### Lecture 13 (March 30, 2009)

#### 26 Descent theorems

### 1) The Brown-Gersten descent theorem

Suppose that S is a Noetherian scheme of finite dimension. Let  $Zar|_S$  be the Zariski site of S.

**Theorem 26.1.** Suppose that X is a simplicial presheaf on  $Zar|_S$  such that

- 1) the space  $X(\emptyset)$  is contractible,
- 2) all stalks of X are contractible in the sense that the map  $X_x \to *$  is a weak equivalences for each  $x \in S$ , and

3) the diagram

$$\begin{array}{c} X(U \cup V) \longrightarrow X(U) \\ \downarrow \qquad \qquad \downarrow \\ X(V) \longrightarrow X(U \cap V) \end{array}$$

associated to each pair of open subsets U, Vof S is homotopy cartesian.

Then the map  $X(U) \rightarrow *$  is a weak equivalence for each open subset U of S. *Proof.* We show that  $\pi_q X(U)$  is trivial for each  $q \geq 0$  and each choice of base point  $x \in X(U)$  under the assumption that  $X(U) \neq \emptyset$  and  $U \neq \emptyset$ .

Suppose that  $\alpha \in \pi_q X(U)$ . Pick a maximal open subset  $V \subset U$  such that  $\alpha \mapsto 0$  in  $\pi_q X(V)$ . There are such subsets since  $\pi_q X_x = 0$  for all  $x \in U$ , and S is Noetherian.

Say that a closed irreducible subset  $C \subset S$  is *bad* if there is such an  $\alpha, U, V$  such that  $C \cap U \neq \emptyset$  and  $C \subset S - V$ . If some  $V \neq U$  there are bad subsets C: this would be a closure in S of an irreducible component of U - V.

Pick a maximal bad subset C, with associated data  $\alpha \in \pi_q X(U)$ , maximal open  $V \subset U$  such that  $\alpha \mapsto 0$  in  $\pi_q X(V)$ , and such that C intersects Ubut misses V.

Take  $y \in C \cap U$ . There is an open subset  $W \subset U$ such that  $y \in W$  and  $\alpha \mapsto 0$  in  $\pi_q X(W)$ . A long exact sequence argument says that there is an element  $z \in \pi_{q+1} X(V \cap W)$  such that

$$\partial(z) = \alpha|_{V \cup W} \in \pi_q X(V \cup W).$$

Pick a maximal open subset  $V' \subset V \cap W$  such that  $z \mapsto 0$  in  $\pi_{q+1}X(V')$ .

Then C is a component of S - V'. In effect, C is contained in some component D of S - V'. If  $D \cap V = \emptyset$  then  $y \in C \cap U \subset D \cap U$  so that D is bad (for  $\alpha$ ) and C = D by the maximality of C. If  $D \cap V$  is non-empty then  $D \cap U \neq \emptyset$  so that  $D \cap (U \cap V) \neq \emptyset$  since D is irreducible, while  $D \cap V' = \emptyset$  and D is bad (for z), and C = D by maximality of C.

Suppose that  $C, C_1, \ldots, C_k$  is a list of the irreducible components of X - V', and let F be the closed subset of X - V' defined by the union

$$F = C_1 \cup \cdots \cup C_k.$$

Then C - F is a non-trivial open subset of C as is  $W \cap C$ , and it follows that the intersection

$$(W-F)\cap C=(W\cap C)\cap (C-F)=W\cap (C-F)$$

is a non-trivial open subset of C (which is outside V) since C is irreducible. At the same time,

$$X - F = V' \cup (C - F),$$

so that

$$W - F = V' \cup (W \cap (C - F))$$

and  $V \cap (W - F) = V'$ .

It follows that, in the diagram

the element  $z \in \pi_{q+1}X(V \cap W)$  maps to zero in  $\pi_{q+1}X(V \cap (W - F))$ , so that  $\alpha \in \pi_qX(U)$  restricts to 0 in  $\pi_qX(V \cup (W - F))$ . This contradicts the maximality of V, and it follows that there are no bad closed irreducible subsets in X.

We have therefore shown that there is a weak equivalence  $X(U) \to *$  if  $X(U) \neq \emptyset$ . I claim that  $X(S) \neq \emptyset$ , and it follows that all X(U) are not empty.

Suppose that  $X(S) = \emptyset$ . Pick a maximal nonempty open subset  $U \subset S$  such that  $X(U) \neq \emptyset$ . Take  $x \in S - U$  and pick an open subset  $V \subset S$ with  $y \in V$  and  $X(V) \neq \emptyset$ . The open subsets Uand V exist because all stalks of X are non-empty. Then there is a homotopy cartesian diagram



in which X(U), X(V) and  $X(U \cup V)$  are non-

empty contractible spaces. Then a homotopy lifting argument shows that  $X(U \cup V)$  is non-empty. This contradicts the maximality of U if  $U \neq S$ .  $\Box$ 

The following result is the Brown-Gersten descent theorem:

**Theorem 26.2.** Suppose that X is a simplicial presheaf on  $Zar|_S$  such that

- 1) the map  $X(\emptyset) \to *$  is a weak equivalence, and
- 2) the diagram

$$\begin{array}{c} X(U \cup V) \longrightarrow X(U) \\ \downarrow \qquad \qquad \downarrow \\ X(V) \longrightarrow X(U \cap V) \end{array}$$

associated to each pair of open subsets U, Vof S is homotopy cartesian.

Let  $j : X \to Z$  be an injective fibrant model. Then j is a sectionwise equivalence.

*Proof.* It suffices to show that the induced map  $j : X(S) \to Y(S)$  is a weak equivalence. The map  $X(U) \to Y(U)$  is global sections of the restriction of  $j|_U$  to the Zariski site  $Zar|_U$ , for all open subschemes  $U \subset S$ , and the restricted map  $j|_U$  is an injective fibrant model by Lemma 17.3.

Find a factorization



such that *i* is a sectionwise equivalence and *p* is a sectionwise Kan fibration. Then the simplicial presheaf *Y* satisfies conditions 1) and 2) of the statement of the Theorem, and the local weak equivalence  $p: Y \to Z$  is an injective fibrant model for *Y*.

Suppose that  $x \in Z(S)$  is a vertex of Z(S), and form the pullback diagram



in simplicial presheaves. Then the simplicial presheaf  $F_x$  satisfies the conditions of Theorem 26.1, and is therefore sectionwise contractible.

In particular, the map  $F_x(S) \to *$  is a weak equivalence, so that  $F_x(S)$  is non-empty, and the vertex x lifts to Y(S). This is true for all vertices of Z(S), so the induced map  $\pi_0 Y(S) \to \pi_0 Z(S)$  is surjective.

All fibres  $F_{p(y)}$  associated to all vertices  $y \in Y(S)$ are sectionwise contractible. It follows that the map  $\pi_0 Y(S) \to \pi_0 Z(S)$  is injective, and that all homomorphisms

$$\pi_n(Y(S), y) \to \pi_n(Z(S), p(y))$$

are isomorphisms.

## 2) The Nisnevich descent theorem

Following [3], we use the notation  $(Sm|_S)_{Nis}$  to denote the category of smooth S-schemes with the Nisnevich topology.

An elementary distinguished square is a pullback diagram in  $(Sm|_S)_{Nis}$ 

$$\begin{array}{cccc}
\phi^{-1}(U) \longrightarrow V & (26.1) \\
\downarrow & & \downarrow \phi \\
U \longrightarrow T & & \\
\end{array}$$

such that j is an open immersion,  $\phi$  is étale, and such that the induced morphism

$$\phi^{-1}(T-U) \to T-U$$

of closed subschemes (with reduced structure) is an isomorphism.

**Remark 26.3.** An elementary distinguished square is completely specified by a diagram



such that  $\phi$  is étale and *i* is a closed immersion. In effect, if *Z* is reduced, then  $Z \times_T V$  is reduced since  $\phi_*$  is étale [2], and is therefore the reduced closed subscheme of *V* on the closed subset  $\phi^{-1}(Z)$ .

**Example 26.4.** If U and V are open subschemes of a smooth S-scheme T, then the diagram of inclusions



is an elementary distinguished square in  $Sm|_S$ .

**Example 26.5.** Suppose that  $x \in S$  is a closed point of S, and suppose that  $\phi : U \to S$  is an étale morphism such that there is a section



over the residue field k(x) of x. If  $\phi(z) = x$ , then z and x have the same (maximal) dimension [2,

I.3.16], so that z is closed in U. The set-theoretic fibre  $\phi^{-1}(x)$  is therefore a finite set of closed points, of the form

$$\phi^{-1}(x) = \{y, y_1, \dots, y_k\}.$$

Let V be the open subset  $U - \{y_1, \ldots, y_k\}$  of U, and let  $\phi|_V$  be the restiction of  $\phi$  to V. Then there is a diagram

$$\begin{array}{c} y & V \\ \downarrow \phi |_{V} \\ \operatorname{Sp}(k(x)) \xrightarrow{y} S \end{array}$$

Then  $\phi|_V$  induces an isomorphism

 $\operatorname{Sp}(k(y)) \cong \operatorname{Sp}(k(x)),$ 

and  $\operatorname{Sp}(k(y))$  is the reduced closed fibre of  $\phi|_V$  over the closed subscheme  $\operatorname{Sp}(k(x))$  of S. Let U be the open subscheme  $S - \{x\}$  of S, with inclusion  $j: U \subset S$ . Then the pullback diagram

is an elementary distinguished square.

Every elementary distinguished square defines a Nisnevich cover  $\{j : U \subset T, \phi : V \to T\}$  of

X, because every map  $\text{Sp}(k) \to X$  with k a field factors through one of the two maps.

Following [3], say that a simplicial presheaf X has the BG-property if

- 1) the space  $X(\emptyset)$  is contractible, and
- 2) X takes elementary distinguished squares (26.1) to homotopy cartesian diagrams

$$\begin{array}{ccc} X(T) & \stackrel{j^*}{\longrightarrow} X(V) & (26.2) \\ & & \downarrow \\ X(U) & \longrightarrow X(\phi^{-1}(U)) \end{array}$$

If X has the BG-property and U, V are open subschemes of a smooth S-scheme T, then the diagram

$$\begin{array}{c} X(U \cup V) \longrightarrow X(V) \\ \downarrow \qquad \qquad \downarrow \\ X(U) \longrightarrow X(U \cap V) \end{array}$$

is homotopy cartesian, so that the restriction of X to the Zariski site  $Zar|_T$  satisfies the conditions of Theorem 26.2. It follows in particular that the canonical map

$$X(U \sqcup V) \to X(U) \times X(V)$$

is a weak equivalence for all smooth S-schemes U, V, which means precisely that the simplicial presheaf X is *additive* — see [1].

**Lemma 26.6.** Suppose that Z is an injective fibrant simplicial presheaf on the smooth Nisnevich site  $(Sm|_S)_{Nis}$ . Then Z has the BGproperty.

*Proof.* Every open immersion  $j : U \to T$  is a cofibration of simplicial presheaves, and all induced inclusions

 $(U \times \Delta^n) \cup (T \times \Lambda^n_k) \subset T \times \Delta^n$ 

are trivial cofibrations. It follows that the map  $j^*: Z(T) \to Z(U)$  is a Kan fibration.

The square (26.1) is a pushout in the category of sheaves (and simplicial sheaves) for the Nisnevich topology on the smooth site  $Sm|_S$ . Thus, if Z' is an injective fibrant simplicial sheaf, then the diagram of simplicial set maps

is a pullback in which both vertical maps are Kan fibrations, and is therefore homotopy cartesian.

If Z is an injective fibrant simplicial presheaf, there is a local weak equivalence  $\eta : Z \to Z'$  such that Z' is an injective fibrant simplicial sheaf. The map  $\eta$  is a sectionwise weak equivalence, and the property of taking elementary distinguished squares to homotopy cartesian diagrams is an invariant of sectionwise equivalence.

The map  $\eta$  induces a weak equivalence

$$Z(\emptyset) \to Z'(\emptyset) \cong *$$

of simplicial sets.

It makes perfect sense to talk about simplicial presheaves X on the small Nisnevich site  $(et|_S)_{Nis}$ which have the BG-property: one restricts the discussion to S-schemes  $T \to S$  which are étale over S. Then a simplicial presheaf Y on the smooth site  $(Sm|_S)_{Nis}$  has the BG-property if and only if the restrictions to the small sites  $(et|_T)_{Nis}$  have the BG-property, for all smooth S-schemes  $T \to S$ .

Now here is the analogue of Theorem 26.1 for the Nisnevich topology:

**Theorem 26.7.** Suppose that X is a simplicial presheaf on the small Nisnevich site  $(et|_S)_{Nis}$  such that

- 1) X has the BG-property, and
- 2) the map  $X \to *$  is a local weak equivalence for the Nisnevich topology.

Then X is sectionwise contractible in the sense that the map  $X(U) \rightarrow *$  is a weak equivalence of simplicial sets for each étale S-scheme  $U \rightarrow S$ .

*Proof.* It suffices to show that the global sections map  $X(S) \to *$  is a weak equivalence. The restriction of X to the site  $(et|_T)$  for each étale S-scheme  $T \to S$  also satisfies conditions 1) and 2), and the map  $Z(T) \to *$  would be a weak equivalence for each T.

Write  $\mathcal{O}_x$  for the local ring  $\mathcal{O}_{x,S}$  of  $x \in S$ , and let  $x : \operatorname{Sp}(\mathcal{O}_x) \to S$  be the canonical map.

Suppose that  $\phi: T \to S$  is an S-scheme, and write

$$T_x = \operatorname{Sp}(\mathcal{O}_x) \times_S T.$$

Let  $x^p$  be the left adjoint of the direct image functor

$$x_* : \operatorname{Pre}(et|_{\operatorname{Sp}(\mathcal{O}_x)})_{Nis} \to \operatorname{Pre}(et|_S)_{Nis},$$

where

$$x_*(Y)(T) = Y(T_x).$$

The global sections simplicial set  $x^p X(\mathcal{O}_x)$  is the Zariski stalk of X at the point x. The functor  $x^p$ preserves local weak equivalences for the Nisnevich topology, since it is defined by a site morphism.

It is a consequence of Lemma 26.8 below that  $x^p X$ satisfies the BG-property on  $Sm|_{Sp(\mathcal{O}_x)}$ .

Suppose that  $\mathcal{O}_x$  has dimension 0, so that  $\mathcal{O}_x$  is an Artinian local ring. It well known that the functor

 $U \mapsto U \times_{\operatorname{Sp}(\mathcal{O}_x)} \operatorname{Sp}(k(x))$ 

defines an equivalence of categories

$$et|_{\operatorname{Sp}(\mathcal{O}_x)} \to et|_{\operatorname{Sp}(k)}.$$

Every diagram

$$\operatorname{Sp}(k(x)) \longrightarrow \operatorname{Sp}(\mathcal{O}_x)$$

with  $\phi$  étale therefore determines a section  $\sigma$ :  $\operatorname{Sp}(\mathcal{O}_x) \to U$  of the map  $\phi$ . It follows that the global sections functor takes sheaf epimorphisms on the Nisnevich site  $(Sm|_{\operatorname{Sp}(\mathcal{O}_x)})_{Nis}$  to surjections. In effect, if  $p: F \to F'$  is a sheaf epi and  $\alpha \in$   $F'(\mathcal{O}_x)$  there is an étale map  $\phi: U \to \operatorname{Sp}(\mathcal{O}_x)$  having a section  $\sigma$  such that  $\phi^*(\alpha)$  lifts to F(U), and then  $\alpha = \sigma^* \phi^*(\alpha)$  lifts to  $F(\mathcal{O}_x)$ . It follows that the global sections functor  $X \mapsto X(\mathcal{O}_x)$  takes local weak equivalences to weak equivalences of simplicial sets.

Thus, if  $x \in S$  has dimension 0, and the simplicial presheaf X satisfies the conditions of the Theorem, then  $X(\mathcal{O}_x)$  is contractible. This is true for all schemes S which are Noetherian and of finite dimension.

We show by induction on the dimension of  $x \in S$ that  $X(\mathcal{O}_x)$  is contractible. Take an element  $x \in S$ and assume that  $X(\mathcal{O}_y)$  is contractible for all points y (in "all" schemes S) of smaller dimension.

Write x for the closed point of  $\operatorname{Sp}(\mathcal{O}_x)$ , and suppose given an element  $\alpha \in \pi_k x^p X(\mathcal{O}_x)$ . Then  $\alpha$  is 0 locally for the Nisnevich topology, so that, following the prescription of Example 26.5, there is an étale morphism  $\phi: V \to \operatorname{Sp}(\mathcal{O}_x)$  with a diagram



such that  $\phi^*(\alpha) = 0$  in  $\pi_k x^p X(V)$ . Write U =Sp $(\mathcal{O}_x) - \{x\}$ . Then all points of U and all points of  $\phi^{-1}(U)$  have dimension smaller than that of x, and  $x^p X$  satisfies the assumption of the Theorem. It follows from Theorem 26.1 that the spaces  $x^p X(U)$  and  $x^p X(\phi^{-1}(U))$  are contractible. Then  $x^p X$  satisfies the BG-property, and it follows that the map

$$\phi^*: x^p X(\mathcal{O}_x) \to x^p X(V)$$

is a weak equivalence. But then  $\alpha = 0$  in  $\pi_k x^p X(\mathcal{O}_x)$ .

All homotopy groups and the set of path components of  $x^p X(\mathcal{O}_x)$  are therefore trivial if the space  $x^p X(\mathcal{O}_x)$  is non-empty.

For this, we can find a diagram

$$\operatorname{Sp}(k(x)) \xrightarrow{V}_{x} S$$

with  $\phi$  étale and such that  $X(V) \neq \emptyset$ , since all Nisnevich stalks of X are non-empty. Pull back the map  $\phi$  over  $\operatorname{Sp}(\mathcal{O}_x)$  to create a picture

with  $x^p X(V_x) \neq \emptyset$ . Now cut out all closed points of  $V_x$  in the fibre over x except for y to construct a picture



just as before, but with  $x^p X(V') \neq \emptyset$ . The induced map

$$\phi'^*: x^p X(\mathcal{O}_x) \to x^p X(V')$$

is a weak equivalence once again, so that  $x^p X(\mathcal{O}_x)$  is non-empty.

**Lemma 26.8.** Suppose that the simplicial presheaf X on  $(Sm|_S)_{Nis}$  has the BG-property, and let  $\mathcal{O}_x$  be the local ring of  $x \in S$  with canonical map  $x : \operatorname{Sp}(\mathcal{O}_x) \to S$ . Then the inverse image  $x^p X$  on  $(Sm|_{\operatorname{Sp}(\mathcal{O}_x)})_{Nis}$  has the BG-property.

Proof. Suppose that  $f : T \to \operatorname{Sp}(\mathcal{O}_x)$  is a  $\mathcal{O}_x$ scheme which is locally of finite type. Then there is an open affine neighbourhood U of x in S and a U-scheme  $f' : T' \to U$  which is locally of finite type, with an isomorphism of  $\mathcal{O}_x$ -schemes

$$T \cong \operatorname{Sp}(\mathcal{O}_x) \times_U T'$$

If f is an open immersion, respectively closed immersion, or étale, then the "thickening" f' can be chosen to have the same property. In particular, if  $\phi: V \to \operatorname{Sp}(\mathcal{O}_x)$  is étale and has étale thickening  $\phi': V' \to U$  over an open neighbourhood U, then there is an isomorphism

$$x^{p}X(V) = \varinjlim_{x \in W \subset U} X(W \times_{U} V'),$$

where W varies over the open neighbourhoods of x which are contained in U.

It follows that if  $j : Z \to V$  is a closed immersion in an étale  $\mathcal{O}_x$ -scheme  $\phi: V \to \operatorname{Sp}(\mathcal{O}_x)$ , and  $\psi$  :  $\tilde{V} \to V$  is an étale morphism with pullback diagram



then there is a thickened diagram



over some open neighbourhood U of x. The corresponding elementary distinguished square

therefore has a thickening

over U, and the diagram

is a filtered colimit of homotopy cartesian squares

The diagram (26.3) is therefore homotopy cartesian.  $\hfill\square$ 

Here is the Morel-Voevodsky statement of the Nisnevich descent theorem: **Theorem 26.9.** Suppose that  $f: X \to Y$  is a local weak equivalence of simplicial presheaves on  $(Sm|_S)_{Nis}$ , and suppose that both X and Y satisfy the BG-property. Then all maps  $X(T) \to Y(T)$  in sections are weak equivalences of simplicial sets.

Proof. Suppose that  $x \in Y(S)$  is a global section, and let  $F_x$  be the sectionwise homotopy fibre of the map f. Then the restriction of  $F_x$  to the small site  $(et|_S)_{Nis}$  satisfies the hypotheses of Theorem 26.7, and so the map  $F_x(T) \to *$  is a weak equivalence for all étale S-schemes T. It follows that the map

$$f:X(S)\to Y(S)$$

in global sections is a weak equivalence.

All restrictions

$$j|_T: X|_T \to Y|_T$$

to  $(Sm|_T)_{Nis}$  for smooth S-schemes T satisfy the same assumptions, so that all maps  $X(T) \to Y(T)$  are weak equivalences.

The following result is the analogue, for the Nisnevich topology, of Theorem 26.2. The statement is equivalent to Theorem 26.9. **Theorem 26.10.** Suppose that X is a simplicial presheaf on  $(Sm|_S)_{Nis}$  which satisfies the BG-property, and let  $j: X \to Z$  be an injective fibrant model for the Nisnevich topology. Then all maps  $X(T) \to Z(T)$  in sections are weak equivalences of simplicial sets.

## 3) Motivic descent

In all that follows, given simplicial presheaves X, Y, the *internal function complex*  $\mathbf{Hom}(X, Y)$  is the simplicial presheaf with

$$\mathbf{Hom}(X,Y)(U) = \mathbf{hom}(X|_U,Y|_U)$$

for U in the underlying site C. The natural isomorphism

```
hom(X \times A, Y) \cong hom(A, Hom(X, Y))
```

is the exponential law for simplicial presheaves A, Xand Y. Given an injective fibration  $p : X \to Y$ and a cofibration  $i : A \to B$ , then an adjointness argument implies that the induced map

 $\mathbf{Hom}(B,X) \xrightarrow{(i^*,p_*)} \mathbf{Hom}(A,X) \times_{\mathbf{Hom}(A,Y)} \mathbf{Hom}(B,Y)$ 

is an injective fibration which is trivial if either i or p is trivial.

Recall from the examples in Section 22 (Lecture 10) that the motivic model structure on the simplicial presheaf category

 $s \operatorname{Pre}(Sm|_S)_{Nis}$ 

can be constructed by specializing Theorem 22.2 to the case where S is the generating set of trivial cofibrations for the injective model structure on

 $s \operatorname{Pre}(Sm|_S)_{Nis}$ 

and the interval I is the affine line  $\mathbb{A}^1$ .

In particular, injective (equivalently fibrant) objects for the theory are defined by having the right lifting property with respect to the maps

$$(C \times \square^n) \cup (D \times \square^n_{(i,\epsilon)}) \subset D \times \square^n$$
 (26.4)

where  $C \to D$  is a member of the set of generating cofibrations for  $s \operatorname{Pre}(\mathcal{C})$ , and the maps

 $(A \times \square^n) \cup (B \times \partial \square^n) \subset B \times \square^n$  (26.5)

with  $A \to B$  in the generating set S of trivial cofibrations.

Recall the notation:  $\Box^n = I^{\times n}$ , (which in the present case is the affine plane  $\mathbb{A}^n$ ), and there are face inclusions

$$d^{i,\epsilon}: \Box^{n-1} \to \Box^n, \ 1 \le i \le n, \ \epsilon = 0, 1,$$

with  $d^{i,\epsilon}(x_1, \dots, x_{n-1}) = (x_1, \dots, x_{i-1}, \epsilon, x_i, \dots, x_{n-1}).$ 

Then there are subobjects  $\partial \Box^n$  and  $\Box^n_{i,\epsilon}$  of  $\Box^n$  which are defined, respectively, by

$$\partial \Box^n = \cup_{i,\epsilon} d^{i,\epsilon}(\Box^{n-1}),$$

and

$$\square_{i,\epsilon}^n = \bigcup_{(j,\gamma) \neq (i,\epsilon)} d^{j,\gamma}(\square^{n-1}).$$

Observe that

$$\Box^m \times \Box^n = \Box^{m+n},$$

and that there are induced relations

$$(\partial \Box^m \times \Box^n) \cup (\Box^m \times \partial \Box^n) = \partial \Box^{m+n}$$
$$(\Box^m_{i,\epsilon} \times \Box^n) \cup (\Box^m \times \partial \Box^n) = \Box^{m+n}_{i,\epsilon} \qquad (26.6)$$
$$(\partial \Box^m \times \Box^n) \cup (\Box^m \times \Box^n_{j,\epsilon}) = \Box^{m+n}_{m+j,\epsilon}$$

**Lemma 26.11.** A simplicial presheaf X is injective for the motivic model structure if and only if X is an injective fibrant simplicial presheaf (for the Nisnevich topology) and the injective fibration

# $0^* : \mathbf{Hom}(\mathbb{A}^1, X) \to \mathbf{Hom}(*, X)$

is trivial.

*Proof.* If X is injective, then X has the right lifting property with respect to all generating trivial cofibrations ((26.5), n = 0), and is therefore injective fibrant.

The object X also has the right lifting property with respect to the maps

$$(C \times \mathbb{A}^1) \cup (D \times *) \to D \times \mathbb{A}^1$$

defined by the set of generating cofibrations  $C \rightarrow D$  ((26.4), n = 1). It follows that the map

 $0^*: \operatorname{Hom}(\mathbb{A}^1, X) \to \operatorname{Hom}(*, X)$ 

has the right lifting property with respect to all  $C \rightarrow D$ , and is therefore a trivial injective fibration.

For the converse, the map  $0^*$  has the right lifting property with respect to all cofibrations

 $(C\times \square^k) \cup (D\times \partial \square^k) \subset D\times \square^k,$ 

and so the relations (26.6) can be used to show that X has the right lifting property with respect to all inclusions (26.4). The simplicial presheaf X also has the right lifting property with respect to all trivial cofibrations

$$(A \times \square^n) \cup (B \times \partial \square^n) \subset B \times \square^n$$

which are induced by trivial cofibrations  $A \to B$ . It follows that X is an injective object.  $\Box$ 

**Remark 26.12.** Suppose that S consists of all generating trivial cofibrations  $A \to B$  for the injective structure plus the map  $0 : * \to \mathbb{A}^1$  and the interval I is  $\Delta^1$ .

If Z is injective (ie. fibrant) for this structure, then Z is injective fibrant, and  $* \to \mathbb{A}^1$  is a weak equivalence, so that all maps

$$(C\times \mathbb{A}^1) \cup (D\times *) \subset D\times \mathbb{A}^1$$

induced by cofibrations  $C \to D$  are weak equivalences. It follows that the map

$$0^*: \mathbf{Hom}(\mathbb{A}^1, Z) \to \mathbf{Hom}(*, Z)$$

is a trivial injective fibration.

Conversely, if Z is injective fibrant and  $0^*$  is trivial, then Z has the right lifting property with respect to all (local) trivial cofibrations (26.5), and the map  $0^*$  has the right lifting property with respect to all cofibrations

$$(C \times \Box^k) \cup (D \times \partial \Box^k) \subset D \times \Box^k.$$

It follows that Z has the right lifting property with respect to the cofibrations (26.5) (use the relations (26.6)).

Suppose that the simplicial presheaf X is injective for the Nisnevich topology. The injective fibration

$$0^*: \operatorname{Hom}(\mathbb{A}^1, X) \to \operatorname{Hom}(*, X)$$

is given in sections corresponding to smooth S-schemes  $T \to S$  by the map

$$X(\mathbb{A}^1 \times T) \to X(T)$$

associated to the 0-sections map  $T \to \mathbb{A}^1 \times T$ . The injective fibration  $0^*$  is a local weak equivalence if and only if it is a sectionwise weak equivalence. The latter is equivalent to the assertion that all projections  $\mathbb{A}^1 \times T \to T$  induce weak equivalences

$$X(T) \to X(\mathbb{A}^1 \times T). \tag{26.7}$$

**Remark 26.13.** In general, if the map (26.7) is a weak equivalence for all smooth *S*-schemes *T*, we say that *X* has or satisfies the *homotopy property*. The term comes from algebraic *K*-theory: it is a central result of the subject (and a theorem of Quillen [4]) that the algebraic *K*-theory functor satisfies the homotopy property for all regular Noetherian schemes *T*. Explicitly, this means that the projection  $\mathbb{A}^1 \times T \to T$  induces a weak equivalence

$$K(T) \xrightarrow{\simeq} K(\mathbb{A}^1 \times T)$$

of spaces or spectra for all such T.

The homotopy property is also a central concept for other geometric cohomology theories: the assertion that étale cohomology with torsion coefficients satisfies the homotopy property is a consequence of the smooth base change theorem [2].

The following "motivic descent theorem" is a corollary of the Nisnevich descent theorem (Theorem 26.10):

**Theorem 26.14.** Suppose that X is a simplicial presheaf on  $(Sm|_S)_{Nis}$  such that

- 1) X satisfies the BG-property, and
- 2) every projection  $\mathbb{A}^1 \times T \to T$  induces a weak equivalence

$$X(T) \to X(\mathbb{A}^1 \times T).$$

Let  $j : X \to Z$  be a motivic fibrant model. Then j is a sectionwise weak equivalence. Conversely, if a motivic fibrant model  $j : X \to Z$  is a sectionwise weak equivalence, then X satisfies conditions 1) and 2).

*Proof.* Suppose that X satisfies conditions 1) and 2), and let  $j : X \to Z$  be an injective fibrant model

for the Nisnevich topology. Then j is a sectionwise equivalence by Theorem 26.10. All 0-section maps  $T \to \mathbb{A}^1 \times T$  (these are sections of projections) induce weak equivalences

$$Z(\mathbb{A}^1 \times T) \to Z(T).$$

It follows that the injective fibration

$$0^* : \mathbf{Hom}(\mathbb{A}^1, Z) \to \mathbf{Hom}(*, Z)$$

is trivial, so that Z is motivic fibrant.

The converse is a consequence of Lemma 26.11.  $\Box$ 

#### References

- J. F. Jardine. Generalized Étale Cohomology Theories, volume 146 of Progress in Mathematics. Birkhäuser Verlag, Basel, 1997.
- [2] James S. Milne. Étale Cohomology, volume 33 of Princeton Mathematical Series. Princeton University Press, Princeton, N.J., 1980.
- [3] Fabien Morel and Vladimir Voevodsky. A<sup>1</sup>-homotopy theory of schemes. Inst. Hautes Études Sci. Publ. Math., 90:45–143 (2001), 1999.
- [4] Daniel Quillen. Higher algebraic K-theory. I. In Algebraic K-theory, I: Higher K-theories (Proc. Conf., Battelle Memorial Inst., Seattle, Wash., 1972), pages 85–147. Lecture Notes in Math., Vol. 341. Springer, Berlin, 1973.