GLOBALLY SUBANALYTIC ARC-SYMMETRIC SETS

JANUSZ ADAMUS

ABSTRACT. It is shown that every C-semianalytic arc-symmetric set can be realized as the zero locus of an arc-analytic function. As a consequence, a Nash globally subanalytic arc-symmetric set is the zero locus of a continuous globally-subanalytic function which is arc-analytic outside a simple normal crossings divisor.

1. INTRODUCTION

The purpose of this article is to initiate a systematic study of a certain important class of subanalytic sets, which are closed under analytic continuation. Let us begin by recalling some basic notions. A set $X \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ is called *semianalytic*, when every point $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ has an open neighbourhood U such that $X \cap U$ is a finite union of sets of the form

$$\{y \in U : f(y) = 0, g_1(y) > 0, \dots, g_k(y) > 0\},\$$

where $f, g_1, \ldots, g_k \in \mathscr{A}(U)$ are real analytic functions on U. A set $Y \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ is called *subanalytic*, when for every point $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ there are an open neighbourhood U and a bounded semianalytic set $X \subset \mathbb{R}^{n+m}$, for some m, such that $Y \cap U = \pi(X)$, where $\pi : \mathbb{R}^{n+m} \to \mathbb{R}^n$ is the coordinate projection.

For any $n \in \mathbb{Z}_+$, let $v_n : \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}^n$ denote the semialgebraic map

$$(x_1,\ldots,x_n) \mapsto \left(\frac{x_1}{\sqrt{1+x_1^2}},\ldots,\frac{x_n}{\sqrt{1+x_n^2}}\right)$$

We say that a set $E \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ is globally subanalytic if its image $v_n(E)$ is subanalytic in \mathbb{R}^n . Since v_n is an analytic isomorphism onto the bounded open set $(-1,1)^n$, it follows that globally subanalytic sets are subanalytic. The importance of the class of globally subanalytic sets stems from the fact that they form an o-minimal structure $(S_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ (see [10]). This means, by definition, that for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$, (i) S_n is a boolean algebra of subsets of \mathbb{R}^n , (ii) $X \in S_n$ implies $X \times \mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R} \times X \in S_{n+1}$, (iii) $\{(x_1, \ldots, x_n) : x_1 = x_n\} \in S_n$, (iv) $X \in S_{n+1}$ implies $\pi(X) \in S_n$, where $\pi : \mathbb{R}^{n+1} \to \mathbb{R}^n$ is the coordinate projection, (v) the set $\{(x, y) \in \mathbb{R}^2 : x < y\}$ is in S_2 , and (vi) the only elements of S_1 are the finite unions of open intervals and singletons. O-minimality is responsible for several finiteness properties that we use throughout the paper, such as existence of finite stratifications. For details on o-minimal structures, see [9].

²⁰¹⁰ Mathematics Subject Classification. 32B20, 14P15, 14E15, 32C40.

Key words and phrases. subanalytic geometry, globally subanalytic set, arc-symmetric set, Nash subanalytic set, semianalytic set, arc-analytic function.

J. Adamus's research was partially supported by the Natural Sciences and Engineering Research Council of Canada.

Finally, a set $E \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ is called *arc-symmetric*, when for every real analytic arc $\gamma : (-1,1) \to \mathbb{R}^n$ with $\operatorname{Int}(\gamma^{-1}(E)) \neq \emptyset$, one has $\gamma((-1,1)) \subset E$. (Here and throughout, $\operatorname{Int}(S)$ (or $\operatorname{Int}_{\Gamma}(S)$) denotes the interior of a set S (as a subset of Γ).) A function $f : \Omega \to \mathbb{R}$ on a real analytic manifold Ω is called *arc-analytic*, when $f \circ \gamma$ is analytic for every real analytic arc $\gamma : (-1,1) \to \Omega$.

Throughout this paper we shall denote by $\mathscr{AR}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ the class of globally subanalytic arc-symmetric subsets of \mathbb{R}^n . This class includes, in particular, the arcsymmetric semialgebraic subsets of \mathbb{R}^n (introduced by Kurdyka [14]). The geometry of semialgebraic arc-symmetric sets is well understood by now. In fact, it turns out that they are precisely the zero loci of semialgebraic arc-analytic functions. The elegant theory of semialgebraic arc-symmetric sets and arc-analytic functions provides a natural real counterpart of algebraic geometry over an algebraically closed field (see [14, 5, 16, 2, 3, 15] and the references therein).

Much less is known in the subanalytic setting. The main goal of this note is to show that certain fundamental analytic and geometric properties of the semialgebraic arc-symmetric sets can be generalized to our $\mathscr{AR}(\mathbb{R}^n)$. We begin by restricting to the class of C-semianalytic sets, introduced by Acquistapace, Broglia and Fernando [1] (see Section 3). Thanks to Theorem 6.1, these can be viewed as a local model for a more general class, namely the Nash subanalytic arc-symmetric sets, studied in the final Section 6. In fact, we conjecture that all globally subanalytic arc-symmetric sets are Nash (Conjecture 6.4). Our main result, Theorem 5.2 asserts that locally a Nash subanalytic arc-symmetric set is the zero locus of a subanalytic arc-analytic function. As a consequence, globally such a set can be realized as the zero locus of a continuous globally subanalytic function, which is arc-analytic outside a simple normal crossings divisor (Theorem 6.3).

2. \mathscr{AR} topology

Let Ω be a connected real analytic submanifold of \mathbb{R}^n . We shall denote by $\mathscr{AR}(\Omega)$ the family of arc-symmetric subsets of Ω that are globally subanalytic as subsets of \mathbb{R}^n .

Remark 2.1.

- (1) Every $E \in \mathscr{AR}(\Omega)$ is a closed set in the Euclidean topology on Ω . This follows from the subanalytic Curve Selection Lemma (see, e.g., [10, 1.17]).
- (2) $\mathscr{AR}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ contains all arc-symmetric semialgebraic subsets of \mathbb{R}^n .
- (3) $\mathscr{AR}(\Omega)$ contains globally subanalytic real analytic subsets of Ω . Indeed, real analytic sets are arc-symmetric.

Kurdyka's fundamental [14, Thm. 1.4] generalizes naturally to the globally subanalytic setting.

Theorem 2.2. Let Ω be a connected, globally subanalytic, real analytic submanifold of \mathbb{R}^n . There exists a noetherian topology on Ω , whose closed sets are precisely the elements of $\mathscr{AR}(\Omega)$.

The theorem follows from the two lemmas below. We shall call the above noetherian topology the \mathscr{AR} -topology on Ω . The elements of $\mathscr{AR}(\Omega)$ will henceforth be called \mathscr{AR} -closed sets. **Lemma 2.3.** Let Γ be a connected, smooth, subanalytic subset of Ω , and let $E \subset \Omega$ be subanalytic and arc-symmetric. Then

$$\Gamma \not\subset E \implies \dim(\Gamma \cap E) < \dim \Gamma$$

The proof of Lemma 2.3 is identical to that of [14, 1.6], as it only relies on basic topological properties of o-minimal structures. We include it for the reader's convenience.

Proof. Suppose that $\dim \Gamma \cap E = \dim \Gamma = k$. Then, $\operatorname{Int}_{\Gamma}(\Gamma \cap E) \neq \emptyset$, so one can pick a point $a \in \operatorname{Int}_{\Gamma}(\Gamma \cap E)$. Let then U be an open chart around a in Γ and let $\varphi : U \to \mathbb{B}^k$ be an analytic isomorphism onto the open unit ball in \mathbb{R}^k such that $\varphi(a) = 0$. We have $\varphi(\operatorname{Int}_{\Gamma}(\Gamma \cap E)) \cap \mathbb{B}^k \neq \emptyset$, and hence can pick a $b \in \varphi(\operatorname{Int}_{\Gamma}(\Gamma \cap E)) \cap \mathbb{B}^k$. Let now $x \in \mathbb{B}^k$ be arbitrary and let $\widetilde{\gamma} : [-1,1] \to \mathbb{B}^k$ be an analytic arc with $\widetilde{\gamma}(-1) = b$, $\widetilde{\gamma}(1) = x$. Set $\gamma \coloneqq \varphi^{-1} \circ \widetilde{\gamma}$. Then, $\operatorname{Int}(\gamma^{-1}(E)) \neq \emptyset$, and hence by arc-symmetry of E, $\gamma^{-1}(E) = [-1,1]$. In particular, $\varphi^{-1}(x) \in E$. Since x was arbitrary, we have $U \subset \operatorname{Int}_{\Gamma}(\Gamma \cap E)$, and so $a \in \operatorname{Int}_{\Gamma}(\Gamma \cap E)$. Since a was arbitrary, this proves $\overline{\operatorname{Int}_{\Gamma}(\Gamma \cap E)} = \operatorname{Int}_{\Gamma}(\Gamma \cap E)$, and thus $\Gamma \cap E = \Gamma$, by connectedness of Γ .

Lemma 2.4. Let Γ be a globally subanalytic, smooth, connected subset of Ω , and let $\{E_i\}_{i \in I} \subset \mathscr{AR}(\Omega)$. Then, there exist $i_1, \ldots, i_s \in I$ such that

$$\Gamma \cap \bigcap_{i \in I} E_i = \Gamma \cap E_{i_1} \cap \dots \cap E_{i_s}.$$

The proof, again, is virtually identical to that of [14, Lem. 1.5]. We include it for the reader's convenience.

Proof. We proceed by induction on $k = \dim \Gamma$. If k = 0, then Γ is a singleton and there is nothing to show. Suppose then that $k \ge 1$ and the claim holds for all globally subanalytic smooth connected subsets of Ω of dimensions less than k. If $\Gamma \subset E_i$ for all $i \in I$, then again there is nothing to show, so let $i_0 \in I$ be such that $\Gamma \cap E_{i_0} \not\supseteq \Gamma$. By Lemma 2.3, the globally subanalytic set $\Gamma \cap E_{i_0}$ is then of dimension less than or equal to k - 1. By o-minimality, $\Gamma \cap E_{i_0}$ is a finite union of connected smooth globally subanalytic sets $\Gamma_1, \ldots, \Gamma_s$. By induction, for each $j = 1, \ldots, s$, there exists a finite index subset $I_j \subset I$ such that $\Gamma_j \cap \bigcap_{i \in I} E_i = \Gamma_j \cap \bigcap_{i \in I_j} E_i$. Then,

$$\Gamma \cap \bigcap_{i \in I} E_i = (\Gamma_1 \cup \dots \cup \Gamma_s) \cap \bigcap_{i \in I} E_i = \bigcup_{j=1}^s (\Gamma_j \cap \bigcap_{i \in I_j} E_i) = \Gamma \cap \bigcap_{i \in I_1 \cup \dots \cup I_s} E_i.$$

Proof of Theorem 2.2. By Lemma 2.4, letting $\Gamma = \Omega$, intersection of an arbitrary family of \mathscr{AR} -closed sets is an \mathscr{AR} -closed set. Clearly, finite unions of arc-symmetric sets are also arc-symmetric. So are the empty set \varnothing and Ω . Noetherianity of the \mathscr{AR} -topology follows from Lemma 2.4 again, since every decreasing sequence of \mathscr{AR} -closed sets stabilizes.

Given a set $E \in \mathscr{AR}(\Omega)$, we will say that E is \mathscr{AR} -irreducible if E cannot be expressed as a union of two proper \mathscr{AR} -closed subsets. By noetherianity of \mathscr{AR} topology, every \mathscr{AR} -closed set E can be uniquely expressed as a finite union of

 $\mathcal{AR} ext{-irreducible sets}$

$$E = E_1 \cup \cdots \cup E_s$$
, where $E_i \not\subset \bigcup_{j \neq i} E_j$ for all $i = 1, \dots, s$.

The sets E_1, \ldots, E_s are called the \mathscr{AR} -irreducible components of E. By noetherianity of \mathscr{AR} -topology, one can also define the \mathscr{AR} -closure of an arbitrary set $S \subset \Omega$, denoted $\overline{S}^{\mathscr{AR}}$, as the smallest (with respect to inclusion) \mathscr{AR} -closed subset of Ω that contains S.

3. C-Semianalytic arc-symmetric sets

Let Ω be a real analytic manifold, and let Ω^* denote its complexification (see, e.g., [11] for a modern exposition of complexification of real analytic spaces). A set $R \subset \Omega$ is called *C*-analytic, when there exists an open neighbourhood V^* of Ω in Ω^* and a complex analytic set Z in V^* such that $Z \cap \Omega = R$ (see, e.g., [19]). By [19, Prop. 10] (cf. [8, Prop. 15]), R is a C-analytic subset of Ω if and only if R can be realized as the common zero locus of finitely many real analytic functions on Ω , and thus $R = f^{-1}(0)$ for some $f \in \mathscr{A}(\Omega)$.

For a set $S \subset \Omega$, its *C*-analytic closure is the smallest C-analytic set in Ω which contains S. It is well defined, as the intersection of any family of C-analytic sets is itself C-analytic (see, e.g., [19, § 8]).

Following [1], we will say that a subset E of Ω is *C*-semianalytic, when E is a union of a locally finite family of global basic semianalytic subsets of Ω , that is, sets of the form $\{f = 0, g_1 > 0, \ldots, g_s > 0\}$, where $f, g_j \in \mathscr{A}(\Omega)$.

Let $\mathscr{AR}_C(\mathbb{R}^n)$ denote the family of C-semianalytic globally subanalytic arcsymmetric sets in \mathbb{R}^n . More generally, for a real analytic submanifold $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$, we shall denote by $\mathscr{AR}_C(\Omega)$ the family of C-semianalytic sets $E \subset \Omega$ such that E is arc-symmetric in Ω and globally subanalytic as a subset of \mathbb{R}^n .

Theorem 3.1. Let Ω be a connected globally subanalytic real analytic submanifold of \mathbb{R}^n . There exists a noetherian topology on Ω , whose closed sets are precisely the elements of $\mathscr{AR}_C(\Omega)$.

Proof. Let Γ be a globally subanalytic smooth connected subset of Ω , and let $\{E_i\}_{i\in I} \subset \mathscr{AR}_C(\Omega)$ be arbitrary. By Lemmas 2.3 and 2.4,

$$\Gamma \cap \bigcap_{i \in I} E_i = \Gamma \cap E_{i_1} \cap \dots \cap E_{i_s},$$

for some $i_1, \ldots, i_s \in I$. By [1, Prop. 5.3.5], locally finite unions and intersections of C-semianalytic sets are C-semianalytic. The claim thus follows as in the proof of Theorem 2.2.

Given a set $E \in \mathscr{AR}_C(\Omega)$, we will say that E is \mathscr{AR}_C -irreducible if E cannot be expressed as a union of two proper \mathscr{AR}_C -closed subsets. By noetherianity of \mathscr{AR}_C -topology, every \mathscr{AR}_C -closed set E can be uniquely expressed as a finite union of \mathscr{AR}_C -irreducible sets

$$E = E_1 \cup \cdots \cup E_s$$
, where $E_i \not\subset \bigcup_{j \neq i} E_j$ for all $i = 1, \dots, s$.

The sets E_1, \ldots, E_s are called the \mathscr{AR}_C -irreducible components of E.

Proposition 3.2. Let Ω be a connected real analytic submanifold of \mathbb{R}^n . Let $E \in \mathscr{AR}_C(\Omega)$ and let $R_E \subset \Omega$ denote its C-analytic closure. Then, dim $R_E = \dim E$.

Proof. Let $k = \dim E$. Recall that R_E is the intersection of all C-analytic sets $R \subset \Omega$, such that $E \subset R$. Clearly, for every such R, dim $R \ge \dim E$. Therefore, it suffices to find a C-analytic R with $R \supset E$ and dim R = k.

Assume without loss of generality that $E \neq \emptyset$. As a globally subanalytic set, $E = \Gamma_1 \cup \cdots \cup \Gamma_s$ is a finite union of connected smooth globally subanalytic sets. Let $x_0 \in E$ be arbitrary, and let B be an open ball containing x_0 , such that $E \cap B = (S_1 \cup \cdots \cup S_t) \cap B$, where each S_j is a global basic semianalytic set in Ω . Let R_j be the C-analytic closure of S_j , $j = 1, \ldots, t$. Since dim $R_j = \dim S_j$, there exists j_1 such that dim $_{x_0} R_{j_1} = \dim_{x_0} E$. Then, R_{j_1} contains a nonempty open subset of a manifold Γ_{i_1} of dimension dim $_{x_0} E$ adherent to x_0 , for some $1 \leq i_1 \leq s$. Hence, $R_{j_1} \supset \Gamma_{i_1}$, by arc-symmetry (Lemma 2.3). Since the collection $\Gamma_1, \ldots, \Gamma_s$ is finite, it follows that E is contained in the union of finitely many C-analytic sets R_{j_1}, \ldots, R_{j_r} . Set $R = R_{j_1} \cup \cdots \cup R_{j_r}$. Then, R is C-analytic and dim R =max_i dim $R_j = \max_i \dim \Gamma_i = \dim E$.

Let now $R \subset \Omega$ be a C-analytic set of dimension k > 0. By [19, Prop. 10], Ris the zero locus of a coherent sheaf of $\mathscr{A}(\Omega)$ -ideals. It thus admits a resolution of singularities $\pi : \widetilde{R} \to R$, where \widetilde{R} is smooth of dimension k, and π is a composite of a locally finite sequence of blowings-up with smooth centres (see [6, Thm. 13.4]). Moreover, there is a C-analytic set $S \subset R$, of dimension dim S < k, such that $R \setminus S$ is smooth of pure dimension k and π is an isomorphism over $R \setminus S$. (Indeed, if Z is a k-dimensional complex analytic set in an open neighbourhood V^* of Ω in Ω^* such that $Z \cap \Omega = R$ and $Z = Z' \cup Z''$ is its decomposition into analytic sets, where Z' is of pure dimension k and dim Z'' < k, then one can take $S = (\text{Sing}(Z') \cup Z'') \cap \Omega$.)

Lemma 3.3. Let Ω be a connected real analytic submanifold of \mathbb{R}^n . Let $R \subset \Omega$ be a *C*-analytic set of dimension k > 0, let $\pi : \widetilde{R} \to R$ be its resolution of singularities, and let $S \subset R$ be a *C*-analytic set of dimension dim S < k, such that $R \setminus S$ is smooth of pure dimension k and π is an isomorphism over $R \setminus S$. Then, for every connected component \widetilde{E} of \widetilde{R} , the set $\pi(\widetilde{E}) \cup S$ is arc-symmetric in Ω .

Proof. Let \widetilde{E} be a connected component of \widetilde{R} , and let $\gamma : (-1, 1) \to R$ be an analytic arc with $\operatorname{Int}(\gamma^{-1}(\pi(\widetilde{E}) \cup S)) \neq \emptyset$. If $\operatorname{Int}(\gamma^{-1}(S)) \neq \emptyset$, then $\gamma((-1, 1)) \subset S$, by arcsymmetry of S. Otherwise, γ intersects S only at isolated points, and hence there is a unique analytic arc $\widetilde{\gamma} : (-1, 1) \to \widetilde{R}$ such that $\gamma = \pi \circ \widetilde{\gamma}$. It now follows that $\operatorname{Int}(\widetilde{\gamma}^{-1}(\widetilde{E})) = \operatorname{Int}(\gamma^{-1}(\pi(\widetilde{E})) \neq \emptyset$, and hence $\widetilde{\gamma}((-1, 1)) \subset \widetilde{E}$, by arc-symmetry of \widetilde{E} . Consequently, $\gamma((-1, 1)) \subset \pi(\widetilde{E})$, which completes the proof. \Box

Proposition 3.4. Let Ω be a connected real analytic submanifold of \mathbb{R}^n . Let $E \subset \Omega$ be an \mathscr{AR} -closed set of dimension k > 0, and let $R \subset \Omega$ be its C-analytic closure. Assume that dim R = k. Let $\pi : \widetilde{R} \to R$ be a desingularization of R. Then, there exist finitely many connected components $\widetilde{E}_1, \ldots, \widetilde{E}_t$ of \widetilde{R} of dimension k, such that

$$\overline{\operatorname{Reg}_k(E)} \subset \pi(\widetilde{E}_1 \cup \cdots \cup \widetilde{E}_t) \subset E.$$

Proof. Let $S \subset R$ be a C-analytic set of dimension dim S < k, such that $R \setminus S$ is a k-dimensional real analytic manifold and π is an isomorphism over $R \setminus S$. Let $\Gamma_1, \ldots, \Gamma_s$ be the connected components of $\operatorname{Reg}_k(E)$ (finitely many, by global

subanalyticity of E). For every $j = 1, \ldots, s$, $\Gamma_j \not\subset S$. As π is an isomorphism over $R \setminus S$, there exists a connected component \widetilde{E}_j of \widetilde{R} , of dimension k, such that $\pi(\widetilde{E}_j)$ contains a nonempty open subset of Γ_j . Then, $\Gamma_j \subset \pi(\widetilde{E}_j) \cup S$, by Lemmas 2.3 and 3.3. Note that $\pi(\widetilde{E}_j)$ is a closed set, as π is proper and \widetilde{E}_j is a closed subset of \widetilde{R} . Since $\Gamma_j \cap S$ is nowhere dense in Γ_j , it follows that $\Gamma_j \subset \pi(\widetilde{E}_j)$.

On the other hand, the subanalytic arc-symmetric set $\pi^{-1}(E)$ contains a nonempty open subset of the connected manifold \widetilde{E}_j , and so $\widetilde{E}_j \subset \pi^{-1}(E)$ and $\pi(\widetilde{E}_j) \subset E$. It follows that

$$\overline{\operatorname{Reg}_k(E)} \subset \overline{\pi(\widetilde{E}_1) \cup \cdots \cup \pi(\widetilde{E}_s)} = \pi(\widetilde{E}_1 \cup \cdots \cup \widetilde{E}_s) \subset E,$$

as required. (Note that there may be some repetitions among the E_1, \ldots, E_s .) \Box

By noetherianity of \mathscr{AR}_C -topology, one can also define the \mathscr{AR}_C -closure of an arbitrary set $S \subset \Omega$, denoted $\overline{S}^{\mathscr{AR}_C}$, as the smallest (with respect to inclusion) \mathscr{AR}_C -closed subset of Ω that contains S.

Remark 3.5. Unfortunately, in the subanalytic context, the \mathscr{AR} - and \mathscr{AR}_C closure behaves in a much less controlled way than in the semialgebraic setting of [14]. In particular, for an arbitrary globally subanalytic set S, one may have dim $\overline{S}^{\mathscr{AR}} > \dim S$. Indeed, consider, for example, $S = \{(x, y) \in \mathbb{R}^2 : y = \sin x, -1 \leq x \leq 1\}$. Then, S is globally subanalytic in \mathbb{R}^2 as a bounded subanalytic set, however by analytic continuation any arc-symmetric set in \mathbb{R}^2 containing S must contain the whole graph of the sine function as well. Thus, $\overline{S}^{\mathscr{AR}} = \mathbb{R}^2$, hence also $\overline{S}^{\mathscr{ARC}} = \mathbb{R}^2$. Note that S is also C-semianalytic, since $\sin x$ is a global real analytic function on \mathbb{R} .

Nonetheless, the topological dimension (as a subanalytic set) of any \mathscr{AR}_C -closed set coincides with its \mathscr{AR}_C -Krull dimension, at least in the relatively compact setting, as shown below. For a non-empty \mathscr{AR}_C -closed set E we define its Krull dimension as

 $\dim_{K} E = \sup\{l \in \mathbb{N} : \exists E_{0} \subsetneq E_{1} \subsetneq \cdots \subsetneq E_{l} \subset E, \text{ where } E_{j} \text{ are } \mathscr{AR}_{C} - \text{irreducible}\}.$ By convention, $\dim \emptyset = \dim_{K} \emptyset = -1.$

Theorem 3.6. Let Ω be a relatively compact connected real analytic submanifold of \mathbb{R}^n . If E is a non-empty \mathscr{AR}_C -closed set in Ω , then

$$\dim_K E = \dim E,$$

where $\dim E$ is the supremum of dimensions of real analytic submanifolds of E.

Proof. By Proposition 4.6 below, we have $\dim_K E \leq \dim E$. For the proof of the other inequality, we proceed by induction on $k = \dim E$. The base case being clear, assume $k \geq 1$. By the Good Directions Lemma in o-minimal structures (see [10, 4.9] or [9, Thm. VII.4.2]), there is a k-dimensional linear subspace U of \mathbb{R}^n such that the orthogonal projection $\pi : \mathbb{R}^n \to U$ has finite fibres when restricted to E. Suppose U is spanned by vectors u_1, \ldots, u_d in \mathbb{R}^n , and let $V = \operatorname{span}\{u_2, \ldots, u_d\}$. Then, the set $F = E \cap \pi^{-1}(V)$ is \mathscr{AR}_C -closed as the intersection of two \mathscr{AR}_C -closed sets, and of dimension k - 1. By the finiteness of decomposition into \mathscr{AR}_C -irreducible components, at least one such component of F is of dimension k - 1.

4. Relatively compact setting

In this section, we assume that Ω is a relatively compact connected real analytic submanifold of \mathbb{R}^n . Then, real analytic subsets of Ω are globally subanalytic, and hence C-analytic subsets of Ω are \mathscr{AR}_C -closed.

Proposition 4.1. Let $E \in \mathscr{AR}_C(\Omega)$ be \mathscr{AR}_C -irreducible. Then, there exists a unique C-irreducible C-analytic set $R \subset \Omega$, such that $E \subset R$, dim $R = \dim E$, and $R \subset S$ for every C-analytic set $S \subset \Omega$ containing E.

Proof. Let R be the C-analytic closure of E. By Proposition 3.2, R is of dimension dim E. Moreover, R is C-irreducible, for if $R = R_1 \cup R_2$ for some proper C-analytic subsets R_1, R_2 , then $E = (E \cap R_1) \cup (E \cap R_2)$ is \mathscr{AR}_C -reducible.

Lemma 4.2. Let $E \subset \Omega$ be an $\mathscr{A}\mathscr{R}_C$ -closed set of dimension k > 0, and let $E = \Gamma_1 \cup \cdots \cup \Gamma_s$ be its partition into smooth connected globally subanalytic sets. If $j \in \{1, \ldots, s\}$ is such that $\Gamma_j \not\subset \overline{\operatorname{Reg}_k(E)}$, then $\dim \overline{\Gamma_j}^{\mathscr{A}\mathscr{R}_C} = \dim \Gamma_j < k$.

Proof. Pick a point $x_0 \in \Gamma_j \setminus \overline{\operatorname{Reg}_k(E)}$. Let *B* be an open ball centered at x_0 such that $B \cap \overline{\operatorname{Reg}_k(E)} = \emptyset$. Since *E* and *B* are C-semianalytic, there exists a C-analytic $R \subset \Omega$, of dimension $\dim_{x_0} \Gamma_j$, such that $\Gamma_j \cap B \subset R$. Then, $\Gamma_j \subset R$, by Lemma 2.3, and so $\overline{\Gamma_j}^{\mathscr{AR}_C} \subset R$, as *R* is \mathscr{AR}_C -closed. Consequently, $\dim \overline{\Gamma_j}^{\mathscr{AR}_C} \leq \dim R = \dim \Gamma_j < k$.

Corollary 4.3. Let $E \in \mathscr{AR}_C(\Omega)$ be \mathscr{AR}_C -irreducible, of dimension k > 0. If $F \in \mathscr{AR}_C(\Omega)$ and $\operatorname{Reg}_k(E) \subset F \subset E$, then F = E.

Proof. If $F \supset \operatorname{Reg}_k(E)$, then $F \supset \overline{\operatorname{Reg}_k(E)}$, since arc-symmetric sets are closed in Euclidean topology. Let $E = \Gamma_1 \cup \cdots \cup \Gamma_s$ be a finite partition of E into smooth connected globally subanalytic sets. If $F \neq E$, there exists $j \in \{1, \ldots, s\}$ such that $\Gamma_j \not\subset F$. Then, $\Gamma_j \not\subset \overline{\operatorname{Reg}_k(E)}$. Let $\Gamma_{j_1}, \ldots, \Gamma_{j_q}$ be all such strata. By Lemma 4.2, $\dim \overline{\Gamma_{j_1} \cup \cdots \cup \Gamma_{j_q}} \overset{\mathscr{AR}_C}{\longrightarrow} < k$, and hence $\overline{\Gamma_{j_1} \cup \cdots \cup \Gamma_{j_q}} \overset{\mathscr{AR}_C}{\longrightarrow} \neq E$. It follows that $E = F \cup \overline{\Gamma_{j_1} \cup \cdots \cup \Gamma_{j_q}} \overset{\mathscr{AR}_C}{\longrightarrow}$ is a decomposition of E into nonempty proper \mathscr{AR}_C closed subsets; a contradiction. \Box

In the relatively compact setting, we can refine Proposition 3.4 as follows. This is a C-semianalytic analog of [14, Thm. 2.6].

Theorem 4.4. Let $E \in \mathscr{AR}_C(\Omega)$ be an \mathscr{AR}_C -irreducible set of dimension k > 0, and let $R \subset \Omega$ be its *C*-analytic closure. Let $\pi : \widetilde{R} \to R$ be a desingularization of *R*. Then, there exists a unique connected component \widetilde{E} of \widetilde{R} of dimension *k*, such that

$$\overline{\operatorname{Reg}_k(E)} \subset \pi(\widetilde{E}) \subset E.$$

Proof. Let $S \subset R$ be a C-analytic set of dimension dim S < k, such that π is an isomorphism over $R \setminus S$, and $R \setminus S$ is a k-dimensional real analytic manifold. Let $\{C_{\lambda}\}_{\lambda \in \Lambda}$ be the locally finite family of its connected components. By [1, Prop. 5.3.5], each C_{λ} is C-semianalytic.

Note that, by Lemma 2.3, for every $\lambda \in \Lambda$, $E \supset C_{\lambda}$ or else $E \cap C_{\lambda}$ is nowhere dense in C_{λ} and $\dim_x E < k$ for every $x \in E \cap C_{\lambda}$. Since $\dim E \cap S < k$, $\operatorname{Reg}_k(E)$

contains a nonempty open subset of $R \setminus S$, and so there is at least one λ such that $E \supset C_{\lambda}$. It follows that there exists a nonempty $\Lambda_E \subset \Lambda$ such that

(4.1)
$$E \cap (R \setminus S) = \bigcup_{\lambda \in \Lambda_E} C_{\lambda} \cup \left(\bigcup_{\lambda \in \Lambda \setminus \Lambda_E} C_{\lambda} \cap E_{($$

where $E_{(<k)} = \{x \in E : \dim_x E \leq k-1\}$. Moreover, by [1, Prop. 5.3.8], the set $E_{(<k)}$ and hence all the disjoint summands of the right hand side of (4.1) are C-semianalytic.

Let $\Gamma_1, \ldots, \Gamma_s$ be the connected components of $\operatorname{Reg}_k(E)$. For every $j = 1, \ldots, s$, let $\Lambda_j = \{\lambda \in \Lambda : C_\lambda \subset \Gamma_j\}$. Then,

$$\Gamma_j = \bigcup_{\lambda \in \Lambda_j} C_\lambda \cup (\Gamma_j \cap S) \,,$$

and as $\Gamma_j \cap S$ is nowhere dense in Γ_j , then

$$\overline{\Gamma_j} = \overline{\bigcup_{\lambda \in \Lambda_j} C_\lambda} = \bigcup_{\lambda \in \Lambda_j} \overline{C_\lambda} \,.$$

Moreover, $\Lambda_E = \Lambda_1 \cup \cdots \cup \Lambda_s$, and hence

$$\overline{\operatorname{Reg}_k(E)} = \overline{\Gamma_1 \cup \cdots \cup \Gamma_s} = \bigcup_{\lambda \in \Lambda_E} \overline{C_\lambda} \,.$$

Let \widetilde{E}_{δ} be a connected component of \widetilde{R} , of dimension k. Let $\lambda \in \Lambda$ be such that $\pi(\widetilde{E}_{\delta})$ contains a nonempty open subset of C_{λ} . Then, $C_{\lambda} \subset \pi(\widetilde{E}_{\delta}) \cup S$, by Lemmas 2.3 and 3.3. Since $C_{\lambda} \cap S = \emptyset$, we obtain $C_{\lambda} \subset \pi(\widetilde{E}_{\delta})$. In fact, $\overline{C_{\lambda}} \subset \pi(\widetilde{E}_{\delta})$, since $\pi(\widetilde{E}_{\delta})$ is closed in R as the image of a closed set by a proper mapping. On the other hand, if $\pi(\widetilde{E}_{\delta})$ contains no open subset of C_{λ} , then $\operatorname{rk}_{x}\pi < k$ for all $x \in \widetilde{E}_{\delta} \cap \pi^{-1}(C_{\lambda})$, whence $\pi(\widetilde{E}_{\delta}) \cap C_{\lambda} \subset S$ and so $\pi(\widetilde{E}_{\delta}) \cap C_{\lambda} = \emptyset$, as $C_{\lambda} \subset R \setminus S$. It thus follows from (4.1) that $(\pi(\widetilde{E}_{\delta}) \cup S) \cap E = (\pi(\widetilde{E}_{\delta}) \cap E \cap (R \setminus S)) \cup (E \cap S)$ is C-semianalytic, and hence \mathscr{AR}_{C} -closed.

Let now $\lambda_0 \in \Lambda_E$ be arbitrary and let \widetilde{E}_0 be a connected component of \widetilde{R} satisfying $\pi(\widetilde{E}_0) \supset \overline{C_{\lambda_0}}$. We claim that then $\pi(\widetilde{E}_0) \supset C_{\lambda}$ for all $\lambda \in \Lambda_E$. Indeed, for else, if $\Lambda_0 = \{\lambda \in \Lambda_E : C_\lambda \subset \pi(\widetilde{E}_0)\}$ is a proper subset of Λ_E , then

$$\bigcup_{\lambda \in \Lambda_E \setminus \Lambda_0} C_\lambda \subset \pi(\bigcup_{\delta \in \Delta} \widetilde{E}_\delta) \,,$$

for some family $\{\widetilde{E}_{\delta}\}_{\delta \in \Delta}$ of components of \widetilde{R} different from \widetilde{E}_0 . As the family $\{\pi(\widetilde{E}_{\delta}) \cap E \cap (R \setminus S)\}_{\delta \in \Delta}$ is locally finite, the set $(\pi(\bigcup_{\delta \in \Delta} \widetilde{E}_{\delta}) \cup S) \cap E$ is C-semianalytic, and hence \mathscr{AR}_C -closed. We thus get a decomposition of E into proper \mathscr{AR}_C -closed subsets

$$E = \left[(\pi(\widetilde{E}_0) \cup S) \cap E \right] \cup \left[(\pi(\bigcup_{\delta \in \Delta} \widetilde{E}_{\delta}) \cup S) \cap E \right],$$

contradicting the \mathscr{AR}_C -irreducibility of E.

On the other hand, the arc-symmetric set $\pi^{-1}(E)$ contains a nonempty open subset of the manifold \widetilde{E}_0 , and so $\widetilde{E}_0 \subset \pi^{-1}(E)$ and $\pi(\widetilde{E}_0) \subset E$, which completes the proof. **Remark 4.5.** Let $E \in \mathscr{AR}_C(\Omega)$ be an \mathscr{AR}_C -irreducible set. Let $R, \pi : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$, and $S \subset R$ be as above. Note that, by Lemma 4.2 and the above proof, one actually gets that for a certain (unique) connected component E of R

$$E = (\pi(\widetilde{E}) \cup S) \cap E.$$

Proposition 4.6. Let $E, F \in \mathscr{AR}_C(\Omega)$, $F \subsetneq E$, and suppose that E is \mathscr{AR}_C *irreducible of dimension* k > 0. Then, dim $F < \dim E$.

Proof. Suppose to the contrary that dim F = k. Let $R \subset \Omega$ be the C-analytic closure of E and let $\pi: \widetilde{R} \to R$ be its desingularization. By Theorem 4.4, there is a unique connected component \widetilde{E} of \widetilde{R} , such that $\pi(\widetilde{E}) \supset \operatorname{Reg}_k(E)$. Then, the subanalytic arc-symmetric set $\pi^{-1}(F)$ contains a nonempty open subset of \widetilde{E} , and hence $\widetilde{E} \subset \pi^{-1}(F)$, by Lemma 2.3. Consequently, $\pi(\widetilde{E}) \subset F$, hence $F \supset \operatorname{Reg}_k(E)$, and so F = E, by Corollary 4.3; a contradiction.

Proposition 4.7. For every $E \in \mathscr{AR}_C(\Omega)$ of dimension k > 0, there exists $F \in$ $\mathscr{AR}_C(\Omega)$ such that $\dim(E \cap F) < k$ and $E \setminus F$ is a k-dimensional manifold.

Proof. Let R be the C-analytic closure of E. Then, R is of dimension k, and there is a C-analytic set $S \subset \Omega$, of dimension dim S < k, such that $R \setminus S$ is smooth of pure dimension k. Set $F = E \cap S$.

Remark 4.8. Note that, in general, one cannot expect that $\operatorname{Reg}_k E = E \setminus F$ for some \mathscr{AR}_C -closed set F. Indeed, this may not be true even if E is real algebraic.

5. Arc-symmetric sets are zero loci of arc-analytic functions

Let $E \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ be non-empty. Recall that a function $f: E \to \mathbb{R}$ is called *arc*analytic, when $f \circ \gamma$ is an analytic function for every analytic arc $\gamma : (-1, 1) \to E$. It is called a *globally subanalytic function*, when the graph Γ_f of f is a globally subanalytic set in \mathbb{R}^{n+1} .

It is well known that every globally subanalytic arc-analytic function is continuous in the Euclidean topology (see, e.g., [5, Lem. 6.8]). Moreover, by a straightforward adaptation of [14, Prop. 5.1], one has the following.

Remark 5.1. Let $E \in \mathscr{AR}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ be non-empty, and let $f: E \to \mathbb{R}^m$ be a globally subanalytic function whose all components are arc-analytic. Then

(i) $\Gamma_f \in \mathscr{AR}(\mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^m).$ (ii) If $Z \in \mathscr{AR}(\mathbb{R}^m)$, then $f^{-1}(Z) \in \mathscr{AR}(\mathbb{R}^n).$

We are now ready to prove our main result. This is a C-semianalytic analog of [2, Thm. 1] and the proof below is a direct adaptation of our argument from [2].

Theorem 5.2. Let Ω be a relatively compact connected real analytic submanifold in \mathbb{R}^n , and let $E \in \mathscr{A}_{\mathcal{C}}(\Omega)$. There exists a globally subanalytic arc-analytic function $f: \Omega \to \mathbb{R}$, such that $E = f^{-1}(0)$.

Proof. We argue by induction on dimension of E. If dim $E \leq 0$, then E is a finite set, and hence the zero locus of a polynomial function on \mathbb{R}^n restricted to Ω . Suppose then that dim E = k > 0, and every \mathscr{AR}_C -closed set in Ω of dimension less than k is the zero locus of a globally subanalytic arc-analytic function on Ω . Assume without loss of generality that E is \mathscr{AR}_C -irreducible.

Let $R \subset \Omega$ be the C-analytic closure of E. Then, R is k-dimensional and Cirreducible, by Proposition 4.1. Let $\pi : \widetilde{\Omega} \to \Omega$ be an embedded desingularization of R, and let \widetilde{R} denote the strict transform of R by π . Let $S \subset R$ be a C-analytic set, of dimension dim S < k, such that $R \setminus S$ is smooth of pure dimension k, and π is an isomorphism over $\Omega \setminus S$. Since $E \cap S$ is an \mathscr{AR}_C -closed set of dimension strictly less than k, the inductive hypothesis implies that there is a globally subanalytic arc-analytic function $h: \Omega \to \mathbb{R}$ such that $E \cap S = h^{-1}(0)$.

By Theorem 4.4, there is a unique connected component \widetilde{E} of \widetilde{R} such that $\operatorname{Reg}_k(E) \subset \pi(\widetilde{E}) \subset E$. Let $D = \pi^{-1}(S)$ and $Z = \widetilde{E} \cap D$. Let $\sigma : \widehat{\Omega} \to \widetilde{\Omega}$ be the blowing-up of $\widetilde{\Omega}$ at the C-analytic set Z. Let \widehat{E} and \widehat{D} denote the strict transforms of \widetilde{E} and D by σ , respectively. Since \widetilde{E} and D have only normal crossings (cf. [6, Thm.1.6]), \widehat{E} and \widehat{D} are disjoint subsets of $\widehat{\Omega}$. The sets \widetilde{E} and D are both C-analytic, and hence so are \widehat{E} and \widehat{D} . We may thus choose non-negative analytic functions $v_1, v_2 \in \mathscr{A}(\widehat{\Omega})$, such that $v_1^{-1}(0) = \widehat{E}$ and $v_2^{-1}(0) = \widehat{D}$. Then, $v_1 + v_2 > 0$ on $\widehat{\Omega}$ as $\widehat{E} \cap \widehat{D} = \emptyset$, and so $\varphi \coloneqq v_1/(v_1 + v_2)$ defines an analytic function on $\widehat{\Omega}$. Note that $\varphi \ge 0$ on $\widehat{\Omega}$, $\varphi|_{\widehat{E}} \equiv 0$, and $\varphi|_{\widehat{D}} \equiv 1$. Finally, set $v \coloneqq v_1 \cdot v_2$. Then, $v \in \mathscr{A}(\widehat{\Omega}), v^{-1}(0) = \widehat{E} \cup \widehat{D}$, and $v \ge 0$ on $\widehat{\Omega}$.

Now, define $f: \Omega \to \mathbb{R}$ by the formula

$$\widehat{f} \coloneqq (\varphi \cdot (h \circ \pi \circ \sigma))^2 + v^2$$
.

Note that \hat{f} is an arc-analytic function on $\hat{\Omega}$, $\hat{f} = (h \circ \pi \circ \sigma)^2$ on \hat{D} , $\hat{f} = 0$ on \hat{E} , and \hat{f} is strictly positive outside $\hat{E} \cup \hat{D}$.

Next, we compose \widehat{f} with σ^{-1} in order to get an arc-analytic function on $\widetilde{\Omega}$. More precisely, define $\widetilde{f}: \widetilde{\Omega} \to \mathbb{R}$ as

$$\widetilde{f}(y) \coloneqq \begin{cases} ((\widehat{f} \circ \sigma^{-1}) \cdot (h \circ \pi))(y), & y \notin Z \\ 0, & y \in Z \end{cases}$$

To see that \widetilde{f} is arc-analytic, let $\widetilde{\gamma} : (-1,1) \to \widetilde{\Omega}$ be an analytic arc not contained in Z, and let $\widehat{\gamma} : (-1,1) \to \widehat{\Omega}$ be its lifting by σ . Then, $\sigma \circ \widehat{\gamma} = \widetilde{\gamma}$. We claim that

(5.1)
$$\widetilde{f} \circ \widetilde{\gamma} = (\widehat{f} \circ \widehat{\gamma}) \cdot (h \circ \pi \circ \widetilde{\gamma}),$$

which implies that $\tilde{f} \circ \tilde{\gamma}$ is analytic. Indeed, if $\tilde{\gamma}(t) \notin Z$, then (5.1) holds because $(\hat{f} \circ \sigma^{-1} \circ \tilde{\gamma})(t) = (\hat{f} \circ \sigma^{-1} \circ \sigma \circ \hat{\gamma})(t) = (\hat{f} \circ \tilde{\gamma})(t)$. If, in turn, $\tilde{\gamma}(t) \in Z$, then $(h \circ \pi \circ \tilde{\gamma})(t) = 0$, by definition of h, and hence both sides of (5.1) are equal to zero.

Now, we compose \tilde{f} with π^{-1} to get an arc analytic function on Ω . More precisely, we define $f: \Omega \to \mathbb{R}$ as

$$f(x) \coloneqq \begin{cases} (\widetilde{f} \circ \pi^{-1})(x), & x \notin S \\ h^3(x), & x \in S \end{cases}$$

To see that f is arc-analytic, let $\gamma: (-1,1) \to \Omega$ be an analytic arc not contained in S. Let $\tilde{\gamma}: (-1,1) \to \tilde{\Omega}$ be the lifting of γ by π , and let $\hat{\gamma}: (-1,1) \to \hat{\Omega}$ be the lifting of $\tilde{\gamma}$ by σ . Then, $\pi \circ \tilde{\gamma} = \gamma$, and $\sigma \circ \hat{\gamma} = \tilde{\gamma}$. We claim that

(5.2)
$$f \circ \gamma = f \circ \widetilde{\gamma},$$

which implies that $f \circ \gamma$ is analytic. Indeed, if $\gamma(t) \notin S$, then (5.2) holds because $(\widetilde{f} \circ \pi^{-1} \circ \gamma)(t) = (\widetilde{f} \circ \pi^{-1} \circ \pi \circ \widetilde{\gamma})(t) = (\widetilde{f} \circ \widetilde{\gamma})(t)$. If, in turn, $\gamma(t) \in S \cap \pi(\widetilde{E})$, then

10

 $h(\gamma(t)) = 0$ and hence $(f \circ \gamma)(t) = 0$. But $\tilde{\gamma}(t) \in Z$, and hence $(\tilde{f} \circ \tilde{\gamma})(t) = 0$ as well. Finally, if $\gamma(t) \in S \setminus \pi(\tilde{E})$, then $\tilde{\gamma}(t) \notin Z$ and $\hat{\gamma}(t) \in \hat{D}$; hence, by (5.1), we have

$$\begin{aligned} (\widetilde{f} \circ \widetilde{\gamma})(t) &= ((\widehat{f} \circ \widetilde{\gamma}) \cdot (h \circ \pi \circ \widetilde{\gamma}))(t) = \left(((h \circ \pi \circ \sigma)^2 \circ \widetilde{\gamma}) \cdot (h \circ \pi \circ \widetilde{\gamma}) \right)(t) \\ &= \left((h \circ \pi \circ \widetilde{\gamma})^2 \cdot (h \circ \pi \circ \widetilde{\gamma}) \right)(t) = (h \circ \pi \circ \widetilde{\gamma})^3(t) = (h \circ \gamma)^3(t) = (f \circ \gamma)(t) \,. \end{aligned}$$

We shall now calculate the zero locus of f.

$$\begin{split} f^{-1}(0) &= \{x \in \Omega \setminus S : (\widetilde{f} \circ \pi^{-1})(x) = 0\} \cup \{x \in S : h^3(x) = 0\} \\ &= \pi \left(\{y \in \widetilde{\Omega} \setminus D : \widetilde{f}(y) = 0\}\right) \cup (E \cap S) \\ &= \pi \left(\{y \in \widetilde{\Omega} \setminus D : ((\widehat{f} \circ \sigma^{-1}) \cdot (h \circ \pi))(y) = 0\}\right) \cup (E \cap S) \\ &= \pi \left(\{y \in \widetilde{\Omega} \setminus D : (\widehat{f} \circ \sigma^{-1})(y) = 0\}\right) \cup (E \cap S) \\ &= \left((\pi \circ \sigma)(\{z \in \widehat{\Omega} \setminus \sigma^{-1}(D) : \widehat{f}(z) = 0\})\right) \cup (E \cap S) \\ &= (\pi \circ \sigma)(\widehat{E} \setminus \sigma^{-1}(D)) \cup (E \cap S) \\ &= \pi(\widetilde{E} \setminus D) \cup (E \cap S) = \pi(\widetilde{E}) \cup (E \cap S) = (\pi(\widetilde{E}) \cup S) \cap E \,. \end{split}$$

The latter set, by Remark 4.5, is equal to E, which completes the proof.

6. Nash globally subanalytic arc-symmetric sets

Let Ω be a real analytic manifold. Let $E \subset \Omega$ be a subanalytic set, and let $x \in \Omega$. Suppose first that E is of pure dimension k. We say that E is Nash at x, when there exists a neighbourhood U of x in Ω and an analytic set $S \subset U$, of dimension k, such that $E \cap U \subset S$. Suppose now that E is not pure-dimensional. We say that E is Nash at x, when E is a finite union of pure-dimensional subanalytic sets each of which is Nash at x. We say that E is a Nash subanalytic set in Ω , when E is Nash at each point of Ω .

Theorem 6.1. Let Ω be a connected real analytic submanifold of \mathbb{R}^n , and let $E \in \mathscr{AR}(\Omega)$. If E is Nash subanalytic, then E is semianalytic in Ω .

Proof. We proceed by induction on dimension of E. If dim $E \leq 0$, then E is a finite set and hence the zero locus of a polynomial function on \mathbb{R}^n (restricted to Ω). Suppose then that dim E = k > 0, and that every Nash globally subanalytic arc-symmetric subset of Ω of dimension less than k is semianalytic in Ω .

Since E is closed in Ω , it suffices to show that E is semianalytic in a sufficiently small neighbourhood of each of its points. Pick an arbitrary $\xi \in E$. Without loss of generality, we may assume that $\dim_{\xi} E = k$. For $j = 0, \ldots, k$, let $E^{(j)} = \{x \in$ $E : \dim_x E = j\}$, and let $E^* = \bigcup_{j=0}^{k-1} E^{(j)}$. By Nashness of E, one can choose an open ball U centered at ξ , such that there exist real analytic sets R and T in U, with the following properties: $\dim R = k, E \cap U \subset R, \dim T < k, E^* \cap U \subset T$. Moreover, after shrinking U if needed, there is an analytic set $S \subset R$, of dimension $\dim S < k$, such that $R \setminus S$ is smooth of pure dimension k.

Let now $\{S_{\lambda}\}_{\lambda \in \Lambda}$ be a semianalytic stratification of U, compatible with R, S, and T. Assume Λ is finite, after further shrinking U if needed. Let $\Lambda_R = \{\lambda \in \Lambda : S_{\lambda} \subset R\}$. Note that, for every $\lambda \in \Lambda_R$, we have $S_{\lambda} \subset E$, or else $E \cap S_{\lambda}$

is nowhere dense in S_{λ} and $\dim_x E < k$ for all $x \in E \cap S_{\lambda}$, by Lemma 2.3. Let $\Lambda_E = \{\lambda \in \Lambda_R : S_{\lambda} \subset E\}$. We thus obtain

(6.1)
$$\overline{R \setminus E} = \left(\bigcup_{\lambda \in \Lambda_R} S_{\lambda}\right) \setminus E = \bigcup_{\lambda \in \Lambda_R} \left(S_{\lambda} \setminus E\right) \\ = \overline{\bigcup_{\lambda \in \Lambda_R \setminus \Lambda_E} \left(S_{\lambda} \setminus E\right)} = \bigcup_{\lambda \in \Lambda_R \setminus \Lambda_E} \overline{S_{\lambda} \setminus E} = \bigcup_{\lambda \in \Lambda_R \setminus \Lambda_E} \overline{S_{\lambda}}.$$

By a well known characterization of semianalyticity (see, e.g., [4, Thm. 2.13]), to prove that $E \cap U$ is semianalytic it suffices to show that $(E \cap U) \setminus \text{Int}_R(E)$ is semianalytic of dimension less than k, where $\text{Int}_R(E) = E \setminus \overline{R \setminus E}$ is the interior of E in R. It follows from (6.1) that

$$(E \cap U) \setminus \operatorname{Int}_R(E) = E \cap \overline{R \setminus E} = E \cap \bigcup_{\lambda \in \Lambda_R \setminus \Lambda_E} \overline{S_\lambda} = E \cap (S \cup T).$$

The latter is a Nash \mathscr{AR} -closed subset of U of dimension less than k, and hence semianalytic by inductive hypothesis.

For the next result, we shall adapt the concept of a q-grid from [7]. Given a positive integer q, a q-grid centered at $\xi = (\xi_1, \ldots, \xi_n) \in \mathbb{R}^n$ is defined as the union of coordinate hyperplanes

$$\Sigma = \bigcup_{j=1}^{n} \bigcup_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \{ x_j = \xi_j + k/q \}.$$

Let $\{C_{\lambda}\}_{\lambda \in \Lambda}$ denote the family of open cubes induced by Σ (i.e., the connected components of $\mathbb{R}^n \setminus \Sigma$). We say that Σ is *subordinate* to an open cover $\mathcal{U} = \{U_{\iota}\}_{\iota \in I}$ of \mathbb{R}^n , when for every $\lambda \in \Lambda$ there exists $\iota \in I$ with $\overline{C}_{\lambda} \subset U_{\iota}$. Given a subanalytic set $E \subset \mathbb{R}^n$, we say that Σ is *in general position* with respect to E, when $\dim_x \Sigma \cap E < \dim_x E$ for every $x \in E$.

Remark 6.2. Let Ω be a relatively compact open set in \mathbb{R}^n . It is evident from the proof of [7, Lem. 2.4] that for every locally finite open cover \mathcal{U} of \mathbb{R}^n and for every closed globally subanalytic set $E \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ there exists a positive integer q and a point $\xi \in \mathbb{R}^n$ such that, up to a linear coordinate change in \mathbb{R}^n , the q-grid Σ centered at ξ is subordinate to \mathcal{U} on Ω and in general position with respect to E.

Theorem 6.3. Let *E* be a Nash globally subanalytic arc-symmetric set in \mathbb{R}^n . Then, for every relatively compact open $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$, there exists a continuous globally subanalytic function $f: \Omega \to \mathbb{R}$ and a q-grid Σ in \mathbb{R}^n , such that

- (i) $\dim_x \Sigma \cap E < \dim_x E$ for all $x \in E$
- (ii) f is arc-analytic on $\Omega \setminus \Sigma$, and
- (iii) $E \cap \Omega = f^{-1}(0).$

Proof. By Theorem 6.1, for every point $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$, there exists a relatively compact connected open neighbourhood U^x of x in \mathbb{R}^n such that $E \cap U^x \in \mathscr{AR}_C(U^x)$. Let $\mathcal{U} = \{U_t\}_{t \in I}$ be a locally finite subcover of \mathbb{R}^n chosen from the open cover $\{U^x\}_{x \in E}$. Let Ω be an arbitrary relatively compact nonempty open set in \mathbb{R}^n . By Remark 6.2, there is a q-grid Σ' in \mathbb{R}^n such that Σ' is in general position with respect to E and the covering $\mathcal{C} = \{\overline{C}_\lambda\}_{\lambda \in \Lambda}$ of Ω by the closed cubes induced by Σ' is subordinate to \mathcal{U} .

Given $\lambda \in \Lambda$, pick $\iota \in I$ such that $\overline{C}_{\lambda} \subset U_{\iota}$. Let $v \in \mathscr{A}(U_{\iota})$ be such that $v^{-1}(0) = \Sigma' \cap U_{\iota}$. If $E \cap C_{\lambda} = \emptyset$, set $f_{\lambda} \coloneqq v$. Otherwise, let $E \cap U_{\iota} = E_1 \cup \cdots \cup E_s$ be the decomposition into \mathscr{AR}_C -irreducible components. By Theorem 5.2, for each $j = 1, \ldots, s$, there is a globally subanalytic arc-analytic function $f_j : U_i \to \mathbb{R}$ such that $f_j^{-1}(0) = E_j$. Let $f_{\lambda} \coloneqq v \cdot f_1 \dots f_s$. Then, $f_{\lambda} : U_{\iota} \to \mathbb{R}$ is a globally subanalytic arc-analytic function and $f_{\lambda}^{-1}(0) = (E \cup \Sigma') \cap U_{\iota}$.

Finally, define $f_{\Sigma'} : \Omega \to \mathbb{R}$ as $f_{\Sigma'} \coloneqq \bigcup_{\lambda \in \Lambda} f_\lambda|_{\overline{C}_\lambda}$. This $f_{\Sigma'}$ is continuous, globally subanalytic, arc-analytic outside Σ' , and $f_{\Sigma'}^{-1}(0) = E \cup \Sigma'$.

To complete the proof, note that the q-grid Σ' can be chosen so that the q-grid $\Sigma'' \coloneqq \{(x_1 + \frac{1}{kq}, \dots, x_n + \frac{1}{kq}) : (x_1, \dots, x_n) \in \Sigma'\}$ is also subordinate to \mathcal{U} on Ω and in general position with respect to E, for some $k \in \mathbb{Z}_+$. Let $f_{\Sigma''} : \Omega \to \mathbb{R}$ be the corresponding continuous globally subanalytic function with $f_{\Sigma''}^{-1}(0) = E \cup \Sigma''$ constructed as above. Then, the function $f \coloneqq f_{\Sigma'} + f_{\Sigma''}$ is continuous, globally subanalytic, and arc-analytic outside the kq-grid

$$\Sigma \coloneqq \{ (x_1 + \frac{l_1}{kq}, \dots, x_n + \frac{l_n}{kq}) : (x_1, \dots, x_n) \in \Sigma', \, l_1, \dots, l_n \in \{0, \dots, kq - 1\} \}.$$

Indereover, $f^{-1}(0) = E$.

Moreover, $f^{-1}(0) = E$.

We believe there are good reasons to expect that, in fact, the above result is true for all arc-symmetric globally subanalytic sets thanks to the following conjecture.

Conjecture 6.4. Every arc-symmetric globally subanalytic subset of a real analytic manifold is Nash subanalytic.

References

- 1. F. Acquistapace, F. Broglia, and J. F. Fernando, "Topics in global real analytic geometry", Springer Monogr. Math., Springer, Cham, 2022.
- 2. J. Adamus and H. Seyedinejad, A proof of Kurdyka's conjecture on arc-analytic functions, Math. Ann. 369 (2017), 387-395.
- 3. J. Adamus and H. Seyedinejad, Extensions of arc-analytic functions, Math. Ann. 371 (2018), 685-693.
- 4. E. Bierstone and P. D. Milman, Semianalytic and subanalytic sets, Inst. Hautes Études Sci. Publ. Math. 67 (1988), 5-42.
- 5. E. Bierstone and P. D. Milman, Arc-analytic functions, Invent. Math. 101 (1990), 411-424.
- 6. E. Bierstone and P. D. Milman, Canonical desingularization in characteristic zero by blowing up the maximum strata of a local invariant, Invent. Math. 128 (1997), no. 2, 207-302.
- 7. E. Bierstone and A. Parusiński, Global smoothing of a subanalytic set, Duke Math. J. 167 (2018), 3115 - 3128.
- 8. H. Cartan, Variétés analytiques réelles et variétes analytiques complexes, Bull. Soc. Math. France 85 (1957), 77-99.
- 9. L. van den Dries, "Tame topology and o-minimal structures", London Mathematical Society Lecture Note Series 248. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1998.
- 10. L. van den Dries and C. Miller, Geometric categories and o-minimal structures, Duke Math. J. 84 (1996), 497-540.
- 11. F. Guaraldo, P. Macrì, and A. Tancredi, "Topics on real analytic spaces", Advanced Lectures in Mathematics. Friedr. Vieweg & Sohn, Braunschweig, 1986.
- 12. H. Hironaka, Introduction to real-analytic sets and real-analytic maps, Quaderni dei Gruppi di Ricerca Matematica del Consiglio Nazionale delle Ricerche. Università di Pisa, Istituto Matematico "L. Tonelli", Pisa, 1973.
- 13. T.-C. Kuo, On classification of real singularities, Invent. Math. 82 (1985), 257-262.
- 14. K. Kurdyka, Ensembles semi-algébriques symétriques par arcs, Math. Ann. 282 (1988), 445-462.

- K. Kurdyka and W. Kucharz, Arc-meromorphous functions, Ann. Polon. Math. 127 (2021), 51–62.
- K. Kurdyka and A. Parusiński, Arc-symmetric sets and arc-analytic mappings, Panor. Synthèses, 24 Société Mathématique de France, Paris, 2007, 33–67.
- R. Narasimhan, "Introduction to the theory of analytic spaces", Lecture Notes in Math., No. 25, Springer-Verlag, Berlin-New York, 1966.
- 18. A. Parusiński, Subanalytic functions, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 344 (1994), 583-595.
- H. Whitney and F. Bruhat, Quelques propriétés fondamentales des ensembles analytiquesréels, Comment. Math. Helv. 33 (1959), 132–160.

Department of Mathematics, The University of Western Ontario, London, Ontario, Canada N6A $5\mathrm{B7}$

 $Email \ address: \ \texttt{jadamus@uwo.ca}$

14