

X. SIGNED MEASURES

Def. Let \mathcal{M} be a σ -algebra. A signed measure on \mathcal{M} is a function $\mu: \mathcal{M} \rightarrow (-\infty, \infty]$ such that: (i) $\mu(\emptyset) = 0$
(ii) $\{A_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty} \subset \mathcal{M}$, $A_i \cap A_j = \emptyset \forall i \neq j \rightarrow \mu\left(\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} A_n\right) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \mu(A_n)$;
and if $\mu\left(\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} A_n\right) < \infty$ then the series converges absolutely.

Terminology: From now on we will refer to (ordinary) measures as positive measures.

Def. Let μ be a signed measure on a σ -algebra \mathcal{M} . A set $A \in \mathcal{M}$ is called a positive set (for μ) when $\mu(B) \geq 0$ for all $B \in \mathcal{M} \cap \mathcal{P}(A)$. A set $A \in \mathcal{M}$ is a negative set (for μ) when $\mu(B) \leq 0$ for all $B \in \mathcal{M} \cap \mathcal{P}(A)$.
 A is a null set (for μ) when $\mu(B) = 0$ for all $B \in \mathcal{M} \cap \mathcal{P}(A)$.

(Key) Example: Let f be an integrable function on a measure space (X, \mathcal{M}, μ) .

Define $\nu(A) := \int_A f d\mu$, for $A \in \mathcal{M}$. Then, ν is a signed measure on \mathcal{M} .

If $P := \{x \in X : f(x) \geq 0\}$ and $N := \{x \in X : f(x) < 0\}$, then P is a positive set and N is a negative set for ν .

Prop. Let μ be a signed measure on \mathcal{M} , and let $E \in \mathcal{M}$ be st. $\mu(E) < 0$. Then, there exists a negative set $F \subset E$ with $\mu(F) < 0$.

PF. If E itself is a negative set, there is nothing to show. So, suppose $\exists B \in \mathcal{M}$ st.

$B \subset E$ and $\mu(B) > 0$. Let $n_0 \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ be minimum st. $\exists B \in \mathcal{M}$, $B \subset E$ with $\mu(B) \geq \frac{1}{n_0}$.

Choose $E_1 \in \mathcal{M} \cap \mathcal{P}(E)$ st. $\mu(E_1) \geq \frac{1}{n_1}$. Inductively, we define a sequence $(E_i)_{i=1}^{\infty} \subset \mathcal{M} \cap \mathcal{P}(E)$

as follows: Given pairwise disjoint E_1, E_2, \dots, E_k with $\mu(E_i) > 0$,

set $F_{k+1} := E \setminus \left(\bigcup_{i=1}^k E_i\right)$. If F_{k+1} is a negative set, then

$\mu(F_{k+1}) = \mu\left(E \setminus \left(\bigcup_{i=1}^k E_i\right)\right) = \mu(E) - \sum_{i=1}^k \mu(E_i) < \mu(E) < 0$. Letting $F := F_{k+1}$, we're done.

Otherwise (if F_{k+1} is not a negative set), let $n_{k+1} \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ be the minimum st.

$\exists E_{k+1} \in \mathcal{M}$, $E_{k+1} \subset F_{k+1}$ with $\mu(E_{k+1}) \geq \frac{1}{n_{k+1}}$. This defines E_{k+1} so that $\{E_1, \dots, E_{k+1}\}$ are pairwise

disjoint, measurable, and $\mu(E_i) > 0, i=1, \dots, k+1$.

Suppose the above process doesn't terminate. Then, set $F := \bigcap_{k=2}^{\infty} F_k (= E \setminus \bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} E_i)$.

We claim that F is the required negative set.

Since $-\infty < \mu(E) < 0$ and $\mu(E_i) > 0, \forall i$, we have $\mu(E \setminus \bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} E_i) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mu(E \setminus \bigcup_{i=1}^n E_i)$,
 hence $\mu(F) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} [\mu(E) - \mu(\bigcup_{i=1}^n E_i)] = \mu(E) - \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (\sum_{i=1}^n \mu(E_i)) = \mu(E) - \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \mu(E_i)$.

It follows that $\mu(F) \leq \mu(E) < 0$, and the series $\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \mu(E_i)$ converges.

Since $\mu(E_i) \geq \frac{1}{n_i}$, we have $n_i \xrightarrow{i \rightarrow \infty} \infty$. Suppose F is not a negative set, and

let $G \subset F, G \in \mathcal{M}$ be s.t. $\mu(G) > 0$. Then $\mu(G) \geq \frac{1}{N}$ for some $N \in \mathbb{Z}_+$, which contradicts the construction: indeed, let $i_0 \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ be s.t. $n_{i_0} > N$. Then, at the i_0 'th step of the above construction we should have chosen N instead of n_{i_0} , since there exists a measurable set in $E \setminus \bigcup_{i=1}^{i_0} E_i$ with measure $\geq \frac{1}{N}$ (namely G). This contradiction completes the proof. \square

Thm. (Hahn Decomposition Thm) Let $\mu: \mathcal{M} \rightarrow (-\infty, \infty]$ be a signed measure (on a σ -alg. \mathcal{M} on X).

(1) There exists $E \in \mathcal{M}$ s.t. E is a negative set and $F = E^c$ is a positive set.

(2) If $\{E', F'\}$ is another such pair, then $E \Delta E' = F \Delta F'$ is a null set of μ .

(3) If μ is not a positive measure then $\mu(E) < 0$. If μ is not a positive measure then $\mu(F) > 0$.

Pf. (1) If \mathcal{M} contains no ^{non-empty} negative set for μ , then by the last proposition, $\mu(A) \geq 0, \forall A \in \mathcal{M}$ and there is nothing to prove. Otherwise, let $L := \inf \{ \mu(A) : A \text{ a negative set for } \mu \}$.

Choose $(A_n)_{n=1}^{\infty} \subset \mathcal{M}$ a sequence of negative sets s.t. $\mu(A_n) \xrightarrow{n \rightarrow \infty} L$, and set $E := \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} A_n$.

We claim that E is the required negative set.

Indeed, let $B_i = A_i$, and, $\forall n \in \mathbb{Z}_+, B_{n+1} := A_{n+1} \setminus (\bigcup_{i=1}^n B_i)$. Then, $\{B_n\} \subset \mathcal{M}$ and each B_n is a negative set as a subset of a negative A_n . We have $B_i \cap B_j = \emptyset, \forall i \neq j$, and $\bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} B_i = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} A_n = E$.

Hence, for any $C \subset E, \mu(C) = \mu(C \cap (\bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} B_i)) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mu(C \cap (\bigcup_{i=1}^n B_i)) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{i=1}^n \mu(C \cap B_i) \leq 0$.

This shows that E is negative. ≤ 0 , s.t. E negative

Moreover, $\forall n, \mu(E) = \mu(A_n) + \mu(E \setminus A_n) \leq \mu(A_n)$, and hence $\mu(E) \leq L$. By defn of L , it follows that $\mu(E) = L$.

Next, we claim that $F := E^c$ is positive. Suppose otherwise, and let $G \subset F, G \in \mathcal{M}$ be s.t.

10) $\mu(G) < 0$. By the proposition, G contains a negative set H with $\mu(H) < 0$.

Then, $H \subseteq G \subseteq E^c$, so $\mu(H \cup E) = \mu(H) + \mu(E)$. The latter implies that $\mu(H \cup E) < L$, which contradicts the def'n of L . ✓

(2) Let $E', F' = (E')^c$ be s.t. E' negative, F' positive. We have $E \Delta E' = (E \setminus E') \cup (E' \setminus E) = (E \cap (E')^c) \cup (E' \cap E^c) = (E \cap F') \cup ((E')^c \cap E) = (F' \setminus E) \cup (E \setminus F') = F' \Delta F'$

For any measurable $A_1 \subseteq E \setminus E'$, we have $A_1 \subseteq E \setminus E' = F' \setminus E \subseteq F'$, so $\mu(A_1) = 0$. Similarly, for any mble $A_2 \subseteq E' \setminus E$, $A_2 \subseteq E' \setminus E = E \setminus F' \subseteq E$, so $\mu(A_2) = 0$. Since any $A \subseteq E \Delta E'$ is the union of A_1, A_2 as above, we have $\mu(A) = 0$. ✓

(3) Suppose μ is not positive but $\mu(E) = 0$. Then, for any $A \in \mathcal{M}$, we have $\mu(A) = \mu((A \cap E) \cup (A \cap F)) = \mu(A \cap E) + \mu(A \cap F) = (\mu(E) - \underbrace{\mu(E \setminus A)}_{< 0, \text{ b/c } E \text{ is negative}}) + \mu(A \cap F) \geq \mu(E) + \mu(A \cap F) \geq 0$.

This means that μ is positive, a contradiction.

The proof for ν and F is analogous. \square

Def. Let (X, \mathcal{M}) be a measurable space. Two ^(positive) measures μ, ν are mutually singular then $\exists E \in \mathcal{M}$ s.t. $\mu(E) = \nu(E^c) = 0$. We write $\mu \perp \nu$.

Examples: 1) $(X, \mathcal{M}) := ([0, 1], \mathcal{L}^1)$, $\mu(A) := m(A \cap [0, \frac{1}{2}])$, $\nu(A) := m(A \cap [\frac{1}{2}, 1])$.

2) $X = \mathbb{R}$, $\mathcal{M} = \mathcal{L}^1$, $f: X \rightarrow [0, 1]$ defined as $f|_{(-\infty, 0]} \equiv 0$, $f|_{[1, \infty)} \equiv 1$, $f|_{(0, 1)} = \text{Cantor-Lebesgue fu.}$

Set $\mu := m$, $\nu := \text{Lebesgue-Stieltjes measure rel. } f$. Then $\mu \perp \nu$, b/c $\mu(\text{Cantor set}) = 0$ and $\nu(\mathbb{C}^c) = 0$, as f is locally constant on \mathbb{C}^c (i.e., constant on every connected comp. of \mathbb{C}^c).

Thm. (Jordan Decomposition Thm) If μ is a signed measure on a measurable space (X, \mathcal{M}) , then there exist positive measures μ^+ and μ^- on \mathcal{M} , s.t. $\mu = \mu^+ - \mu^-$ and $\mu^+ \perp \mu^-$. This decomposition is unique.

Pf. Let E and $F := E^c$ be a negative and a positive sets for μ , from the Halmos's thm.

Set $\mu^+(A) := \mu(A \cap F)$ and $\mu^-(A) := \mu(A \cap E)$, for any $A \in \mathcal{M}$. Then, μ^+ and μ^- are positive measures, $\mu = \mu^+ - \mu^-$ (by additivity of μ), and $\mu^+(E) = \mu^-(F) = 0$ with $E \cup F = X$.

Suppose then that $\mu = \nu^+ - \nu^-$ is another such decomposition, with $\nu^+ \perp \nu^-$.

Let $E' \in \mathcal{M}$ be s.t. $\nu^+(E') = 0$ and $\nu^-(F') = 0$, where $F' := (E')^c$.

Now, for any $A \in \mathcal{M}$, $\mu(A) = \nu^+(A) - \nu^-(A) \leq \nu^+(E') = 0$, so E' is a negative set for μ .

Similarly, $\forall A \in \mathcal{M}$, $\mu(A) = \nu^+(A) - \nu^-(A) \geq \nu^-(F') = 0$, so F' is a positive set for μ .

Thus, by the Hahn thm., $E \Delta E' = F \Delta F'$ is a μ -null set. It follows that, $\forall A \in \mathcal{M}$,

$$\nu^+(A) = \nu^+(A \cap F') + \nu^+(A \cap E') \stackrel{\nu^+(E')=0}{=} \nu^+(A \cap F') = \nu^+(A \cap F') - \nu^-(A \cap F') = \mu(A \cap F') \stackrel{\mu(F \Delta F')=0}{=} \mu(A \cap F) \stackrel{\text{defn of } \mu^+}{=} \mu^+(A).$$

Similarly, $\nu^-(A) = \nu^-(A \cap E') + \nu^-(A \cap F') \stackrel{\nu^-(F')=0}{=} \nu^-(A \cap E') = \nu^-(A \cap E') - \nu^+(A \cap E') = -\mu(A \cap E') \stackrel{\mu(E \Delta E')=0}{=} -\mu(A \cap E) = -\mu^-(A)$; so $\nu^{\pm} = \mu^{\pm}$. \square

Def. The measure $|\mu| := \mu^+ + \mu^-$ is called the total variation measure of μ , and $|\mu|(X)$ is the total variation of μ . The μ^+ and μ^- are called the positive and negative variations of μ .

XI. COMPLEX MEASURES

Def. Let \mathcal{M} be a σ -algebra on a set X . A complex measure on \mathcal{M} is a function

$$\mu: \mathcal{M} \rightarrow \mathbb{C} \text{ satisfying } \mu\left(\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} E_n\right) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \mu(E_n), \text{ where } \{E_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty} \in \mathcal{M}, E_i \cap E_j = \emptyset, \forall i \neq j.$$

Remarks: 1) Since $\mu(E) \in \mathbb{C}, \forall E \in \mathcal{M}$, it follows that $\mu(\emptyset) = 0$. (Indeed, since $\emptyset = \emptyset \cup \emptyset$, $\mu(\emptyset) = 2\mu(\emptyset)$.)

2) For the same reason, the series $\sum \mu(E_n)$ is always convergent.

Also after any rearrangement, hence it is absolutely convergent.

(Key) Example: (X, \mathcal{M}, μ) δ -finite measure space, $h: X \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ integrable function. Then,

$$\nu(A) := \int_A h \, d\mu, \quad A \in \mathcal{M} \quad \text{is a complex measure.}$$

Def. Let $\mu: \mathcal{M} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ be a complex measure on a σ -algebra \mathcal{M} . The function $|\mu|: \mathcal{M} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ defined as $|\mu|(E) := \sup \left\{ \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |\mu(E_n)| : \{E_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty} \in \mathcal{M}, E = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} E_n, E_i \cap E_j = \emptyset, \forall i \neq j \right\}$, for $E \in \mathcal{M}$, is called the total variation measure of μ .

Remark: For any $A \in \mathcal{M}$, we have $|\mu|(A) \geq |\mu(A)|$.

Indeed, given $A \in \mathcal{M}$, the family $\{A, \emptyset, \emptyset, \dots\}$ forms a partition of A , so $|\mu|(A) \geq |\mu(A)| + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} |\mu(\emptyset)| = |\mu(A)|$.

Thm. The total variation $|\mu|$ of a complex measure μ on \mathcal{M} is a positive measure on \mathcal{M} .

Pf. Let $\{E_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty} \subset \mathcal{M}$ be arbitrary collection of pairwise disjoint sets. Set $E := \bigsqcup_{n=1}^{\infty} E_n$.

We want to show that $|\mu|(E) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |\mu|(E_n)$.

For every $n \in \mathbb{Z}_+$, let $t_n \in \mathbb{R}$ be s.t. $|\mu|(E_n) > t_n$. Then, $\forall n$, there exist pairwise disjoint $\{A_{nk}\}_{k=1}^{\infty} \subset \mathcal{M}$ s.t. $E_n = \bigsqcup_{k=1}^{\infty} A_{nk}$ and $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} |\mu|(A_{nk}) \geq t_n$. Since $\{A_{nk}\}_{n,k=1}^{\infty}$ is a partition of E , we have $|\mu|(E) \geq \sum_{n,k=1}^{\infty} |\mu|(A_{nk}) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left(\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} |\mu|(A_{nk}) \right) \geq \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} t_n$. Taking supremum over all $(t_n)_{n=1}^{\infty}$ as above, we get $|\mu|(E) \geq \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |\mu|(E_n)$.

For the opposite inequality, let $\{A_k\}_{k=1}^{\infty} \subset \mathcal{M}$ be an arbitrary partition of E . Then, $\forall k$, $\{A_k \cap E_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ is a partition of A_k , and $\forall n$, $\{A_k \cap E_n\}_{k=1}^{\infty}$ is a partition of E_n ,
by absolute convergence, since $\{A_k \cap E_n\}_{k=1}^{\infty}$ is a partition of E
 $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} |\mu|(A_k) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \left| \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \mu(A_k \cap E_n) \right| \leq \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |\mu|(A_k \cap E_n) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left(\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} |\mu|(A_k \cap E_n) \right) \leq \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |\mu|(E_n)$.

Taking supremum over all such partitions $\{A_k\}$, we get $|\mu|(E) \leq \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |\mu|(E_n)$.

This proves countable additivity of $|\mu|$. That $|\mu|(\emptyset) = 0$ follows from def'n and $\mu(\emptyset) = 0$. \square

Thm. If μ is a complex measure on (X, \mathcal{M}) , then the measure $|\mu|$ is finite (i.e., $|\mu|(X) < \infty$).

We'll need the following:

Lemma. If $\{z_n, z_N\} \subset \mathbb{C}$, then $\exists S \subset \{1, \dots, N\}$ s.t. $\left| \sum_{n \in S} z_n \right| \geq \frac{1}{\pi} \sum_{n=1}^N |z_n|$.

Pf. Write $z_n = |z_n| e^{i\alpha_n}$, with $\alpha_n \in (-\pi, \pi]$. For $\theta \in [-\pi, \pi]$, let $S(\theta) := \{n \in \{1, \dots, N\} : \cos(\alpha_n - \theta) > 0\}$
(i.e., $\alpha_n - \theta \in [0, \frac{\pi}{2}) \cup (\frac{3\pi}{2}, 2\pi]$).

Then, $\left| \sum_{n \in S(\theta)} z_n \right| = |e^{-i\theta}| \cdot \left| \sum_{n \in S(\theta)} z_n \right| = \left| \sum_{n \in S(\theta)} e^{i\theta} z_n \right| \geq \operatorname{Re} \left(\sum_{n \in S(\theta)} |z_n| e^{i(\alpha_n - \theta)} \right) = \sum_{n \in S(\theta)} |z_n| \cos(\alpha_n - \theta) = \sum_{n=1}^N |z_n| \cos^+(\alpha_n - \theta)$.

Choose $\theta_0 \in [-\pi, \pi]$ s.t. as to maximize the last sum, and set $S := S(\theta_0)$.

Then, $\frac{1}{\pi} \sum_{n=1}^N |z_n| \geq \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \left(\sum_{n=1}^N |z_n| \cos^+(\alpha_n - \theta) \right) d\theta = \sum_{n=1}^N |z_n| \cdot \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \cos^+(\alpha_n - \theta) d\theta = \sum_{n=1}^N |z_n| \cdot \frac{1}{\pi} = \frac{1}{\pi} \sum_{n=1}^N |z_n|$. \square
 $\int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \cos^+(\alpha - \theta) d\theta = \text{area under } \cos^+ \Big|_{-\pi}^{\pi} = 2 \sin\left(\frac{\pi}{2}\right) = 2$.

Pf. of Thm.: Suppose first that for some $E \in \mathcal{M}$ we have $|\mu|(E) = \infty$.

Set $t := \pi(1 + |\mu|(E))$. Since $|\mu|(E) = \infty$, there is a partition $\{E_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty} \subset \mathcal{M}$ of E s.t. $\sum_{n=1}^N |\mu|(E_n) > t$, for some $N \in \mathbb{Z}_+$. Apply the above lemma, with $z_n := \mu(E_n)$,

to conclude that there is a set $A \in \mathcal{M}$ (namely, the union of some E_n 's) s.t. $|\mu(A)| > \frac{\epsilon}{\|\mu\|} \geq 1$. Setting $B := E \setminus A$, we get

$$|\mu(B)| = |\mu(E \setminus A)| = |\mu(E) - \mu(A)| \geq |\mu(A)| - |\mu(E)| > \frac{\epsilon}{\|\mu\|} - |\mu(E)| = 1$$

We thus have $E = A \sqcup B$ with $|\mu(A)| > 1$ and $|\mu(B)| > 1$. By additivity of $|\mu|$, at least one of the A, B must have infinite $|\mu|$ -measure.

Now, if $|\mu|(X) = \infty$, we construct an infinite sequence $(A_n)_{n=1}^{\infty} \in \mathcal{M}$ of pairwise disjoint sets with $|\mu(A_n)| > 1, \forall n$, as follows: Split X into A_1 and B_1 with $|\mu(A_1)| > 1$ and $|\mu(B_1)| = \infty$. Given A_1, \dots, A_k , split B_k into A_{k+1} and B_{k+1} with $|\mu(A_{k+1})| > 1$ and $|\mu(B_{k+1})| = \infty$. Then, since the A_n are pairwise disjoint, we have

$\mu(\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} A_n) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \mu(A_n)$, and $\{A_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty} \in \mathcal{M}$. But then the series $\sum \mu(A_n)$ must converge which is impossible, since $|\mu(A_n)| \not\rightarrow 0$. \square

Remark: If μ, ν are complex measures on \mathcal{M} , then so are $\mu + \nu$ and $c\mu$, for any $c \in \mathbb{C}$, since $(\mu + \nu)(E) = \mu(E) + \nu(E)$, $(c\mu)(E) = c \cdot \mu(E)$. Hence $\{\text{complex measures on } \mathcal{M}\}$ is a \mathbb{C} -vector space. The function $\|\mu\| := |\mu|(X)$ is a norm on this space. Exercise!

Absolute Continuity

Def. Let μ be a positive measure on a σ -algebra \mathcal{M} , and let λ be an arbitrary measure on \mathcal{M} . We say that λ is absolutely continuous wrt to μ , and write $\lambda \ll \mu$, when $\lambda(E) = 0$ for all $E \in \mathcal{M}$ with $\mu(E) = 0$.

We say that λ is concentrated on a measurable set A , when $\lambda(E) = 0, \forall E \in \mathcal{M}$ of $E \cap A = \emptyset$.

Prop. Suppose λ_1, λ_2 are measures on \mathcal{M} , and μ is a positive measure on \mathcal{M} . Then:

- (1) If λ is concentrated on $A \in \mathcal{M}$, then so is $|\lambda|$.
- (2) If $\lambda_1 \perp \lambda_2$, then $|\lambda_1| \perp |\lambda_2|$.
- (3) If $\lambda_1 \perp \mu$ and $\lambda_2 \perp \mu$, then $(\lambda_1 + \lambda_2) \perp \mu$.
- (4) If $\lambda_1 \ll \mu$ and $\lambda_2 \ll \mu$, then $(\lambda_1 + \lambda_2) \ll \mu$.
- (5) If $\lambda \ll \mu$, then $|\lambda| \ll \mu$.
- (6) If $\lambda_1 \ll \mu$ and $\lambda_2 \perp \mu$, then $\lambda_1 \perp \lambda_2$.
- (7) If $\lambda \ll \mu$ and $\lambda \perp \mu$, then $\lambda = 0$.

Pf. (1) For any $E \in \mathcal{A}$, $E \in \mathcal{M}$ and any partition $\{E_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty} \in \mathcal{M}$ of E , we have $E_n \cap A = \emptyset$,
 hence $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |\lambda(E_n)| = \sum_n 0 = 0$. Thus, $|\lambda(E)| = 0$. ✓

(2) Follows from (1). ✓

(3) There are $A_1, B_1, A_2, B_2 \in \mathcal{M}$ of. $A_1 \cap B_1 = A_2 \cap B_2 = \emptyset$, λ_1 is concentrated on A_1 , μ is concentrated on B_1 , λ_2 is concentrated on A_2 , and μ is concentrated on B_2 . Then, $\lambda_1 + \lambda_2$ is concentrated on $A_1 \cup A_2$, and μ is concentrated on $B_1 \cap B_2$ (indeed, if $E \in (\mathcal{B}_1 \cap \mathcal{B}_2)^c$ then $E = E_1 \cup E_2$, since $E_i = E \cap \mathcal{B}_i^c$; then $\mu(E_1) = \mu(E_2) = 0$, so $\mu(E) = 0$). But $(A_1 \cup A_2) \cap (B_1 \cap B_2) = \emptyset$. ✓

(4) Clear. ✓

(5) Suppose $E \in \mathcal{M}$ is of. $\mu(E) = 0$. Then, $\mu(A) = 0$, $\forall A \in \mathcal{M} \cap \mathcal{B}(E)$. Hence, for any partition $\{E_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty} \in \mathcal{M}$ of E , we have $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |\lambda(E_n)| \stackrel{\lambda \ll \mu}{=} \sum_n 0$, so $|\lambda(E)| = 0$. ✓

(6) Let $A \in \mathcal{M}$ be of. $\mu(A) = 0$ and λ_2 is concentrated on A .

Since $\lambda_1 \ll \mu$, it follows that $\forall E \in \mathcal{M} \cap \mathcal{B}(A)$, $\lambda_1(E) = 0$, and so λ is concentrated on A^c . ✓

(7) By (6), we have $\lambda \perp \lambda_2$, which means that $\exists A \in \mathcal{M}$ of. $\lambda(E) = 0$, $\forall E \in \mathcal{M} \cap \mathcal{B}(A)$ and $\lambda_2(A) = 0$, $\forall A \in \mathcal{M} \cap \mathcal{B}(A^c)$. Thus, $\lambda(E) = 0$, $\forall E \in \mathcal{M}$. □

Thm. (Radon-Nikodym Thm.) Let μ be a positive σ -finite measure on a σ -algebra \mathcal{M} on X , and let λ be a complex measure on \mathcal{M} . Then:

(1) There is a unique pair of complex measures λ_a and λ_s on \mathcal{M} of.

$$\lambda = \lambda_a + \lambda_s, \quad \lambda_a \ll \mu, \quad \lambda_s \perp \mu.$$

If λ is positive finite, then so are λ_a and λ_s .

(2) There is a unique (a.e.) integrable function $h: X \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ of.

$$\lambda_a(E) = \int_E h \, d\mu, \quad \text{for all } E \in \mathcal{M}.$$

Def. The pair (λ_a, λ_s) is called the Lebesgue decomposition of λ rel. to μ .

Proof of the theorem in case λ = positive finite - in-class presentation.

Pf. of Radon-Nikodym:

Suppose first that λ and μ are finite positive measures.

Let $\mathcal{F} := \{g: X \rightarrow [0, \infty) \mid g \text{ is } \mathcal{M}\text{-measurable} \wedge \int_A g d\mu \leq \lambda(A), \forall A \in \mathcal{M}\}$.

Note that $\mathcal{F} \neq \emptyset$, since $g \equiv 0 \in \mathcal{F}$.

Let $L := \sup \left\{ \int_X g d\mu \mid g \in \mathcal{F} \right\}$, and let $(g_n) \subset \mathcal{F}$ be st. $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_X g_n d\mu = L$.

For $n \in \mathbb{Z}_+$, define $h_n := \max\{g_1, \dots, g_n\}$. Then, $\forall n, h_n: X \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ is \mathcal{M} -measurable and

$h_n \in \mathcal{F}$. (Indeed, by induction it suffices to prove that $g_1, g_2 \in \mathcal{F} \Rightarrow h = \max\{g_1, g_2\} \in \mathcal{F}$, but

$$\int_A h d\mu = \int_A h d\mu + \int_A h d\mu = \int_{A \cap B} g_1 d\mu + \int_{A \cap B^c} g_2 d\mu \leq \lambda(A \cap B) + \lambda(A \cap B^c) = \lambda(A), \forall A \in \mathcal{M}, \text{ where } B = \{x \in X \mid g_1(x) \geq g_2(x)\}.)$$

Let $h := \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} h_n$. Then, $h_n \uparrow h$, so h is \mathcal{M} -measurable, and by Monotone Conv. Thm.,

$$\int_X h d\mu = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_X h_n d\mu.$$

For any $A \in \mathcal{M}$, we have $\int_A h_n d\mu \leq \lambda(A)$, hence also $\int_A h d\mu \leq \lambda(A)$. Thus, $h \in \mathcal{F}$.

On the other hand, $\forall n, h \geq g_n$, so $\int_X h d\mu \geq \int_X g_n d\mu$, hence $\int_X h d\mu \geq \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_X g_n d\mu = L$.

$$\text{Thus, } \int_X h d\mu = L.$$

Define $\lambda_a(A) := \int_A h d\mu$, $\forall A \in \mathcal{M}$. Then, define $\lambda_s := \lambda - \lambda_a$. (λ_s is positive, since $\forall A \in \mathcal{M}, \int_A h d\mu \leq \lambda(A)$)

Clearly, $\lambda_a \ll \mu$. We claim that also $\lambda_s \perp \mu$.

Lemma. Let ν, μ be finite positive measures on \mathcal{M} . Then, either $\nu \perp \mu$ or else $\exists \varepsilon > 0$ $\exists G \in \mathcal{M}$ st. $\mu(G) > 0$ and G is ν -positive set for $\nu - \varepsilon\mu$.

Pf.

For $n \in \mathbb{Z}_+$, let $\{E_n, F_n\}$ be a Halmos decomposition of X for $\nu - \frac{1}{n}\mu$.

Set $E := \bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} E_n$, $F := \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} F_n$. Then, $E^c = F$, and since $\forall n, E \subset E_n$, we have

$$\nu(E) \leq \nu(E_n) \leq \frac{1}{n} \mu(E_n) \leq \frac{1}{n} \mu(X). \text{ Since } \mu(X) < \infty, \text{ it follows that } \nu(E) = 0.$$

If now $\mu(E^c) = 0$, then $\nu \perp \mu$. If, in turn, $\mu(F) = \mu(E^c) > 0$, then $\exists n_0 \geq 1$ st. $\mu(F_{n_0}) > 0$.

In this case, we let $G := F_{n_0}$ and $\varepsilon = \frac{1}{n_0}$. \square

For a proof by contradiction, suppose $\lambda_s \not\perp \mu$. Then, by Lemma, $\exists \varepsilon > 0$ $\exists G \in \mathcal{M}$

st. $\mu(G) > 0$ \wedge G is λ_s -positive for $\lambda_s - \varepsilon\mu$. Hence, $\forall A \in \mathcal{M}$,

$$\lambda(A) - \int_A h d\mu = \lambda(A) - \lambda_a(A) = \lambda_s(A) \geq \lambda_s(A \cap G) \geq \varepsilon \mu(A \cap G) = \int_A \varepsilon \chi_G d\mu, \text{ and so}$$

$$\lambda(A) \geq \int_A (h + \varepsilon \chi_G) d\mu. \text{ It follows that } h + \varepsilon \chi_G \in \mathcal{F}, \text{ and so } \int_X (h + \varepsilon \chi_G) d\mu \leq L.$$

$$\text{But } \int_X (h + \varepsilon \chi_G) d\mu = \int_X h d\mu + \varepsilon \mu(G) = L + \varepsilon \mu(G) > L. \quad \square$$

Let now μ be σ -finite, and let $\{X_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty} \subset \mathcal{M}$ be of $X_n \uparrow X$ and $\mu(X_n) < \infty, \forall n$.

Define, $\forall n \in \mathbb{Z}_+, \mu_n(A) := \mu(A \cap X_n), \forall A \in \mathcal{M}$, and $\lambda_n(A) := \lambda(A \cap X_n)$

By the above, $\forall n \in \mathbb{Z}_+, \exists h_n: X_n \rightarrow [0, \infty) \exists (\lambda_n)_a, (\lambda_n)_s$ positive st. $\lambda_n = (\lambda_n)_a + (\lambda_n)_s, (\lambda_n)_a = \int_{X_n} h_n d\mu_n$

By uniqueness of $h_n, (\lambda_n)_a, (\lambda_n)_s$, it follows that $h_{n+1}|_{X_n} = h_n$ and $(\lambda_{n+1})_a|_{\mathcal{B}(X_n)} = (\lambda_n)_a, (\lambda_{n+1})_s|_{\mathcal{B}(X_n)} = (\lambda_n)_s$.

Hence, can define $h: X \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ as $h(x) := h_n(x)$ if $x \in X_n$. Set $\lambda_a(A) = \int_A h d\mu$.

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Then, } \forall n \geq 1 \forall A \in \mathcal{M}, \lambda_a(A) &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \lambda_a(A \cap X_n) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{A \cap X_n} h_n d\mu_n = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{A \cap X_n} h_n \chi_{A \cap X_n} d\mu \stackrel{\text{MCT}(\cdot)}{=} \\ &= \int_X \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (h_n \chi_{A \cap X_n}) d\mu = \int_X h \cdot \chi_A d\mu = \int_A h d\mu. \end{aligned}$$

Finally, if, $\forall n \geq 1, E_n \in \mathcal{M}$ is st. $\lambda_n(E_n) = 0 = \mu_n(E_n^c)$,

then setting $E := \bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} E_n$, we get $\mu(E^c) = \mu(\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} E_n^c) \leq \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \mu(E_n^c) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \mu_n(E_n^c) = \sum 0 = 0$,

and $\lambda_s(E) \leq \lambda_s(E_1) = (\lambda_1)_s(E_1) = 0$, which proves $\lambda_s \perp \mu$ (provided λ_s is positive).

The latter follows from:

$$\lambda(A) \geq \lambda(A \cap X_n) = \lambda_n(A \cap X_n) \geq \int_{A \cap X_n} h_n d\mu_n = \int_{A \cap X_n} h_n \chi_{A \cap X_n} d\mu, \forall n \geq 1 \quad (\forall A \in \mathcal{M})$$

$$\text{hence } \lambda(A) \geq \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{A \cap X_n} h_n \chi_{A \cap X_n} d\mu \stackrel{\text{MCT}}{=} \int_A h d\mu.$$

Finally, if $\lambda: \mathcal{M} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ is a ptx measure, write $\lambda = \lambda_r + i \cdot \lambda_i$, where λ_r (resp. λ_i) is the real (resp. imaginary) part of λ , and apply the above to $\lambda_r^+, \lambda_r^-, \lambda_i^+, \lambda_i^-$.