w(\xi) > 0$. For $g \in \Gamma$ $w(g\xi) = w(\xi) |J(g, \xi)|$ and the area form $\frac{Re(\xi) \wedge Im(\xi)}{w(\xi)^2}$ is Γ -invariant.

Let \mathcal{D} be a Dirichlet fundamental domain for the action of Γ on Δ . Denote $\mathcal{F} = \mathcal{D} \cap U$, this is a fundamental domain for the action of Γ on U .

Let $d\mu$ be the Lebesgue measure on Δ . For Σ, Λ as above we shall define the following spaces of k -differentials (all of them are Banach spaces, isomorphic to function spaces on U , as explained below).

Let $A^{(1)}(V)$ be the (normed) space of holomorphic k -differentials Φ on V such that

$$(3) \quad \int_{\Gamma \backslash U} |\varphi(z)| w(z)^{k-2} d\mu < \infty$$

where $z \in U$, $\varphi(z) dz^k = (\pi|_U)^* \Phi$, and the norm $\|\Phi\|_1$ is given by (3). The integral (3) can also be written as $\int_{\mathcal{F}} |\varphi(z)| w(z)^{k-2} d\mu$. Let $A_{\Gamma}^{(1)}(U)$ be the (normed) space of Γ -invariant holomorphic k -differentials $\varphi(z) dz^k$ on U that satisfy (3). $A^{(1)}(V)$ is isomorphic to $A_{\Gamma}^{(1)}(U)$ and is isomorphic to the space $\mathcal{A}_{\Gamma}^{(1)}(U)$ of holomorphic functions $\varphi(z)$ on U satisfying (2) (for $g \in \Gamma, z \in U$) and (3).

Let $A^{(2)}(V)$ be the space of holomorphic k -differentials Φ on V such that

$$(4) \quad \int_{\Gamma \backslash U} |\varphi(z)|^2 w(z)^{2k-2} d\mu < \infty$$

where $z \in U$, $\varphi(z) dz^k = (\pi|_U)^* \Phi$. The integral (4) can also be written as $\int_{\mathcal{F}} |\varphi(z)|^2 w(z)^{2k-2} d\mu$. $A^{(2)}(V)$ is a normed space, with the norm

$$\|\Phi\|_2 = \left(\int_{\Gamma \backslash U} |\varphi(z)|^2 w(z)^{2k-2} d\mu \right)^{1/2}.$$

Let $A_{\Gamma}^{(2)}(U)$ be the space of Γ -invariant holomorphic k -differentials $\varphi(z) dz^k$ on U that satisfy (4). $A^{(2)}(V)$ is isomorphic to $A_{\Gamma}^{(2)}(U)$ and is isomorphic to the space $\mathcal{A}_{\Gamma}^{(2)}(U)$ of holomorphic functions $\varphi(z)$ on U satisfying (2) (for $g \in \Gamma, z \in U$) and (4).

Let $B(V)$ be the (normed) space of holomorphic k -differentials Φ on V such that

$$(5) \quad \sup_{z \in \mathcal{F}} |\varphi(z)| w(z)^k < \infty$$

where $z \in U$, $\varphi(z) dz^k = (\pi|_U)^* \Phi$, and the norm $\|\Phi\|_{\infty}$ is given by (5). Let $B_{\Gamma}(U)$ be the space of Γ -invariant holomorphic k -differentials $\varphi(z) dz^k$ on U that satisfy (5). $B(V)$ is isomorphic to $B_{\Gamma}(U)$ and is isomorphic to the space $\mathcal{B}_{\Gamma}(U)$ of holomorphic functions $\varphi(z)$ on U satisfying (2) (for $g \in \Gamma, z \in U$) and (5).

The spaces $A^{(1)}(\Sigma)$, $A^{(2)}(\Sigma)$, $B(\Sigma)$ are defined by setting $\Lambda = \emptyset$ (i.e. $V = \Sigma$) in the definitions above.

The space $A^{(1)}(U)$ (resp. $A^{(2)}(U)$, $B(U)$) is defined as the normed space of holomorphic k -differentials $\varphi(z)dz^k$ on U such that

$$(6) \quad \|\varphi(z)dz^k\|_1 = \int_U |\varphi(z)|w(z)^{k-2}d\mu < \infty$$

(resp.

$$(7) \quad \|\varphi(z)dz^k\|_2 = \left(\int_U |\varphi(z)|^2 w(z)^{2k-2} d\mu \right)^{1/2} < \infty,$$

$$(8) \quad \|\varphi(z)dz^k\|_\infty = \sup_{z \in U} |\varphi(z)|w(z)^k < \infty).$$

The space $A^{(1)}(U)$ (resp. $A^{(2)}(U)$, $B(U)$) is isomorphic to the space $\mathcal{A}^{(1)}(U)$ (resp. $\mathcal{A}^{(2)}(U)$, $\mathcal{B}(U)$) of holomorphic functions $\varphi(z)$ on U satisfying (6) (resp. (7), (8)). The isomorphism is given by the map $\varphi(z) \mapsto \varphi(z)dz^k$.

The space $A^{(1)}(\Delta)$ is defined as the normed space of holomorphic k -differentials $\varphi(z)dz^k$ on Δ such that

$$(9) \quad \|\varphi(z)dz^k\|_1 = \int_\Delta |\varphi(z)|w(z)^{k-2}d\mu < \infty.$$

It is isomorphic (via $\varphi(z)dz^k \mapsto \varphi(z)$) to the space $\mathcal{A}^{(1)}(\Delta)$ of holomorphic functions $\varphi(z)$ on Δ satisfying (9).

The spaces $A^{(1)}(\cdot)$, $A^{(2)}(\cdot)$, $B(\cdot)$, defined above, are Banach spaces. The Petersson inner product

$$\langle \Phi, \Psi \rangle = \int_{\Gamma \setminus U} \varphi(z) \overline{\psi(z)} w(z)^{2k-2} d\mu,$$

where $(\pi|_U)^*\Phi$ and $(\pi|_U)^*\Psi$ are $\varphi(z)dz^k$ and $\psi(z)dz^k$, $\Phi \in A^{(1)}(V)$, $\Psi \in B(V)$, establishes an antilinear isomorphism between $B(V)$ and $A^{(1)}(V)^*$.

The Petersson inner product

$$\langle \varphi(z)dz^k, \psi(z)dz^k \rangle = \int_U \varphi(z) \overline{\psi(z)} w(z)^{2k-2} d\mu,$$

$\varphi(z)dz^k \in A^{(1)}(U)$, $\psi(z)dz^k \in B(U)$ establishes an antilinear isomorphism between $B(U)$ and $A^{(1)}(U)^*$ (Theorem III.2.1 [K1]).

$A^{(2)}(V)$ is a Hilbert space, with the inner product

$$(10) \quad \langle \Phi, \Psi \rangle = \int_{\Gamma \setminus U} \varphi(z) \overline{\psi(z)} w(z)^{2k-2} d\mu$$

where $\Phi, \Psi \in A^{(2)}(V)$, $\varphi(z)dz^k = (\pi|_U)^*\Phi$, $\psi(z)dz^k = (\pi|_U)^*\Psi$.

$A^{(2)}(U)$ is a Hilbert space, with the inner product

$$\langle \varphi(z)dz^k, \psi(z)dz^k \rangle = \int_U \varphi(z)\overline{\psi(z)}w(z)^{2k-2}d\mu.$$

The space $A^{(2)}(U)$ admits a reproducing kernel $K : U \times U \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$. It has, in particular, the following properties (Theorem III.3.1 [K1]):

- $K(z, \xi)$ is holomorphic in z , antiholomorphic in ξ ,
- $K(z, \xi) = \overline{K(\xi, z)}$,
- as a function of z (with fixed ξ) it belongs to $\mathcal{A}^{(1)}(U)$ and to $\mathcal{A}^{(2)}(U)$,
- for any automorphism τ of U and $z, \xi \in U$
 $K(\tau(z), \tau(\xi))J(\tau, z)^k \overline{J(\tau, \xi)^k} = K(z, \xi)$,
- for any function f in $\mathcal{A}^{(1)}(U)$ or in $\mathcal{A}^{(2)}(U)$ or in $\mathcal{B}(U)$ we have:

$$f(z) = \int_U K(z, \xi)f(\xi)w(\xi)^{2k-2}d\mu$$

for any $z \in U$.

Also the operator β defined formally by

$$(\beta f)(z) = \int_U K(z, \xi)f(\xi)w(\xi)^{2k-2}d\mu$$

is a bounded linear projection from $L^1(U, w(z)^{k-2}d\mu)$ (resp. $L^2(U, w(z)^{2k-2}d\mu)$, $L^\infty(U, \sup_{z \in U} |w(z)^k|)$) onto $\mathcal{A}^{(1)}(U)$ (resp. $\mathcal{A}^{(1)}(U)$, $\mathcal{B}(U)$) - see Theorem III.3.2 [K1].

We shall denote by $L_\Gamma^1(U, w(z)^{k-2}d\mu)$ the subspace of $L^1(U, w(z)^{k-2}d\mu)$ that consists of functions satisfying (2) for $g \in \Gamma$, $z \in U$.

The *Poincaré series* for a function $f : U \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ is formally defined as $\sum_{g \in \Gamma} f(gz)J(g, z)^k$. We shall need the following statement.

Theorem 2.1. *Suppose k is a positive integer, $k \geq 2$.*

(i) *For any $\varphi(z)dz^k \in A^{(1)}(U)$ the Poincaré series*

$$\theta(\varphi)(z) = \sum_{g \in \Gamma} \varphi(gz)J(g, z)^k,$$

converges absolutely and uniformly on compact sets.

(ii) (Theorem III.3.3 [K1]) *The Poincaré series map*

$$\Theta : A^{(1)}(U) \rightarrow A_\Gamma^{(1)}(U)$$

$$\varphi(z)dz^k \mapsto \Theta(\varphi(z)dz^k) = \theta(\varphi)(z)dz^k,$$

is a surjective bounded linear operator with the norm $\|\Theta\| \leq 1$.

The proof of (i) is in the Appendix, it is similar to the proof in IX.3.3.1. [S].

3. MAIN RESULTS

Let $\Theta_0 : A^{(1)}(\Delta) \rightarrow A^{(1)}(U)$ be the Poincaré series map corresponding to π_0 .

We start by stating two observations.

Proposition 3.1. *If Λ is a finite set, then $A^{(2)}(V)$ is isomorphic to $A^{(2)}(\Sigma)$, $B(V)$ is isomorphic to $B(\Sigma)$, $A^{(1)}(V)$ is isomorphic to the space of integrable meromorphic k -differentials on Σ with at most simple poles, all in Λ .*

Proposition 3.2. *Let \mathbb{P} be the set of polynomials in z . The set $\Theta_0(\{p(z)dz^k \mid p \in \mathbb{P}\})$ is dense in $A^{(1)}(U)$. The set $\Theta(\Theta_0(\{p(z)dz^k \mid p \in \mathbb{P}\}))$ is dense in $A^{(1)}(V)$. $A^{(1)}(U)$ and $A^{(1)}(V)$ are separable.*

Our main results are the following two theorems that provide information about the kernel of the Poincaré series map. Theorem 3.3 is analogous to the main theorem in [Lj].

Theorem 3.3. *The set*

$$W = \{\beta(\chi_{g\mathcal{F}}\phi - \chi_{\gamma\mathcal{F}}\phi)(z)dz^k \mid g, \gamma \in \Gamma, \phi(z) \in L^1_\Gamma(U, w^{k-2}d\mu)\},$$

is dense in $\ker \Theta$.

Theorem 3.4.

Suppose Γ is infinite. Let P be a subset of \mathcal{F} that has a limit point in \mathcal{F} . Let \mathcal{P} be the linear span of the set

$$\{(K(z, p) - \overline{J(g, p)}^k K(z, gp))dz^k \mid p \in P, g \in \Gamma\}.$$

Then $\mathcal{P} \subset \ker \Theta \cap A^{(2)}(U)$ and \mathcal{P} is dense in $A^{(2)}(U)$.

Corollary 3.5. *If Γ is infinite then $\ker \Theta \cap A^{(2)}(U)$ is dense in $A^{(2)}(U)$.*

Remark 3.6. \mathcal{P} is non-trivial. Indeed, suppose $\mathcal{P} = \{0\}$. Then for all $p \in P$, $g \in \Gamma$ we have:

$$0 = K(z, p) - \overline{J(g, p)}^k K(z, gp) = K(z, p) - K(g^{-1}z, p)J(g^{-1}, z)^k.$$

Hence $K(z, p)$ as a function of z (with fixed p) belongs to $\mathcal{A}_\Gamma^{(1)}(U)$. But $K(z, p) \in \mathcal{A}^{(1)}(U)$ and $\mathcal{A}_\Gamma^{(1)}(U) \cap \mathcal{A}^{(1)}(U) = \{0\}$ unless Γ is finite (III.2 [K1]).

4. PROOFS

4.1. Proof of Proposition 3.1. Let Φ be a holomorphic k -differential on V . Then $(\pi|_U)^*\Phi = \phi(z)dz^k$ is a holomorphic k -differential on U . Let z_0 be a point in $\pi^{-1}(\Lambda)$. $\phi(z)$ has an isolated singularity at z_0 . If $\Phi \in B(V)$ then $\phi(z)$ is bounded in a small neighborhood of z_0 , hence $\phi(z)$ has a removable singularity at z_0 . If $\Phi \in A^{(2)}(V)$ then $\phi(z)$ has a removable singularity at z_0 . If $\Phi \in A^{(1)}(V)$ then $\phi(z)$ has a removable singularity or a simple pole at z_0 . \square .

4.2. Proof of Proposition 3.2. By Theorem 2.1 Θ and Θ_0 are continuous surjective maps.

For any $f \in \mathcal{A}^{(1)}(\Delta)$ and for any $\epsilon > 0$ there is a polynomial $p(z)$ with rational coefficients such that

$$\int_{\Delta} |f(z) - p(z)|(1 - |z|^2)^{k-2} d\mu < \epsilon$$

(the proof is analogous to the proof of Corollary 1 in 3.2 [GL], see also the proof of Proposition 1.3 [HKZ]). So $\mathcal{A}^{(1)}(\Delta)$ is separable and \mathbb{P} is dense in $\mathcal{A}^{(1)}(\Delta)$. The statements follow. \square

4.3. Proof of Theorem 3.3. We shall follow the idea of the proof of the main theorem in [Lj].

Let l be a continuous linear functional on $\ker \Theta$. It will suffice to show that if $l(f) = 0$ for all $f \in W$ then $l(f) = 0$ for all $f \in \ker \Theta$.

By the Hahn-Banach theorem l can be extended to $\tilde{l} \in (A^{(1)}(U))^*$ such that $\|\tilde{l}\| = \|l\|$. By Theorem 3.2.1 [K1] there is $\psi \in \mathcal{B}(U)$ such that

$$\tilde{l}(f) = \int_U f(z) \overline{\psi(z)} w(z)^{2k-2} d\mu$$

for all $f \in \mathcal{A}^{(1)}(U)$.

Now let us prove two lemmas.

Lemma 4.1. *If $g, \gamma \in \Gamma$, $\phi \in L^1_{\Gamma}(U, w^{k-2}d\mu)$ then*

$$\beta(\chi_{g\mathcal{F}}\phi - \chi_{\gamma\mathcal{F}}\phi)(z)dz^k \in \ker \Theta.$$

Proof of Lemma 4.1. $\phi \in L^1_{\Gamma}(U, w^{k-2}d\mu)$, therefore $\beta(\chi_{g\mathcal{F}}\phi), \beta(\chi_{\gamma\mathcal{F}}\phi) \in \mathcal{A}^{(1)}(U)$, so $\beta(\chi_{g\mathcal{F}}\phi - \chi_{\gamma\mathcal{F}}\phi)(z)dz^k \in A^{(1)}(U)$. We get:

$$\begin{aligned} \beta(\chi_{g\mathcal{F}}\phi)(z) &= \int_{g\mathcal{F}} \phi(\xi) K(z, \xi) w(\xi)^{2k-2} d\mu(\xi), \\ \beta(\chi_{\gamma\mathcal{F}}\phi)(z) &= \int_{\gamma\mathcal{F}} \phi(\eta) K(z, \eta) w(\eta)^{2k-2} d\mu(\eta) = \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{g\mathcal{F}} \phi(\gamma g^{-1}\xi) K(z, \gamma g^{-1}\xi) w(\gamma g^{-1}\xi)^{2k-2} d\mu(\gamma g^{-1}\xi) = \\ & \int_{g\mathcal{F}} \phi(\xi) J(\gamma g^{-1}, \xi)^{-k} K(z, \gamma g^{-1}\xi) w(\xi)^{2k-2} |J(\gamma g^{-1}, \xi)|^{2k} d\mu(\xi) = \\ & \int_{g\mathcal{F}} \phi(\xi) K(z, \gamma g^{-1}\xi) w(\xi)^{2k-2} \overline{J(\gamma g^{-1}, \xi)}^k d\mu(\xi), \end{aligned}$$

so

$$\begin{aligned} & \theta(\beta(\chi_{g\mathcal{F}}\phi - \chi_{\gamma\mathcal{F}}\phi))(z) = \\ & \sum_{h \in \Gamma} \int_{g\mathcal{F}} \phi(\xi) (K(hz, \xi) - K(hz, \gamma g^{-1}\xi) \overline{J(\gamma g^{-1}, \xi)}^k) w(\xi)^{2k-2} d\mu(\xi) J(h, z)^k \end{aligned}$$

which is zero because

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{h \in \Gamma} K(hz, \gamma g^{-1}\xi) \overline{J(\gamma g^{-1}, \xi)}^k J(h, z)^k = \\ & \sum_{h \in \Gamma} K(g\gamma^{-1}hz, \xi) J(g\gamma^{-1}, hz)^k J(h, z)^k = \\ & \sum_{h \in \Gamma} K(g\gamma^{-1}hz, \xi) J(g\gamma^{-1}h, z)^k = \sum_{\alpha \in \Gamma} K(\alpha z, \xi) J(\alpha, z)^k \end{aligned}$$

where $\alpha = g\gamma^{-1}h$. \square .

Lemma 4.2. *If $\psi \in \mathcal{B}(U)$ and for all $\phi \in L^1_\Gamma(U, w^{k-2}d\mu)$*

$$\int_{\mathcal{F}} \phi(z) \overline{\psi(z)} w(z)^{2k-2} d\mu = 0$$

then $\psi(z)$ is identically zero.

Proof of Lemma 4.2. Define $\psi_1 \in L^\infty_\Gamma(U, \sup_{z \in \mathcal{F}} |\cdot| w(z)^k)$ by setting $\psi_1 = \psi$ on \mathcal{F} and $\psi_1(hz) = \psi_1(z) J(h, z)^{-k}$ for all $z \in \mathcal{F}$, $h \in \Gamma$. Then ψ_1 is zero (because $L^\infty_\Gamma(U, \sup_{z \in \mathcal{F}} |\cdot| w(z)^k)$ is the dual of $L^1_\Gamma(U, w^{k-2}d\mu)$ [K1]). Therefore $\psi|_{\mathcal{F}} = 0$ and, since ψ is holomorphic, it must be identically zero. \square .

Lemma 4.1 shows that $W \subset \ker \Theta$.

Suppose that $\tilde{l}(f) = 0$ for all $f \in W$. We get: for all $g, \gamma \in \Gamma$, $\phi \in L^1_\Gamma(U, w^{k-2}d\mu)$

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= \int_U \beta(\chi_{g\mathcal{F}}\phi - \chi_{\gamma\mathcal{F}}\phi)(z) \overline{\psi(z)} w(z)^{2k-2} d\mu = \\ & \int_U \int_U (\chi_{g\mathcal{F}}\phi(\xi) - \chi_{\gamma\mathcal{F}}\phi(\xi)) K(z, \xi) w(\xi)^{2k-2} d\mu(\xi) \overline{\psi(z)} w(z)^{2k-2} d\mu(z) = \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& \int_U \int_U \overline{\psi(z)K(\xi, z)w(z)^{2k-2}d\mu(z)(\chi_{g\mathcal{F}}\phi(\xi) - \chi_{\gamma\mathcal{F}}\phi(\xi))w(\xi)^{2k-2}d\mu(\xi)} = \\
& \int_U (\chi_{g\mathcal{F}}\phi(\xi) - \chi_{\gamma\mathcal{F}}\phi(\xi))\overline{\psi(\xi)}w(\xi)^{2k-2}d\mu(\xi) = \\
& \int_{g\mathcal{F}} \phi(\xi)\overline{\psi(\xi)}w(\xi)^{2k-2}d\mu(\xi) - \int_{\gamma\mathcal{F}} \phi(\eta)\overline{\psi(\eta)}w(\eta)^{2k-2}d\mu(\eta) = \\
& \int_{\mathcal{F}} (\phi(gz)\overline{\psi(gz)}|J(g, z)|^{2k} - \phi(\gamma z)\overline{\psi(\gamma z)}|J(\gamma, z)|^{2k})w(z)^{2k-2}d\mu(z) = \\
& \int_{\mathcal{F}} \phi(z)(\overline{\psi(gz)J(g, z)^k} - \overline{\psi(\gamma z)J(\gamma, z)^k})w(z)^{2k-2}d\mu(z).
\end{aligned}$$

Hence, by Lemma 4.2, with $\xi = gz$,

$$\psi(\xi) = \psi(\gamma g^{-1}\xi)J(\gamma g^{-1}, \xi)^k$$

for all $\xi \in U$, $\gamma, g \in \Gamma$, thus $\psi \in \mathcal{B}_\Gamma(U)$. For any $\phi(z)dz^k \in A^{(1)}(U)$

$$\begin{aligned}
& \int_{\mathcal{F}} \theta\phi(z)\overline{\psi(z)}w(z)^{2k-2}d\mu = \int_{\mathcal{F}} \sum_{h \in \Gamma} \phi(hz)J(h, z)^k\overline{\psi(z)}w(z)^{2k-2}d\mu = \\
& \sum_{h \in \Gamma} \int_{h\mathcal{F}} \phi(\xi)\overline{\psi(\xi)}w(\xi)^{2k-2}d\mu(\xi) = \int_U \phi(\xi)\overline{\psi(\xi)}w(\xi)^{2k-2}d\mu(\xi) = \tilde{l}(\phi).
\end{aligned}$$

Thus $\tilde{l} = 0$, so $l = 0$ on all of $\ker \Theta$, as required.

□

4.4. Proof of Theorem 3.4.

First, we note that $K(z, p) - \overline{J(g, p)^k} K(z, gp)$, as a function of z (with fixed $g \in G$, $p \in P$), is in $\mathcal{A}^{(1)}(U)$ and $\mathcal{A}^{(2)}(U)$.

The following calculation shows that it belongs to $\ker \Theta$:

$$\begin{aligned}
& \sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma} (K(\gamma z, p) - \overline{J(g, p)^k} K(\gamma z, gp))J(\gamma, z)^k = \sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma} K(\gamma z, p)J(\gamma, z)^k - \\
& \sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma} \overline{J(g, p)^k} K(g^{-1}\gamma z, p)J(g^{-1}, \gamma z)^k \overline{J(g^{-1}, gp)^k} J(\gamma, z)^k = \\
& \sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma} K(\gamma z, p)J(\gamma, z)^k - \sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma} K(g^{-1}\gamma z, p)J(g^{-1}\gamma, z)^k = 0.
\end{aligned}$$

Finally, let us assume that $f(z)dz^k \in A^{(2)}(U)$ and

$$\int_U f(z)\overline{(K(z, p) - \overline{J(g, p)^k} K(z, gp))w(z)^{2k-2}d\mu} = 0$$

for all $p \in P$, $g \in \Gamma$. We need to show that f is identically zero. We have:

$$f(p) = \int_U f(z)K(p, z)w(z)^{2k-2}d\mu = \int_U f(z)J(g, p)^k K(gp, z)w(z)^{2k-2}d\mu(z) = f(gp)J(g, p)^k$$

for all $p \in P$, $g \in \Gamma$. Therefore the holomorphic function $f(p) - f(gp)J(g, p)^k$ is zero on P , hence it's identically zero, so $f \in \mathcal{A}_\Gamma^{(2)}(U) \cap \mathcal{A}^{(2)}(U)$ which is $\{0\}$ (III.2 [K1]). \square

Appendix: **Proof of Theorem 2.1(i)**

Let $z_0 \in U$, let $r > 0$ be sufficiently small so that the closed disc $\bar{B}(z_0; r) = \{z \in \mathbb{C} \mid |z - z_0| \leq r\}$ is in \mathcal{F} . Let us show that the series $\theta(\varphi)$ converges absolutely at z_0 . By the Mean Value Theorem for a function f holomorphic on an open set containing $\bar{B}(z_0; r)$

$$f(z_0) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} f(z_0 + re^{it})dt,$$

so

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\bar{B}(z_0; r)} f(z)dxdy &= \int_0^r \int_0^{2\pi} f(z_0 + \rho e^{it})\rho dt d\rho = \\ &2\pi \int_0^r f(z_0)\rho d\rho = f(z_0)\pi r^2, \end{aligned}$$

hence $f(z_0) = \frac{1}{\pi r^2} \int_{\bar{B}(z_0; r)} f(z)dxdy$. Let $m = \min_{z \in \bar{B}(z_0; r)} w(z)^{k-2}$. Note: $m > 0$. We have: for $\gamma \in \Gamma$

$$\begin{aligned} |\varphi(\gamma z_0)J(\gamma, z_0)^k| &= \left| \frac{1}{\pi r^2} \int_{\bar{B}(z_0; r)} \varphi(\gamma z)J(\gamma, z)^k dxdy \right| \leq \\ &\frac{1}{\pi r^2} \int_{\bar{B}(z_0; r)} |\varphi(\gamma z)J(\gamma, z)^k| dxdy \leq \\ &\frac{1}{m\pi r^2} \int_{\bar{B}(z_0; r)} |\varphi(\gamma z)J(\gamma, z)^k| w(z)^{k-2} dxdy. \end{aligned}$$

We get:

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma} |\varphi(\gamma z_0)J(\gamma, z_0)^k| &\leq \frac{1}{m\pi r^2} \sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma} \int_{\bar{B}(z_0; r)} |\varphi(\gamma z)J(\gamma, z)^k| w(z)^{k-2} dxdy = \\ &\frac{1}{m\pi r^2} \sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma} \int_{\gamma(\bar{B}(z_0; r))} |\varphi(\eta)| w(\eta)^{k-2} dRe(\eta)dIm(\eta) \leq \end{aligned}$$

$$\frac{1}{m\pi r^2} \int_U |\varphi(\eta)| w(\eta)^{k-2} d\operatorname{Re}(\eta) d\operatorname{Im}(\eta) < \infty.$$

Now let's choose a compact set $K \subset U$ and show that the series converges uniformly on K .

Let $r > 0$ be sufficiently small, so that the disc of radius r centered at any point of K is contained in a compact set K' and $K' \subset U$. Let q be the number of elements of Γ such that $\gamma K' \cap K' \neq \emptyset$. For $0 < \epsilon < 1$ denote by C_ϵ the closed disc centered at 0 of radius $1 - \epsilon$. There are at most finitely many $\gamma \in \Gamma$ such that $\gamma K' \cap C_\epsilon \neq \emptyset$ (p. 219 [S]). Write Σ'_ϵ to denote the sum over all other $\gamma \in \Gamma$. As above, we obtain, for $z \in K$:

$$\Sigma'_\epsilon |\varphi(\gamma z) J(\gamma, z)^k| \leq \frac{q}{m_K \pi r^2} \int_{U-C_\epsilon} |\varphi(z)| w(z)^{k-2} dx dy,$$

where $m_K = \min_{\xi \in K'} w(\xi)^{k-2}$. As $\epsilon \rightarrow 1$ the right hand side goes to zero. This proves uniform convergence. \square

REFERENCES

- [A] L. Ahlfors. *Conformal invariants: topics in geometric function theory*. McGraw-Hill, 1973.
- [BD1] D. Barrett, J. Diller. *Poincaré series and holomorphic averaging*. Invent. Math. 110 (1992), no. 1, 23–27.
- [BD2] D. Barrett, J. Diller. *Contraction properties of the Poincaré series operator*. Michigan Math. J. 43 (1996), no. 3, 519–538.
- [E] M. Engliš. *Asymptotics of reproducing kernels on a plane domain*. Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 123 (1995), no. 10, 3157–3160.
- [G] F. Gardiner. *Teichmüller theory and quadratic differentials*. Pure and Applied Mathematics (New York). A Wiley-Interscience Publication. John Wiley and Sons, Inc., New York, 1987.
- [GL] F. Gardiner, N. Lakic. *Quasiconformal Teichmüller theory*. Mathematical Surveys and Monographs, 76. American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2000.
- [HKZ] H. Hedenmalm, B. Korenblum, K. Zhu. *Theory of Bergman spaces*. Graduate Texts in Mathematics, 199. Springer-Verlag, New York, 2000.
- [K1] I. Kra. *Automorphic forms and Kleinian groups*. Mathematics Lecture Note Series. W. A. Benjamin, Inc., Reading, Mass., 1972.
- [K2] I. Kra. *On the vanishing of Poincaré series of rational functions*. Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. (N.S.) 8 (1983), no. 1, 63–66.
- [K3] I. Kra. *On the vanishing of and spanning sets for Poincaré series for cusp forms*. Acta Math. 153 (1984), no. 1-2, 47–116.
- [La] N. Lakic. *An isometry theorem for quadratic differentials on Riemann surfaces of finite genus*. Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 349 (1997), no. 7, 2951–2967.
- [LM] N. Lakic, S. Mitra. *Approximation by meromorphic quadratic differentials*. In *Complex manifolds and hyperbolic geometry (Guanajuato, 2001)*, 301–304, Contemp. Math., 311, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2002.

- [Lj] G. Ljan. *The kernel of the Poincaré θ -operator*. Soviet Math. Dokl. 17 (1976), no. 5, 1283–1285.
- [Ma] M. Masumoto. *A characterization of the kernel of the Poincaré series operator*. Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 300 (1987), no. 2, 695–704.
- [Mc] C. McMullen. *Amenability, Poincaré series and quasiconformal maps*. Invent. Math. 97 (1989), no. 1, 95–127.
- [Me] T. Metzger. *The kernel of the Poincaré series operator*. Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 76 (1979), no. 2, 289–292.
- [S] I. Shafarevich. *Basic algebraic geometry. 2. Schemes and complex manifolds. Second edition*. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1994.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF WESTERN ONTARIO, LONDON, ONTARIO N6A 5B7, CANADA
E-mail address: naskarip@uwo.ca

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF WESTERN ONTARIO, LONDON, ONTARIO N6A 5B7, CANADA
E-mail address: tfoth@uwo.ca