

V. LIMITS & CONTINUITY OF FUNCTIONS

I. OPEN, CLOSED AND COMPACT SETS

Def. A set $U \subset \mathbb{R}$ is called open, when
 $\forall x \in U \exists r > 0$ st. $(x-r, x+r) \subseteq U$.

Prop. (i) \mathbb{R} and \emptyset are open.

(ii) If $\{U_i\}_{i \in I}$ is a family of open sets, then $\bigcup_{i \in I} U_i$ is open.

(iii) If U_1, U_2 are open, then $U_1 \cap \dots \cap U_k$ is open.

Pf. (i) $\forall x \in \mathbb{R}, (x-1, x+1) \subset \mathbb{R}$. \checkmark

The empty set is open, b/c it's not true that $\exists x \in \emptyset$. \checkmark

(ii) $x \in \bigcup_{i \in I} U_i \Rightarrow \exists i \in I$ st. $x \in U_i \Rightarrow \exists r \in \mathbb{R} r > 0$ st. $(x-r, x+r) \subseteq U_i \Rightarrow (x-r, x+r) \subseteq \bigcup_{i \in I} U_i$.

(iii) Induction on k = exercise. \square

Def. A set $F \subset \mathbb{R}$ is called closed, when $\mathbb{R} \setminus F$ is an open set.

Prop. (i) \mathbb{R} and \emptyset are closed sets.

(ii) If F_1, \dots, F_k are closed, then $F_1 \cup \dots \cup F_k$ is closed.

(iii) If $\{F_i\}_{i \in I}$ are closed, then so is $\bigcap_{i \in I} F_i$.

Pf. (i) Clear.

(ii) F_1, \dots, F_k closed $\Rightarrow \mathbb{R} \setminus F_1, \dots, \mathbb{R} \setminus F_k$ open $\Rightarrow (\mathbb{R} \setminus F_1) \cap \dots \cap (\mathbb{R} \setminus F_k)$ open

(iii) Similarly as in (ii), $\bigcap_{i \in I} F_i = \bigcap_{i \in I} \mathbb{R} \setminus (\mathbb{R} \setminus F_i)$
 $= \mathbb{R} \setminus \bigcup_{i \in I} (\mathbb{R} \setminus F_i)$. \square

Example. Intersection of inf. many open sets need not be open (and hence the union of inf. many closed sets need not be closed).

Let $U_n = (-\frac{1}{n}, \frac{1}{n})$ for $n \in \mathbb{Z}_+$. Then, $\bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} U_n = \{0\}$, which is not open.

Let $F_n = [0, 1 - \frac{1}{n})$ for $n \in \mathbb{Z}_+$. Then, $\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} F_n = [0, 1)$, which is not closed.

Thm. A set $X \subseteq \mathbb{R}$ is closed if and only if $\forall x_0 \in \mathbb{R}, [\exists (x_n)_{n=1}^{\infty} \subseteq X \text{ st. } \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} x_n = x_0] \Rightarrow x_0 \in X.$

Pf. (\Rightarrow) Suppose X is closed, and let $x_0 \in \mathbb{R}$ and $(x_n)_{n=1}^{\infty} \subseteq X$ be st. $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} x_n = x_0$. Suppose $x_0 \notin X$. Then, x_0 belongs to $\mathbb{R} \setminus X$ which is open, hence can choose $r_0 > 0$ st. $(x_0 - r_0, x_0 + r_0) \subseteq \mathbb{R} \setminus X$. It follows that, $\forall n \in \mathbb{N}, |x_0 - x_n| \geq r_0$, which contradicts $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} x_n = x_0$. \checkmark

(\Leftarrow) For a proof by contradiction, suppose there is $x_0 \in \mathbb{R} \setminus X$, st. $\forall n \in \mathbb{N}_+, (x_0 - \frac{1}{n}, x_0 + \frac{1}{n}) \not\subseteq \mathbb{R} \setminus X$. Then, $\forall n \in \mathbb{N}_+, \exists x_n \in X$ st. $|x_n - x_0| < \frac{1}{n}$. It follows that the sequence $(x_n)_{n=1}^{\infty} \subseteq X$ converges to x_0 , and hence $x_0 \in X$, by assumption. \checkmark

Examples: 1) Every open interval is an open set.
2) Every closed interval is a closed set.

Pf. 1) Consider an open interval (a, b) for some $a < b, a, b \in \mathbb{R}$. If $x \in (a, b)$, then $a < x < b$. Set $r := \min\{x - a, b - x\}$. Then, $r > 0$, and for any $y \in (x - r, x + r)$, one has $a = x - (x - a) \leq x - r < y < x + r < x + (b - x) = b$, hence $y \in (a, b)$. Thus, $(x - r, x + r) \subseteq (a, b)$. \checkmark

Other types of open intervals = exercise.

2) For any closed interval $[a, b]$, one has $\mathbb{R} \setminus [a, b] = (-\infty, a) \cup (b, \infty)$, which is an open set, by part 1). \checkmark

Def. A set $K \subseteq \mathbb{R}$ is called compact, when every sequence in K contains a subsequence convergent to an element of K .

Prop. Every closed interval in \mathbb{R} is compact.

Pf. Consider $K = [a, b]$, for some $a \leq b, a, b \in \mathbb{R}$, and let $(x_n)_{n=1}^{\infty}$ be a sequence in K . Since (x_n) is bounded, then there exists a convergent subsequence $(x_{n_k})_{k=1}^{\infty}$, by Bolzano-Weierstrass.

By the above theorem, the limit of (x_{n_k}) is an element of K . \checkmark

Thm. (Heine-Borel) A subset $K \subseteq \mathbb{R}$ is compact iff K is closed and bounded.

Pf. (\Leftarrow) As in the above proposition, every sequence $(x_n)_{n=1}^{\infty}$ in K is bounded and hence has a convergent subsequence, by B.-L. That subsequence then converges to an element of K , since K is closed. \checkmark

(\Rightarrow) First, we show that K is bounded, by contradiction. Suppose, $\forall n \in \mathbb{N}$, $\exists x_n \in K$ s.t. $n < x_n$. Then, $(x_n)_{n=1}^{\infty} \subseteq K$ has no subsequence convergent to an element of K . Indeed, for if (x_{n_k}) were such a subsequence and $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} x_{n_k} = x_0 \in K$, then, on the one hand, $\exists N_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ s.t. $x_0 < N_0$, and on the other hand, $\exists K_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ s.t. $\forall k \geq K_0$, $x_{n_k} > N_0 + 1$. Hence, $\forall k \geq K_0$, $|x_{n_k} - x_0| > 1$; contradiction. \checkmark

Now, to show that K is closed, let $(x_n)_{n=1}^{\infty} \subseteq K$ be any convergent sequence, and let $x_0 = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} x_n$. We want to show that $x_0 \in K$. By assumption, \exists subseq. $(x_{n_k})_{k=1}^{\infty} \subseteq (x_n)_{n=1}^{\infty}$ convergent to a point $x_0 \in K$. Since (x_n) is convergent to x_0 , then each of its subsequences converges to x_0 , and thus $x_0 = x_0$. Hence, $x_0 \in K$, as required. \bullet

Example: The ternary Cantor set is compact.

Def. A set $U \subseteq \mathbb{R}$ is called an (open) neighbourhood of a point $a \in \mathbb{R}$, when U is open and $a \in U$.

A point $a \in \mathbb{R}$ is called a limit point of a set $A \subseteq \mathbb{R}$, when $\forall U$ open nbhd of a , $\exists x \in U \cap A \setminus \{a\}$.

Remark: Equivalently, a is a limit point of A , when $\exists (a_n)_{n=1}^{\infty} \subseteq A \setminus \{a\}$ s.t. $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} a_n = a$. (Exercise!)

2. LIMITS & CONTINUITY

Def. Let $A \subseteq \mathbb{R}$ be nonempty, let $f: A \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, and let a be a limit point of A . We say that a number L is the limit of f at a , and write $\lim_{x \rightarrow a} f(x) = L$, when

$$\forall \epsilon > 0 \exists \delta > 0 \text{ s.t. } \forall x \in A, 0 < |x - a| < \delta \Rightarrow |f(x) - L| < \epsilon.$$

Example. Prove that $\lim_{x \rightarrow 1} \frac{1}{x} = 1$.

Here, $f(x) = \frac{1}{x}$ is defined on $A = \mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\}$, so $a = 1$ is a limit point of A .

Let $\epsilon > 0$ be arbitrary. W.l.o.g., assume $\epsilon \leq \frac{1}{2}$.

We want to find a $\delta > 0$ st. $0 < |x-1| < \delta \wedge x \neq 0 \Rightarrow \left| \frac{1}{x} - 1 \right| < \epsilon$.

Have, $\left| \frac{1}{x} - 1 \right| = \left| \frac{1-x}{x} \right| = \frac{1}{|x|} \cdot |1-x|$.

Suppose that $\delta \leq \frac{1}{2}$. Then, $|x-1| < \delta \Rightarrow x > 1 - \frac{1}{2} = \frac{1}{2}$, and hence $|x| = x > \frac{1}{2}$,

so that $\frac{1}{|x|} < 2$. Thus, for $0 < |x-1| < \delta$, $\left| \frac{1}{x} - 1 \right| < 2 \cdot |x-1|$.

Set then $\delta = \frac{\epsilon}{2}$. Since, by assumption $\epsilon \leq \frac{1}{2}$, then also $\delta \leq \frac{1}{2}$, and thus for all x satisfying $0 < |x-1| < \delta$, we have

$$\left| \frac{1}{x} - 1 \right| = \frac{1}{|x|} \cdot |1-x| < 2 \cdot \delta = 2 \cdot \frac{\epsilon}{2} = \epsilon, \text{ as required. } \blacksquare$$

Thm. Let $f: A \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ and let $a \in \mathbb{R}$ be a limit point of A . FCAE:

(i) $\lim_{x \rightarrow a} f(x) = L$

(ii) For every sequence $(x_n)_{n=1}^{\infty} \subseteq A \setminus \{a\}$ convergent to a , $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} f(x_n) = L$.

Pf. (i) \Rightarrow (ii): Let $(x_n)_{n=1}^{\infty} \subseteq A \setminus \{a\}$ be st. $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} x_n = a$.

Let $\epsilon > 0$ be arbitrary, and let $\delta > 0$ be st. $\forall x \in A, 0 < |x-a| < \delta \Rightarrow |f(x) - L| < \epsilon$.

Choose $N_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ st. $\forall n \geq N_0, |x_n - a| < \delta$.

Then, $\forall n \geq N_0, |f(x_n) - L| < \epsilon$, as required. \checkmark

(ii) \Rightarrow (i): For a proof by contradiction, suppose

$$\neg (\forall \epsilon > 0 \exists \delta > 0 \forall x \in A, 0 < |x-a| < \delta \Rightarrow |f(x) - L| < \epsilon)$$

Then, we can choose $\epsilon_0 > 0$ st. $\forall n \in \mathbb{Z}, \exists x_n \in A$ st. $0 < |x_n - a| < \frac{1}{n} \wedge |f(x_n) - L| \geq \epsilon_0$.

But then, the sequence $(x_n)_{n=1}^{\infty} \subseteq A \setminus \{a\}$ converges to a and so $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} f(x_n) = L$.

Thm. (Algebraic Limit Thm) Let $f: A \rightarrow \mathbb{R}, g: A \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, and let

$a \in \mathbb{R}$ be a limit point of A . Suppose $\lim_{x \rightarrow a} f(x) = s, \lim_{x \rightarrow a} g(x) = t$, and

$c \in \mathbb{R}$ is a constant. Then:

(i) $\lim_{x \rightarrow a} (f \pm g)(x) = s \pm t$

(ii) $\lim_{x \rightarrow a} c \cdot f(x) = c \cdot s$

(iii) $\lim_{x \rightarrow a} f(x) \cdot g(x) = s \cdot t$

(iv) $\lim_{x \rightarrow a} \frac{f(x)}{g(x)} = \frac{s}{t}$, provided $t \neq 0$.

Pf. By the above thm, it suffices to show that for every sequence $(x_n)_{n=1}^{\infty} \subseteq A \setminus \{a\}$ convergent to a , the sequences $(f(x_n) \pm g(x_n))$, $(c \cdot f(x_n))$, $(f(x_n) \cdot g(x_n))$, and $(f(x_n)/g(x_n))$ converge to their respective limits. The latter follows from Alg. Limit Thm. for sequences. \square

Def. A point $a \in \mathbb{R}$ is said to be an isolated point of a set $A \subseteq \mathbb{R}$, when $a \in A$ and $\exists \delta > 0$ st. $(a - \delta, a + \delta) \cap A = \{a\}$.

Def. We say that a function $f: A \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is continuous at a point $a \in A$, when a is an isolated point of A or else $\lim_{x \rightarrow a} f(x)$ exist and equals $f(a)$.

Thm. Let $f: A \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ and $a \in A$. $\forall \epsilon \in \mathbb{R}$:

(i) f is continuous at a .

(ii) $\forall \epsilon > 0 \exists \delta > 0 \forall x \in A, |x - a| < \delta \rightarrow |f(x) - f(a)| < \epsilon$

(iii) For every sequence $(x_n)_{n=1}^{\infty} \subseteq A, \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} x_n = a \Rightarrow \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} f(x_n) = f(a)$

(iv) For every nbhd V of $f(a)$ there is a nbhd U of a st. $f(U) \subseteq V$.

Pf. = Exercise (1)

Def. We say that $f: A \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is continuous, when f is cont's at a for all $a \in A$.

Examples: 1) Let $f: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be defined as $f(x) = \begin{cases} 1, & x \in \mathbb{Q} \\ 0, & x \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \mathbb{Q} \end{cases}$
Show that $\lim_{x \rightarrow a} f(x)$ DNE, $\forall a \in \mathbb{R}$, and hence f is everywhere discontinuous.

2) Let $g: [0, 1] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be defined as $g(x) = \begin{cases} 1/q, & x = p/q \text{ in lowest terms} \\ 0, & x \in [0, 1] \setminus \mathbb{Q} \end{cases}$

Show that g is continuous at $a \in [0, 1]$ iff $a \in [0, 1] \setminus \mathbb{Q}$. (Exercise)

Thm. If $f, g: A \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ are continuous at a point $a \in A$, then $f+g, f-g, f \cdot g, c \cdot f$ are cont's at a (where $c \in \mathbb{R}$ is a constant), and f/g is cont's at a provided $g(a) \neq 0$.

Pf. = Exercise (use Alg. Limit Thm.) \square

(47)

Prop. Every polynomial function is continuous on \mathbb{R} .

Every rational function $P(x)/Q(x)$ is cont's on $\mathbb{R} \setminus Q^{-1}(0)$.

Pf. By the previous thm, it suffices to show that the constant functions and the identity function $\{x \mapsto x\}$ are continuous. \square

Thm. Let $f: A \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ and $g: B \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be st. $f(A) \subseteq B$, f is cont's at $a \in A$, and g is cont's at $f(a)$. Then, $g \circ f$ is cont's at a .

Pf. Let $(x_n)_{n=1}^{\infty} \subset A$ be any sequence convergent to a . Then, by continuity of f , $(f(x_n))_{n=1}^{\infty}$ is convergent to $f(a)$, and hence by continuity of g , $(g(f(x_n)))_{n=1}^{\infty}$ is convergent to $g(f(a))$. \square

Thm. Let $f: A \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be continuous. If $K \subseteq A$ is compact, then $f(K)$ is compact.

Pf. Let $(y_n)_{n=1}^{\infty}$ be an arbitrary sequence in $f(K)$. For every $n \in \mathbb{N}$, choose $x_n \in K$ st. $f(x_n) = y_n$. Then, the sequence $(x_n)_{n=1}^{\infty} \subset K$ has a subsequence $(x_{n_k})_{k=1}^{\infty}$ convergent to $x_0 \in K$. By continuity of f , we get $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} f(x_{n_k}) = f(x_0)$; i.e., the subsequence $(f(x_{n_k}))_{k=1}^{\infty}$ of $(y_n)_{n=1}^{\infty}$ converges to a point of $f(K)$, as required. \square

Corollary. (Extreme Value Theorem) A continuous function $f: K \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ on a compact set admits a maximum and minimum value.

Pf. By above, $f(K)$ is compact, and hence closed and bounded. Thus, $\inf f(K)$ and $\sup f(K)$ exist and belong to $f(K)$. \square

Def. A function $f: A \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is uniformly continuous, when $\forall \epsilon > 0 \exists \delta > 0 \forall x_1, x_2 \in A, |x_1 - x_2| < \delta \Rightarrow |f(x_1) - f(x_2)| < \epsilon$.

Thm. Let $f: A \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be continuous. If A is compact, then f is uniformly continuous.

Pf. For a proof by contradiction, suppose there is $\epsilon_0 > 0$ s.t.
 $\forall n \in \mathbb{N}, \exists x_n^1, x_n^2 \in A$ s.t. $|x_n^1 - x_n^2| < \frac{1}{n}$ and $|f(x_n^1) - f(x_n^2)| \geq \epsilon_0$.

Consider the sequence $(x_n^1)_{n=1}^\infty$. By compactness of A , there is a subsequence $(x_{n_k}^1)_{k=1}^\infty$ convergent to $x_0 \in A$. Then, $(x_{n_k}^2)_{k=1}^\infty$ is also convergent to x_0 , since $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} |x_{n_k}^1 - x_{n_k}^2| = \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} |x_{n_k}^1 - x_{n_k}^2| = 0$, by squeeze theorem. Thus, by continuity of f , $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} f(x_{n_k}^1) = f(x_0) = \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} f(x_{n_k}^2)$, which contradicts $|f(x_{n_k}^1) - f(x_{n_k}^2)| \geq \epsilon_0, \forall k \in \mathbb{N}$.

Example - Warning: Both closedness & boundedness of A are necessary for uniform continuity, in general.

1) Consider $f(x) = x^2$ on $[0, \infty)$. Suppose f is uniformly cont's. Then, for $\epsilon = 1$, there is $\delta_0 > 0$ s.t. $\forall 0 \leq x_1 < x_2, x_2 - x_1 < \delta_0 \Rightarrow x_2^2 - x_1^2 < 1$.

But, for $x_1 = \frac{\delta_0}{2}, x_2 = \frac{\delta_0}{2} + \frac{\delta_0}{2}$, we have $x_2 - x_1 = \frac{\delta_0}{2} < \delta_0$, while $x_2^2 - x_1^2 = (x_2 - x_1)(x_2 + x_1) = \frac{\delta_0}{2} \cdot \left(\frac{\delta_0}{2} + \frac{\delta_0}{2} + \frac{\delta_0}{2}\right) > \frac{\delta_0}{2} \cdot \frac{4}{2} = 2 > 1$. \downarrow

2) Consider $f(x) = \frac{1}{x}$ on $(0, 1)$. Suppose f is uniformly cont's. Then, for $\epsilon = 1$, there is $0 < \delta_0 \leq 1$ s.t. $\forall 0 < x_1 < x_2 < 1, x_2 - x_1 < \delta_0 \Rightarrow \frac{1}{x_1} - \frac{1}{x_2} < 1$.

But, for $x_1 = \frac{\delta_0}{4}, x_2 = \frac{\delta_0}{2}$, we have $x_2 - x_1 = \frac{\delta_0}{4} < \delta_0$, while $\frac{1}{x_1} - \frac{1}{x_2} = \frac{x_2 - x_1}{x_1 x_2} = \frac{\frac{\delta_0}{4}}{\frac{\delta_0}{4} \cdot \frac{\delta_0}{2}} = \frac{2}{\delta_0} \geq \frac{2}{\delta_0} > 1$. \downarrow

Thm (Intermediate Value Thm): If I is an interval in \mathbb{R} , and $f: I \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is continuous, then $f(I)$ is an interval.

Pf. Let $u, v, w \in \mathbb{R}$ be s.t. $u < v < w$ and $u \in f(I)$ and $w \in f(I)$. We want $v \in f(I)$. Choose $x_u, x_w \in I$ s.t. $f(x_u) = u, f(x_w) = w$. W.l.o.g., suppose that $x_u < x_w$.

Set $A = \{x \in [x_u, x_w] : f(x) < v\}$. Then, $A \neq \emptyset$ as $x_u \in A$, and A is bounded, so $\alpha := \sup A$ exists. Let $(x_n)_{n=1}^\infty \subset A$ be a sequence convergent to α .

By continuity of f , $f(\alpha) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} f(x_n)$, and hence $f(\alpha) \leq v$.

Since $\alpha + \frac{1}{n} \notin A, \forall n \in \mathbb{N}$, the sequence $z_n := \alpha + \frac{1}{n}$ satisfies $z_n \rightarrow \alpha$ and $f(z_n) \geq v$ for all n . By continuity of f at α , again, $f(\alpha) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} f(z_n)$, and hence $f(\alpha) \geq v$. Thus, $f(\alpha) = v$, and so $v \in f(I)$. \blacksquare

(49)

Corollary. A continuous function maps closed intervals into closed intervals.

Pf. If $I = [a, b]$, then I is closed & bdd, hence compact. By EVT, $f(I)$ is closed & bdd, and by IVT, $f(I)$ is an interval. Thus, if $\alpha = \inf f(I)$, $\beta = \sup f(I)$, it follows that $f(I) = [\alpha, \beta]$. \square

Example: Let $g: [0, 1] \rightarrow [0, 1]$ be continuous. Show that there is $a \in [0, 1]$ st.
 $g(a) + 2a^5 = 3a^2$.

Consider $f(x) := g(x) + 2x^5 - 3x^2$, for $x \in [0, 1]$. Then, f is cont's on $[0, 1]$.
Have $f(0) = g(0) + 0 \geq 0$ and $f(1) = g(1) + 2 - 3 = g(1) - 1 \leq 0$. Hence, either $f(0) = 0$, or $f(1) = 0$, or $f(0) > 0 \wedge f(1) < 0$ and the claim follows from IVT. \square

Def. A function $f: A \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is called:

- increasing, when $\forall x_1, x_2 \in A, x_1 < x_2 \Rightarrow f(x_1) \leq f(x_2)$
- strictly increasing, when $\forall x_1, x_2 \in A, x_1 < x_2 \Rightarrow f(x_1) < f(x_2)$
- decreasing, when $\forall x_1, x_2 \in A, x_1 < x_2 \Rightarrow f(x_1) \geq f(x_2)$
- strictly decreasing, when $\forall x_1, x_2 \in A, x_1 < x_2 \Rightarrow f(x_1) > f(x_2)$

Thm. Let I be an interval and let $f: I \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a continuous injection. Then:

(i) f is strictly monotone

(ii) $f^{-1}: f(I) \rightarrow I$ is a (strictly monotone) continuous bijection.

Pf. (i) First observe that, if $a < b < c$ are points of I , then one cannot have $f(a) < f(b) > f(c)$ or $f(a) > f(b) < f(c)$, by IVT and injectivity of f .

Hence, for any $x \in I$, for any $u, v \in I$ st. $u < x < v$, either (a) $f(u) < f(x) < f(v)$ or (b)

(b) $f(u) > f(x) > f(v)$. Suppose there exists $x_1 \in I$ st (a) holds at x_1 .

Claim: Then, (a) holds at every $x \in I$.

For a proof by contradiction, suppose $\exists x_2 \in I$ st (b) holds at x_2 .

If $x_1 < x_2$, then $f(x_1) < f(x_2)$ (by (a) at x_1) \wedge $f(x_1) > f(x_2)$ (by (b) at x_2). \downarrow

If $x_2 < x_1$, then $f(x_2) < f(x_1)$ (by (a) at x_1) \wedge $f(x_2) > f(x_1)$ (by (b) at x_2). \downarrow

Thus, f is strictly increasing on I .

If, symmetrically, there is $x_0 \in I$ at which (b) holds, one shows that (b) holds at all $x \in I$, and thus f is strictly decreasing. ✓

(ii) Suppose w.l.o.g. that f is strictly increasing. Then, so is $g := f^{-1}: f(I) \rightarrow I$. Pick $y_0 \in f(I)$, and let $\varepsilon > 0$ be arbitrary. Suppose y_0 is not an endpoint of $f(I)$. Let $x_0 \in I$ be st. $f(x_0) = y_0$, so $x_0 = g(y_0)$. Set $x_1 = x_0 - \varepsilon$, $x_2 = x_0 + \varepsilon$, and let $y_1 = f(x_1)$, $y_2 = f(x_2)$, and $\delta = \min\{|y_1 - y_0|, |y_2 - y_0|\}$. Then, $\forall y \in f(I)$, $|y - y_0| < \delta \Rightarrow y \in (y_1, y_2) = (f(x_1), f(x_2)) \Rightarrow g(y) \in (g(f(x_1)), g(f(x_2))) = (x_0 - \varepsilon, x_0 + \varepsilon)$. ✓

Def. A function $f: A \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is called Lipschitz, when $\exists L \in \mathbb{R}$ st.
 $\forall x_1, x_2 \in A, |f(x_1) - f(x_2)| \leq L \cdot |x_1 - x_2|$.
 L is then called a Lipschitz constant of f .

Def. $f: A \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is called a contraction, when f is Lipschitz with a Lip. constant < 1 . That is, when $\exists L \in [0, 1)$ $\forall x_1, x_2 \in A, |f(x_1) - f(x_2)| \leq L \cdot |x_1 - x_2|$.

Remark: Every Lipschitz function is uniformly continuous.

Example - Warning: Not the other way around!

Consider $f(x) = \begin{cases} x \cdot \sin(\frac{\pi}{x^2}) & , x \in (0, 1] \\ 0 & , x = 0 \end{cases}$. Then, since $\lim_{x \rightarrow 0^+} f(x) = 0$,

f is continuous on $[0, 1]$, and hence uniformly continuous.
But f is not Lipschitz.

Indeed, for $n \in \mathbb{Z}_+$, define $x_n = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2n}}$, $y_n = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2n + \frac{1}{2}}}$. Then,

$\forall n \geq 1, f(x_n) = x_n \cdot \sin(2n\pi) = 0$, $f(y_n) = y_n \cdot \sin(2n\pi + \frac{\pi}{2}) = y_n = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2n + \frac{1}{2}}}$,

and $|x_n - y_n| = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2n}} - \frac{1}{\sqrt{2n + \frac{1}{2}}} = \frac{\sqrt{2n + \frac{1}{2}} - \sqrt{2n}}{\sqrt{2n} \cdot \sqrt{2n + \frac{1}{2}}}$

Hence, $\frac{|f(x_n) - f(y_n)|}{|x_n - y_n|} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2n + \frac{1}{2}}} \cdot \frac{\sqrt{2n} \cdot \sqrt{2n + \frac{1}{2}}}{\sqrt{2n + \frac{1}{2}} - \sqrt{2n}} = \frac{\sqrt{2n} \cdot (\sqrt{2n + \frac{1}{2}} + \sqrt{2n})}{2n + \frac{1}{2} - 2n} = 2\sqrt{2n} \cdot (\sqrt{2n + \frac{1}{2}} + \sqrt{2n}) \xrightarrow{n \rightarrow \infty} \infty$.

(51)

Thus, there's no $L > 0$ st. $|f(x_n) - f(y_n)| \leq L \cdot |x_n - y_n|$ for all $n \geq 1$. \square

Thm. (Fixed Point Theorem) Let $A \subseteq \mathbb{R}$ be a non-empty closed set, and let $f: A \rightarrow A$ be a contraction. Then, there exists a unique pt. st. $f(p) = p$.

Pf. Let $x_1 \in A$ be arbitrary. Define a sequence $(x_n)_{n=1}^{\infty} \subset A$ by setting $x_{k+1} = f(x_k)$ for all $k \geq 1$. We claim that (x_n) is Cauchy.

Indeed, let $\alpha \in [0, 1)$ be st. $|f(x) - f(y)| \leq \alpha \cdot |x - y|$ for all $x, y \in A$. We first show, by induction on $k \geq 2$, that $|x_{k+1} - x_k| \leq \alpha^{k-1} |x_2 - x_1|$.

For $k=2$, by def'n $|x_3 - x_2| = |f(x_2) - f(x_1)| \leq \alpha \cdot |x_2 - x_1|$. \checkmark

If $|x_{k+1} - x_k| \leq \alpha^{k-1} \cdot |x_2 - x_1|$, then $|x_{k+2} - x_{k+1}| = |f(x_{k+1}) - f(x_k)| \leq \alpha \cdot |x_{k+1} - x_k| \leq \alpha^k \cdot |x_2 - x_1|$.

Now, let $\epsilon > 0$ be arbitrary. Choose $N_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ st. $\frac{\alpha^{N_0-1}}{1-\alpha} \cdot |x_2 - x_1| < \epsilon$ (which exists, since $\alpha^n \xrightarrow{n \rightarrow \infty} 0$).

Then, $\forall n > m \geq N_0$,

$$\begin{aligned} |x_n - x_m| &\leq |x_n - x_{n-1}| + |x_{n-1} - x_{n-2}| + \dots + |x_{m+1} - x_m| \leq \\ &\leq (\alpha^{n-2} + \alpha^{n-3} + \dots + \alpha^{m-1}) \cdot |x_2 - x_1| = \alpha^{m-1} \cdot (1 + \alpha + \alpha^2 + \dots + \alpha^{n-m}) \cdot |x_2 - x_1| \leq \\ &\leq \alpha^{N_0-1} \cdot \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \alpha^n \cdot |x_2 - x_1| = \frac{\alpha^{N_0-1}}{1-\alpha} \cdot |x_2 - x_1| < \epsilon, \text{ which proves that } (x_n) \text{ is Cauchy.} \end{aligned}$$

By completeness of \mathbb{R} , $\exists p \in \mathbb{R}$ st. $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} x_n = p$.

Since $(x_n) \subset A$ and A is closed, then $p \in A$.

Now, by continuity of f at p , $f(p) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} f(x_n) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} x_{n+1} = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} x_n = p$, so p is a fixed point.

Finally, for the proof of uniqueness, suppose $q \in A$ is st. $f(q) = q$.

Then, $|p - q| = |f(p) - f(q)| \leq \alpha \cdot |p - q|$, which is only possible when $|p - q| = 0$; i.e., $p = q$. \square