

## Contents

38 Spectra	1
39 Strict model structure	7
40 Stable equivalences	11

## 38 Spectra

The approach to stable homotopy that follows was introduced in a seminal paper of Bousfield and Friedlander [2], which appeared in 1978.

A **spectrum**  $X$  consists of pointed (level) simplicial sets  $X^n$ ,  $n \geq 0$ , together with **bonding maps**

$$\sigma : S^1 \wedge X^n \rightarrow X^{n+1}.$$

A **map of spectra**  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  consists of pointed maps  $f : X^n \rightarrow Y^n$  which respect structure, in that the diagrams

$$\begin{array}{ccc} S^1 \wedge X^n & \xrightarrow{\sigma} & X^{n+1} \\ S^1 \wedge f \downarrow & & \downarrow f \\ S^1 \wedge Y^n & \xrightarrow{\sigma} & Y^{n+1} \end{array}$$

commute.

The category of spectra is denoted by **Spt**. This category is complete and cocomplete.

**Examples:**

1) Suppose  $Y$  is a pointed simplicial set. The **suspension spectrum**  $\Sigma^\infty Y$  consists of the pointed simplicial sets

$$Y, S^1 \wedge Y, S^1 \wedge S^1 \wedge Y, \dots, S^n \wedge Y, \dots$$

where

$$S^n = S^1 \wedge \dots \wedge S^1$$

( $n$ -fold smash power).

The bonding maps of  $\Sigma^\infty Y$  are the canonical isomorphisms

$$S^1 \wedge S^n \wedge Y \cong S^{n+1} \wedge Y.$$

There is a natural bijection

$$\text{hom}(\Sigma^\infty Y, X) \cong \text{hom}(X, Y^0).$$

The suspension spectrum functor is left adjoint to the “level 0” functor  $X \mapsto X^0$ .

2)  $S = \Sigma^\infty S^0$  is the **sphere spectrum**.

3) Suppose  $X$  is a spectrum and  $K$  is a pointed simplicial set.

The spectrum  $X \wedge K$  has level spaces

$$(X \wedge K)^n = X^n \wedge K,$$

and bonding maps

$$\sigma \wedge K : S^1 \wedge X^n \wedge K \rightarrow X^{n+1} \wedge K.$$

There is a natural isomorphism

$$\Sigma^\infty K \cong S \wedge K.$$

3)  $X \wedge S^1$  is the **suspension** of a spectrum  $X$ .

The **fake suspension**  $\Sigma X$  of  $X$  has level spaces  $S^1 \wedge X^n$  and bonding maps

$$S^1 \wedge \sigma : S^1 \wedge S^1 \wedge X^n \rightarrow S^1 \wedge X^{n+1}.$$

**Remark:** There is a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} S^1 \wedge S^1 \wedge X^n & \xrightarrow{S^1 \wedge \sigma} & S^1 \wedge X^{n+1} \\ \tau \wedge X^n \downarrow & \nearrow & \downarrow \cong \tau \\ S^1 \wedge S^1 \wedge X^n & & \\ S^1 \wedge \tau \downarrow \cong & & \\ S^1 \wedge X^n \wedge S^1 & \xrightarrow{\sigma \wedge S^1} & X^{n+1} \wedge S^1 \end{array}$$

where  $\tau$  flips adjacent smash factors:

$$\tau(x \wedge y) = y \wedge x.$$

The dotted arrow (bonding map induced by  $\sigma \wedge S^1$ ) differs from  $S^1 \wedge \sigma$  by precomposition by  $\tau \wedge X^n$ .

The flip  $\tau : S^1 \wedge S^1 \rightarrow S^1 \wedge S^1$  is non-trivial: it is multiplication by  $-1$  in  $H_2(S^2)$ .

Recall some definitions and results from Section 15:

Suppose  $X$  is a simplicial set.

Write  $\tilde{\mathbb{Z}}(X)$  for the kernel of the map  $\mathbb{Z}(X) \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}(*)$ .

Then  $H_n(X, \mathbb{Z}) = \pi_n(\mathbb{Z}(X), 0)$  (see Theorem 15.4), and  $\tilde{H}_n(X, \mathbb{Z}) = \pi_n(\tilde{\mathbb{Z}}(X), 0)$  (reduced homology).

If  $X$  is pointed there is a natural isomorphism

$$\tilde{\mathbb{Z}}(X) \cong \mathbb{Z}(X)/\mathbb{Z}(*),$$

and there is a natural pointed map

$$h : X \xrightarrow{\eta} \mathbb{Z}(X) \rightarrow \tilde{\mathbb{Z}}(X)$$

(the Hurewicz map).

If  $A$  is a simplicial abelian group, there is a natural simplicial map

$$\gamma : S^1 \wedge A \rightarrow \tilde{\mathbb{Z}}(S^1) \otimes A =: S^1 \otimes A,$$

defined by  $x \wedge a \mapsto x \otimes a$ .

4) The **Eilenberg-Mac Lane spectrum**  $H(A)$  associated to a simplicial abelian group  $A$  consists of the spaces

$$A, S^1 \otimes A, S^2 \otimes A, \dots$$

with bonding maps

$$S^1 \wedge (S^n \otimes A) \xrightarrow{\gamma} S^1 \otimes (S^n \otimes A) \cong S^{n+1} \otimes A.$$

5) Suppose  $X$  is a spectrum and  $K$  is a pointed simplicial set.

The spectrum  $\mathbf{hom}_*(K, X)$  has

$$\mathbf{hom}_*(K, X)^n = \mathbf{hom}_*(K, X^n),$$

with bonding map

$$S^1 \wedge \mathbf{hom}_*(K, X^n) \rightarrow \mathbf{hom}_*(K, X^{n+1})$$

adjoint to the composite

$$S^1 \wedge \mathbf{hom}_*(K, X^n) \wedge K \xrightarrow{S^1 \wedge ev} S^1 \wedge X^n \xrightarrow{\sigma} X^{n+1}.$$

There is a natural bijection

$$\mathbf{hom}(X \wedge K, Y) \cong \mathbf{hom}(X, \mathbf{hom}_*(K, Y)).$$

Suppose  $X$  is a spectrum and  $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ .

The **shifted spectrum**  $X[n]$  has

$$X[n]^m = \begin{cases} * & m+n < 0 \\ X^{m+n} & m+n \geq 0 \end{cases}$$

**Examples:**  $X[-1]^0 = *$  and  $X[-1]^n = X^{n-1}$  for  $n \geq 1$ .

$X[1]^n = X^{n+1}$  for all  $n \geq 0$ .

**Remarks:** 1) The bonding maps define a natural map

$$\Sigma X \rightarrow X[1].$$

We'll see later that this map is a stable equivalence, and that there is a stable equivalence  $\Sigma X \simeq X \wedge S^1$ .

2) There is a natural bijection

$$\text{hom}(X[n], Y) \cong \text{hom}(X, Y[-n])$$

and a stable equivalence  $X[n][-n] \rightarrow X$ , so that all shift operators are invertible in the stable category.

3) There is a natural bijection

$$\text{hom}(\Sigma^\infty K[-n], Y) \cong \text{hom}(K, Y^n)$$

for  $n \geq 0$ , so that the  $n^{\text{th}}$  level functor  $Y \rightarrow Y^n$  has a left adjoint.

4) The  $n^{\text{th}}$  **layer**  $L_n X$  of a spectrum  $X$  consists of the spaces

$$X^0, \dots, X^n, S^1 \wedge X^n, S^2 \wedge X^n, \dots$$

There are obvious maps  $L_n X \rightarrow L_{n+1} X \rightarrow X$  and a natural isomorphism

$$\varinjlim_n L_n X \cong X.$$

The functor  $X \mapsto L_n X$  is left adjoint to truncation up to level  $n$ .

The system of maps

$$\Sigma^\infty X^0 = L_0 X \rightarrow L_1 X \rightarrow \dots$$

is called the **layer filtration** of  $X$ .

Here's an exercise: show that there are natural pushout diagrams

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \Sigma^\infty(S^1 \wedge X^n)[-n-1] & \longrightarrow & L_n X \\ \sigma_* \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \Sigma^\infty X^{n+1}[-n-1] & \longrightarrow & L_{n+1} X \end{array}$$

### 39 Strict model structure

A map  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  is a **strict (levelwise) weak equivalence** (resp. **strict (levelwise) fibration**) if all maps  $f : X^n \rightarrow Y^n$  are weak equivalences (resp. fibrations) of pointed simplicial sets.

A **cofibration** is a map  $i : A \rightarrow B$  such that

- 1)  $i : A^0 \rightarrow B^0$  is a cofibration of (pointed) simplicial sets, and
- 2) all maps

$$(S^1 \wedge B^n) \cup_{(S^1 \wedge A^n)} A^{n+1} \rightarrow B^{n+1}$$

are cofibrations.

**Exercise:** Show that all cofibrations are levelwise cofibrations.

Given spectra  $X, Y$ , the function complex  $\mathbf{hom}(X, Y)$  is a simplicial set with

$$\mathbf{hom}(X, Y)_n = \mathbf{hom}(X \wedge \Delta_+^n, Y).$$

Recall that  $\Delta_+^n = \Delta^n \sqcup \{*\}$  is the simplex with a disjoint base point attached.

**Proposition 39.1.** *With these definitions, the category  $\mathbf{Spt}$  of spectra satisfies the axioms for a proper closed simplicial model category.*

*This model structure is also cofibrantly generated.*

*Proof.* Suppose given a lifting problem

$$\begin{array}{ccc} A & \xrightarrow{\alpha} & X \\ i \downarrow & \nearrow & \downarrow p \\ B & \xrightarrow{\beta} & Y \end{array}$$

where  $i$  is a cofibration and  $p$  is a strict fibration and strict weak equivalence.

The lifting  $\theta^0$  exists in the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} A^0 & \xrightarrow{\alpha} & X^0 \\ i \downarrow & \nearrow \theta^0 & \downarrow p \\ B^0 & \xrightarrow{\beta} & Y^0 \end{array}$$



and then  $\theta^1$  exists in the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 (S^1 \wedge B^0) \cup_{(S^1 \wedge A^0)} A^1 & \xrightarrow{(\theta_*^0, \alpha)} & X^1 \\
 \text{cof} \downarrow & \searrow \theta^1 & \downarrow p \\
 B^1 & \xrightarrow{\beta} & Y^1
 \end{array}$$

Proceed inductively to show that the lifting problem can be solved.

The lifting problem is solved in a similar way if  $i$  is a trivial cofibration and  $p$  is a strict fibration. We have proved the lifting axiom **CM4**.

Suppose that  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  is a map of spectra, and find a factorization

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 X^0 & \xrightarrow{i^0} & Z^0 \\
 & \searrow f & \downarrow p^0 \\
 & & Y^0
 \end{array}$$

in level 0, where  $i^0$  is a cofibration and  $p^0$  is a fibration.

Form the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 S^1 \wedge X^0 & \xrightarrow{\quad} & X^1 \\
 S^1 \wedge i^0 \downarrow & & \swarrow i_* \\
 S^1 \wedge Z^0 & \longrightarrow & (S^1 \wedge Z^0) \cup X^1 \\
 S^1 \wedge p^0 \downarrow & & \searrow f_* \\
 S^1 \wedge Y^0 & \xrightarrow{\quad} & Y^1 \\
 & & \downarrow f
 \end{array}$$

and find a factorization

$$\begin{array}{ccc} (S^1 \wedge Z^0) \cup X^1 & \xrightarrow{j} & Z^1 \\ & \searrow f_* & \downarrow p^1 \\ & & Y^1 \end{array}$$

where  $j$  is a cofibration and  $p^1$  is a trivial fibration. Write  $i^1 = j \cdot i_*$ .

We have factorized  $f$  as a cofibration followed by a trivial fibration up to level 1. Proceed inductively to show that  $f = p \cdot i$  where  $p$  is a trivial strict fibration and  $i$  is a cofibration.

The other factorization statement has the same proof, giving **CM5**.

The simplicial model structure is inherited from pointed simplicial sets, as is properness (exercise).

The generating sets for the cofibrations and trivial cofibrations, respectively are the maps

$$\Sigma^\infty(\Lambda_k^n)_+[-m] \rightarrow \Sigma^\infty\Delta_+^n[-m]$$

and

$$\Sigma^\infty(\partial\Delta^n)_+[-m] \rightarrow \Sigma^\infty\Delta_+^n[-m]$$

respectively. □

## 40 Stable equivalences

Suppose  $X$  is a pointed simplicial set, and recall that the loop space  $\Omega X$  is defined by

$$\Omega X = \mathbf{hom}_*(S^1, X).$$

The construction only makes homotopy theoretic sense (ie. preserves weak equivalences) if  $X$  is fibrant — in that case there are isomorphisms

$$\pi_{i+1}(X, *) \cong \pi_i(\Omega X, *), \quad i \geq 0,$$

of simplicial homotopy groups ( $*$  is the base point for  $X$ ), by a standard long exact sequence argument (see Section 31).

If  $X$  is not fibrant, then  $\Omega X$  is most properly a derived functor:

$$\Omega X := \Omega X_f$$

where  $j : X \rightarrow X_f$  is a fibrant model for  $X$  in the sense that  $j$  is a weak equivalence and  $X_f$  is fibrant.

This construction can be made functorial, since  $\mathbf{sSet}_*$  has functorial fibrant replacements.

There is a natural bijection

$$\mathbf{hom}(Z \wedge S^1, X) \cong \mathbf{hom}(Z, \Omega X).$$

so that every morphism  $f : Z \wedge S^1 \rightarrow X$  has a uniquely determined adjoint  $f_* : Z \rightarrow \Omega X$ .

We can say that a spectrum  $X$  consists of pointed simplicial sets  $X^n, n \geq 0$ , and **adjoint bonding maps**  $\sigma_* : X^n \rightarrow \Omega X^{n+1}$

Here are two constructions::

- 1) There is a natural (levelwise) fibrant model  $j : Y \rightarrow FY$  in the strict model structure for **Spt**.
- 2) Suppose  $X$  is a spectrum. Set

$$\Omega^\infty X^n = \varinjlim (X^n \xrightarrow{\sigma_*} \Omega X^{n+1} \xrightarrow{\Omega \sigma_*} \Omega^2 X^{n+2} \rightarrow \dots).$$

The comparison diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} X^n & \xrightarrow{\sigma_*} & \Omega X^{n+1} & \xrightarrow{\Omega \sigma_*} & \Omega^2 X^{n+2} & \longrightarrow & \dots \\ \sigma_* \downarrow & & \downarrow \Omega \sigma_* & & \downarrow \Omega^2 \sigma_* & & \\ \Omega X^{n+1} & \xrightarrow{\Omega \sigma_*} & \Omega^2 X^{n+2} & \xrightarrow{\Omega^2 \sigma_*} & \Omega^3 X^{n+3} & \longrightarrow & \dots \end{array}$$

determines a spectrum structure  $\Omega^\infty X$  and a natural map  $\omega : X \rightarrow \Omega^\infty X$ .

The adjoint bonding map

$$\Omega^\infty X^n \xrightarrow{\sigma_*} \Omega(\Omega^\infty X^{n+1})$$

is an isomorphism (exercise).

Write  $QY = \Omega^\infty FY$  and let  $\eta : Y \rightarrow QY$  be the composite

$$Y \xrightarrow{j} FY \xrightarrow{\omega} \Omega^\infty FY = QY.$$

The spectrum  $QY$  is the **stabilization** of  $Y$ .

Say that a map  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  is a **stable equivalence** if the map  $f_* : QX \rightarrow QY$  is a strict equivalence.

**Remarks:**

1) All spaces  $QY^n$  are fibrant (NB: this is a special property of “ordinary” spectra), and the map  $\sigma_* : QY^n \rightarrow \Omega QY^{n+1}$  is an isomorphism.

2) All  $QY^n$  are  $H$ -spaces with groups  $\pi_0 QY^n$  of path components. All induced maps  $f_* : QX^n \rightarrow QY^n$  are  $H$ -maps.

It follows that the maps  $f_* : QX^n \rightarrow QY^n$  are weak equivalences (or that  $f$  is a stable equivalence) if and only if all maps

$$\pi_i(QX^n, *) \rightarrow \pi_i(QY^n, *)$$

*based at the distinguished base point* are isomorphisms.

Define the **stable homotopy groups**  $\pi_k^s Y$ ,  $k \in \mathbb{Z}$  by

$$\pi_k^s Y = \varinjlim_{n+k \geq 0} (\cdots \rightarrow \pi_{n+k} FY^n \rightarrow \pi_{n+k+1} FY^{n+1} \rightarrow \cdots),$$

where the maps of homotopy groups are induced by the maps  $\sigma_* : FY^n \rightarrow \Omega FY^{n+1}$ .

There are isomorphisms

$$\pi_k(QY^n, *) \cong \pi_{k-n}^s Y,$$

so  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  is a stable equivalence if and only if  $f$  induces an isomorphism in all stable homotopy groups.

The strict model structure on the category of spectra **Spt** and the stabilization functor  $Q$  fits into a general framework.

Suppose  $\mathbf{M}$  is a right proper closed model category with a functor  $Q : \mathbf{M} \rightarrow \mathbf{M}$ , and suppose there is a natural map  $\eta_X : X \rightarrow QX$ .

Say that a map  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  of  $\mathbf{M}$  is a  **$Q$ -equivalence** if the induced map  $Qf : QX \rightarrow QY$  is a weak equivalence of  $\mathbf{M}$ .

**$Q$ -cofibrations** are cofibrations of  $\mathbf{M}$ .

A  **$Q$ -fibration** is a map which has the RLP wrt all maps which are cofibrations and  $Q$ -equivalences.

Here are some conditions:

**A4** The functor  $Q$  preserves weak equivalences of  $\mathbf{M}$ .

**A5** The maps  $\eta_{QX}, Q(\eta_X) : QX \rightarrow QQX$  are weak equivalences of  $\mathbf{M}$ .

**A6'**  $Q$ -equivalences are stable under pullback along  $Q$ -fibrations.

**Theorem 40.1** (Bousfield-Friedlander). *Suppose given a right proper closed model category  $\mathbf{M}$  with a functor  $Q : \mathbf{M} \rightarrow \mathbf{M}$  and natural map  $\eta : X \rightarrow QX$  as above. Suppose the  $Q$ -equivalences, cofibrations and  $Q$ -fibrations satisfy the axioms **A4**, **A5** and **A6'**.*

*Then  $\mathbf{M}$ , together with these three classes of maps, has the structure of a right proper closed model category.*

**Proposition 40.2.** *The category  $\mathbf{Spt}$  of spectra and the stabilization functor  $Q$  satisfy the axioms **A4**, **A5** and **A6'**.*

For the proof of Proposition 40.2, the condition **A4** is a consequence of the following:

**Lemma 40.3.** *Suppose  $I$  is a filtered category, and suppose given a natural transformation  $f : X \rightarrow Y$*

of functors  $X, Y : I \rightarrow \mathbf{sSet}$  such that each component map  $f_i : X_i \rightarrow Y_i$  is a weak equivalence.

Then the map  $f_* : \varinjlim_i X_i \rightarrow \varinjlim_i Y_i$  is a weak equivalence.

*Proof.* Exercise. □

To verify condition **A5**, consider the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
 X & \xrightarrow{j} & FX & \xrightarrow{\omega} & \Omega^\infty FX \\
 j \downarrow & & \simeq \downarrow j & & \simeq \downarrow j \\
 FX & \xrightarrow[Fj]{\simeq} & FFX & \xrightarrow{F\omega} & F\Omega^\infty FX \\
 \omega \downarrow & & \omega \downarrow & & \omega \downarrow \\
 \Omega^\infty FX & \xrightarrow[\Omega^\infty Fj]{\simeq} & \Omega^\infty FFX & \xrightarrow[\Omega^\infty F\omega]{} & \Omega^\infty F\Omega^\infty FX
 \end{array}$$

The indicated maps are strict weak equivalences, so it suffices to show that  $\Omega^\infty F\omega$  and

$$\omega : F\Omega^\infty FX \rightarrow \Omega^\infty F\Omega^\infty FX$$

are strict weak equivalences.

Here's another picture:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
 FX & \xrightarrow{\omega} & \Omega^\infty FX & & \\
 \omega \searrow & & \downarrow j \simeq & \omega \searrow & \\
 & \Omega^\infty FX & \xrightarrow[\Omega^\infty \omega]{\simeq} & \Omega^\infty \Omega^\infty FX & \\
 j \downarrow & \Omega^\infty j \simeq & \downarrow j \simeq & \downarrow \simeq \Omega^\infty j & \\
 FFX & \xrightarrow[F\omega]{} & F\Omega^\infty FX & & \\
 \omega \searrow & & \omega \searrow & & \\
 & \Omega^\infty FFX & \xrightarrow[\Omega^\infty F\omega]{} & \Omega^\infty F\Omega^\infty FX &
 \end{array}$$



It's an exercise to show that  $\Omega^\infty \omega$  is an isomorphism: actually

$$\omega = \Omega^\infty \omega : \Omega^\infty FX \rightarrow \Omega^\infty \Omega^\infty FX.$$

But then the required maps are strict equivalences.

To verify **A6'**, use the fact that every strict fibre sequence  $F \rightarrow X \rightarrow Y$  induces a long exact sequence

$$\cdots \rightarrow \pi_k^s F \rightarrow \pi_k^s X \rightarrow \pi_k^s Y \xrightarrow{\partial} \pi_{k-1}^s F \rightarrow \cdots$$

(exercise). “Right properness” follows from an exact sequence comparison.

This completes the proof of Proposition 40.2

The model structure on **Spt** arising from the Bousfield-Friedlander Theorem via Proposition 40.2 and Theorem 40.1 is called the **stable model structure** for the category of spectra.

The homotopy category  $\text{Ho}(\mathbf{Spt})$  is the **stable category**.

This is traditional usage, but also a misnomer, because there are many stable categories.

The proof of Theorem 40.1 is accomplished with a series of lemmas.

Recall that  $\mathbf{M}$  is a right proper closed model category with functor  $Q : \mathbf{M} \rightarrow \mathbf{M}$  and natural transformation  $\eta : X \rightarrow QX$  such that the following conditions hold:

**A4** The functor  $Q$  preserves weak equivalences of  $\mathbf{M}$ .

**A5** The maps  $\eta_{QX}, Q(\eta_X) : QX \rightarrow QQX$  are weak equivalences of  $\mathbf{M}$ .

**A6'**  $Q$ -equivalences are stable under pullback along  $Q$ -fibrations.

**Lemma 40.4.** *A map  $p : X \rightarrow Y$  is a  $Q$ -fibration and a  $Q$ -equivalence if and only if it is a trivial fibration of  $\mathbf{M}$ .*

*Proof.* Every trivial fibration  $p$  has the RLP wrt all cofibrations, and is therefore a  $Q$ -fibration.  $p$  is also a  $Q$ -equivalence, by **A4**.

Suppose that  $p : X \rightarrow Y$  is a  $Q$ -fibration and a  $Q$ -equivalence.

There is a factorization

$$\begin{array}{ccc} X & \xrightarrow{j} & Z \\ & \searrow p & \downarrow \pi \\ & & Y \end{array}$$

where  $j$  is a cofibration and  $\pi$  is a trivial fibration of  $\mathbf{M}$ .

$\pi$  is a  $Q$ -equivalence by **A4**, so  $j$  is a  $Q$ -equivalence.

There is a diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} X & \xrightarrow{1} & X \\ j \downarrow & \nearrow & \downarrow p \\ Z & \xrightarrow{\pi} & Y \end{array}$$

since  $j$  is a cofibration and a  $Q$ -equivalence and  $p$  is a  $Q$ -fibration.

Then  $p$  is a retract of  $\pi$  and is therefore a trivial fibration of  $\mathbf{M}$ . □

**Lemma 40.5.** *Suppose  $p : X \rightarrow Y$  is a fibration of  $\mathbf{M}$  and the maps  $\eta : X \rightarrow QX$ ,  $\eta : Y \rightarrow QY$  are weak equivalences of  $\mathbf{M}$ .*

*Then  $p$  is a  $Q$ -fibration.*

*Proof.* Consider the lifting problem

$$\begin{array}{ccc} A & \xrightarrow{\alpha} & X \\ i \downarrow & \nearrow & \downarrow p \\ B & \xrightarrow{\beta} & Y \end{array}$$

There is a diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} QA & \xrightarrow{Q\alpha} & QX & & \\ Qi \downarrow & j_\alpha \searrow & Z & \nearrow p_\alpha & \downarrow Qp \\ QB & \xrightarrow{\quad} & W & \nearrow p_\beta & \downarrow \pi \\ & j_\beta \searrow & & & QY \end{array}$$

where  $j_\alpha, j_\beta$  are trivial cofibrations of  $\mathbf{M}$  and  $p_\alpha, p_\beta$  are fibrations.

There is an induced diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} A & \longrightarrow & Z \times_{QX} X & \longrightarrow & X \\ i \downarrow & & \pi_* \downarrow & & \downarrow p \\ B & \longrightarrow & W \times_{QY} Y & \longrightarrow & Y \end{array}$$

and the lifting problem is solved if we can show that  $\pi_*$  is a weak equivalence.

But there is finally a diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} QA & \xrightarrow{j_\alpha} & Z & \xleftarrow{pr} & Z \times_{QX} X \\ Qi \downarrow & & \downarrow \pi & & \downarrow \pi_* \\ QB & \xrightarrow{j_\beta} & W & \xleftarrow{pr} & W \times_{QY} Y \end{array}$$

The maps  $Qi$ ,  $j_\alpha$  and  $j_\beta$  are weak equivalences of  $\mathbf{M}$  so that  $\pi$  is a weak equivalence.

The maps  $pr$  are weak equivalences by right properness of  $\mathbf{M}$  and the assumptions on  $p$ .

It follows that  $\pi_*$  is a weak equivalence of  $\mathbf{M}$ .  $\square$

**Lemma 40.6.** *Every map  $f : QX \rightarrow QY$  has a factorization  $f = q \cdot j$ , where  $j$  is a cofibration and  $Q$ -equivalence and  $q$  is a  $Q$ -fibration.*

*Proof.*  $f$  has a factorization  $f = q \cdot j$  where  $j$  is a trivial cofibration and  $q$  is a fibration of  $\mathbf{M}$ .

$j$  is a  $Q$ -equivalence by **A4**, and  $q$  is a  $Q$ -fibration by Lemma 40.5.

In effect, there is a diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} QX & \xrightarrow[\simeq]{j} & Z & \xrightarrow{p} & QY \\ \eta \downarrow \simeq & & \eta \downarrow & & \simeq \downarrow \eta \\ QQX & \xrightarrow[\simeq]{Qj} & QZ & \xrightarrow[Qp]{} & QQY \end{array}$$

so  $\eta : Z \rightarrow QZ$  is a weak equivalence of  $\mathbf{M}$ .  $\square$

**Lemma 40.7.** *Every map  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  has a factorization  $f = q \cdot j$ , where  $j$  is a cofibration and  $Q$ -equivalence and  $q$  is a  $Q$ -fibration.*

*Proof.* The map  $f_* : QX \rightarrow QY$  has a factorization

$$\begin{array}{ccc} QX & \xrightarrow{f_*} & QY \\ & \searrow i & \nearrow p \\ & X & \end{array}$$

where  $p$  is a  $Q$ -fibration and  $i$  is a cofibration and a  $Q$ -equivalence, by Lemma 40.6.

Form the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} X & \xrightarrow{i_*} & Z \times_{QY} Y & \xrightarrow{p_*} & Y \\ \eta \downarrow & & \downarrow \eta_* & & \downarrow \eta \\ QX & \xrightarrow{i} & Z & \xrightarrow{p} & QY \end{array}$$

The maps  $\eta$  are  $Q$ -equivalence by **A5**, so  $\eta_*$  is a  $Q$ -equivalence by **A6'**. It follows that  $i_*$  is a  $Q$ -equivalence.

The map  $i_*$  has a factorization

$$\begin{array}{ccc} X & \xrightarrow{i_*} & Z \times_{QY} Y \\ & \searrow j & \nearrow \pi \\ & W & \end{array}$$

where  $j$  is a cofibration and  $\pi$  is a trivial strict fibration.

Then  $\pi$  is a  $Q$ -equivalence and a  $Q$ -fibration by Lemma 40.4, so  $j$  is a  $Q$ -equivalence, and the composite  $p_* \cdot \pi$  is a  $Q$ -fibration.  $\square$

*Proof of Theorem 40.1.* The non-trivial closed model statements are the lifting axiom **CM4** and the factorization axiom **CM5**.

**CM5** is a consequence of Lemma 40.4 and Lemma 40.7. **CM4** follows from Lemma 40.4.

The right properness of the model structure is the statement **A6'**.  $\square$

Say that the model structure on  $\mathbf{M}$  given by Theorem 40.1 is the *Q-structure*.

**Lemma 40.8.** *Suppose that, in addition to the assumptions of Theorem 40.1, that the model structure  $\mathbf{M}$  is left proper.*

*Then the Q-structure on  $\mathbf{M}$  is left proper.*

*Proof.* Suppose given a pushout diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} A & \xrightarrow{f} & C \\ i \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ B & \xrightarrow{f_*} & B \cup_A C \end{array}$$

where  $f$  is a *Q*-equivalence and  $i$  is a cofibration. We must show that  $f_*$  is a *Q*-equivalence (see Definition 17.4).

Find a factorization

$$\begin{array}{ccc} A & \xrightarrow{j} & D \\ & \searrow f & \downarrow \pi \\ & & C \end{array}$$

where  $j$  is a cofibration and  $\pi$  is a trivial fibration of  $\mathbf{M}$ .

The map  $\pi_* : B \cup_A D \rightarrow B \cup_A C$  is a weak equivalence of  $\mathbf{M}$  by left properness for  $\mathbf{M}$ , so  $\pi_*$  is a  $Q$ -equivalence by **A4**.

$j$  is a  $Q$ -equivalence as well as a cofibration, so that  $j_* : B \rightarrow B \cup_A D$  is a cofibration and a  $Q$ -equivalence.

Then the composite  $f_* = \pi_* \cdot j_*$  is a  $Q$ -equivalence. □

Here's the other major abstract result in this game, again from [2]:

**Theorem 40.9.** *Suppose the model category  $\mathbf{M}$  and the functor  $Q$  satisfy the conditions for Theorem 40.1*

*Then a map  $p : X \rightarrow Y$  of  $\mathbf{M}$  is a stable fibration if and only if the following conditions hold:*

- 1)  $p$  is a fibration of  $\mathbf{M}$ , and



2) the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} X & \xrightarrow{\eta} & QX \\ p \downarrow & & \downarrow Qp \\ Y & \xrightarrow{\eta} & QY \end{array}$$

is homotopy cartesian in  $\mathbf{M}$ .

**Corollary 40.10.** 1) An object  $X$  of  $\mathbf{M}$  is  $Q$ -fibrant if and only if it is fibrant and the map  $\eta : X \rightarrow QX$  is a weak equivalence of  $\mathbf{M}$ .

2) A spectrum  $X$  is stably fibrant if and only if it is strictly fibrant and all adjoint bonding maps  $\sigma_* : X^n \rightarrow \Omega X^{n+1}$  are weak equivalences of pointed simplicial sets.

Fibrant spectra are often called  $\Omega$ -spectra.

**Corollary 40.11.** Suppose given a diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} X & \xrightarrow{\cong} & X' \\ p \downarrow & & \downarrow p' \\ Y & \xrightarrow{\cong} & Y' \end{array}$$

in which  $p, p'$  are fibrations and the horizontal maps are weak equivalences of  $\mathbf{M}$ .

Then  $p$  is a  $Q$ -fibration if and only if  $p'$  is a  $Q$ -fibration.

*Proof of Theorem 40.9.* Suppose  $p : X \rightarrow Y$  is a fibration of  $\mathbf{M}$ , and that the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} X & \xrightarrow{\eta} & QX \\ p \downarrow & & \downarrow Qp \\ Y & \xrightarrow{\eta} & QY \end{array}$$

is homotopy cartesian in  $\mathbf{M}$ .

Then  $Qp$  has a factorization

$$\begin{array}{ccc} QX & \xrightarrow{i} & Z \\ & \searrow Qp & \downarrow q \\ & & QY \end{array}$$

where  $i$  is a trivial cofibration and  $q$  is a fibration. Then  $q$  is a  $Q$ -fibration by Lemma 40.5.

Factorize the weak equivalence  $\theta : X \rightarrow Y \times_{QY} Z$  (the square is homotopy cartesian) as

$$\begin{array}{ccc} X & \xrightarrow{i} & W \\ & \searrow \theta & \downarrow \pi \\ & & Y \times_{QY} Z \end{array}$$

where  $\pi$  is a trivial fibration of  $\mathbf{M}$  and  $i$  is a trivial cofibration.

Then  $q_* \cdot \pi$  is a  $Q$ -fibration (Lemma 40.4), and the

lifting exists in the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} X & \xrightarrow{1} & X \\ i \downarrow & \nearrow & \downarrow p \\ W & \xrightarrow{q_*\pi} & Y \end{array}$$

Thus,  $p$  is a retract of a  $Q$ -fibration, and is therefore a  $Q$ -fibration.

Conversely, suppose that  $p : X \rightarrow Y$  is a  $Q$ -fibration, and factorize  $Qp = q \cdot i$  as above.

The map  $\eta_* : Y \times_{QY} Z \rightarrow Z$  is a  $Q$ -equivalence by **A6'**, so  $\theta$  is a  $Q$ -equivalence.

The picture

$$\begin{array}{ccc} X & \xrightarrow{\theta} & Y \times_{QY} Z \\ p \searrow & & \nearrow q_* \\ & Y & \end{array}$$

is a weak equivalence of fibrant objects in the category  $\mathbf{M}/Y$  of objects fibred over  $Y$ , for the  $Q$ -structure on  $\mathbf{M}$ .

The usual category of fibrant objects trick (see Section 13) implies that  $\theta$  has a factorization

$$\begin{array}{ccc} X & \xrightarrow{i} & V \\ & \searrow \theta & \downarrow \pi \\ & & Y \times_{QY} Z \end{array}$$

in  $\mathbf{Spt}/Y$ , where  $\pi$  is a  $Q$ -fibration and a  $Q$ -equivalence, and  $i$  is a section of a map  $V \rightarrow X$  which is a  $Q$ -fibration and a  $Q$ -equivalence.

Thus,  $\pi$  and  $i$  are weak equivalences of  $\mathbf{M}$  by Lemma 40.4, so that  $\theta$  is a weak equivalence of  $\mathbf{M}$ .  $\square$

Write

$$A \otimes K = A \wedge K_+,$$

for a spectrum  $A$  and a simplicial set  $K$ .

**Lemma 40.12.** *Suppose  $i : A \rightarrow B$  is a stably trivial cofibration of spectra.*

*Then all induced maps*

$$(B \otimes \partial \Delta^n) \cup (A \otimes \Delta^n) \rightarrow B \otimes \Delta^n$$

*are stably trivial cofibrations.*

Quillen's axiom **SM7** for the stable model structure on  $\mathbf{Spt}$  follows easily: if  $j : K \rightarrow L$  is a cofibration of simplicial sets and  $i : A \rightarrow B$  is a cofibration of spectra, then the induced map

$$(B \otimes K) \cup (A \otimes L) \subset B \otimes L$$

is a cofibration which is a stable equivalence if either  $i$  is a stable equivalence (Lemma 40.12) or  $j$  is a weak equivalence of simplicial sets (use the simplicial model axiom for the strict structure).

*Proof of Lemma 40.12.* It suffices to show that

$$i \otimes \partial \Delta^n : A \otimes \partial \Delta^n \rightarrow B \otimes \partial \Delta^n$$

is a stable equivalence.

There is a pushout diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} A \otimes \partial \Delta^{n-1} & \longrightarrow & A \otimes \Lambda_k^n \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ A \otimes \Delta^{n-1} & \longrightarrow & A \otimes \partial \Delta^n \end{array}$$

There is also a corresponding diagram for  $B$  and an obvious comparison.

The simplicial sets  $\Lambda_k^n$  and  $\Delta^{n-1}$  are both weakly equivalent to a point, so it suffices to show that the comparison

$$i \otimes \partial \Delta^{n-1} : A \otimes \partial \Delta^{n-1} \rightarrow B \otimes \partial \Delta^{n-1}$$

is a stable equivalence.

This is the inductive step in an argument that starts with the case

$$i \otimes \partial \Delta^1 : A \otimes \partial \Delta^1 \rightarrow B \otimes \partial \Delta^1$$

and this map is isomorphic to the map

$$i \wedge i : A \wedge A \rightarrow B \wedge B.$$

Finally, a wedge (coproduct) of stably trivial cofibrations is stably trivial.  $\square$

**Note:** Bousfield gives a different proof of the Lemma 40.12 in [1]. The result is also mentioned in Remark X.4.7 (on p.496) of [3], without proof.

## References

- [1] A. K. Bousfield. On the telescopic homotopy theory of spaces. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 353(6):2391–2426 (electronic), 2001.
- [2] A. K. Bousfield and E. M. Friedlander. Homotopy theory of  $\Gamma$ -spaces, spectra, and bisimplicial sets. In *Geometric applications of homotopy theory (Proc. Conf., Evanston, Ill., 1977), II*, volume 658 of *Lecture Notes in Math.*, pages 80–130. Springer, Berlin, 1978.
- [3] P. G. Goerss and J. F. Jardine. *Simplicial Homotopy Theory*, volume 174 of *Progress in Mathematics*. Birkhäuser Verlag, Basel, 1999.