

# The Barratt-Priddy-Quillen Theorem

J.F. Jardine

September 15, 2009

## Introduction

The purpose of this note is to present a modern proof of the well known Barratt-Priddy-Quillen Theorem, which theorem asserts that the space  $QS^0 = \Omega^\infty \Sigma^\infty S^0$  is weakly equivalent to the space

$$\bigsqcup_{\mathbb{Z}} (B\Sigma_\infty)^+.$$

Here,  $(B\Sigma_\infty)^+$  is the effect of applying Quillen's plus construction to the classifying space of the infinite symmetric group  $\Sigma_\infty$  and  $QS^0 = \Omega^\infty \Sigma^\infty S^0$  is the space at level 0 of the stably fibrant model of the sphere spectrum.

The proof is combinatorial and natural. It is based on the construction of an endo-functor  $\Gamma^*$  on the category of pointed simplicial sets. This functor  $\Gamma^*$  comes equipped with a natural transformation  $X \rightarrow \Gamma^*(X)$  which induces a stable equivalence for the standard  $\Gamma$ -space model for a suspension spectrum. Applying this functor to the  $\Gamma$ -space corresponding to the sphere spectrum gives a special  $\Gamma$ -space whose corresponding spectrum has space at level one which is weakly equivalent to the simplicial monoid

$$\bigsqcup_{n \geq 0} B\Sigma_n$$

Then a standard group completion argument implies the Barratt-Priddy Theorem.

The functor  $\Gamma^*$  itself is constructed in this paper from a rather simple homotopy colimit which is easily manipulated with standard categorical techniques. As such, this construction is the real point of departure from the papers of Barratt and Priddy [1],[2]: there is no  $\Gamma$ -space theory in those papers, but they did use some very strongly related ideas.

The Barratt-Priddy-Quillen Theorem appears as Theorem 11 at the end of this paper.

## 1 Proof of the theorem

Write **Mon** for the category of monomorphisms  $\theta : \underline{m} \rightarrow \underline{n}$  of finite sets. This category includes the empty set  $\emptyset$ , which is initial.

Suppose that  $X$  is a pointed simplicial set. Then  $X$  defines a functor

$$P_X : \mathbf{Mon} \rightarrow s\mathbf{Set}$$

with  $\underline{m} \mapsto X^{\underline{m}}$ , and which takes a monomorphism  $\theta : \underline{m} \rightarrow \underline{n}$  to the function  $\theta_* : X^{\underline{m}} \rightarrow X^{\underline{n}}$  defined by extending functions  $x : \underline{m} \rightarrow X$  to functions  $\theta^*(X) : \underline{n} \rightarrow X$  by sending elements of  $\underline{n} - \underline{m}$  to the base point  $* \in X$ .

Write

$$\Gamma^+(X) = \mathop{\mathrm{holim}}\limits_{\rightarrow} P_X,$$

meaning the unpointed homotopy colimit, and let  $\Gamma^*(X)$  be the pointed homotopy colimit. Then it's a standard observation that there is a cofibre sequence

$$B\mathbf{Mon} \rightarrow \Gamma^+(X) \rightarrow \Gamma^*(X).$$

The space  $B\mathbf{Mon}$  is contractible, so that the natural map

$$\Gamma^+(X) \rightarrow \Gamma^*(X)$$

is a weak equivalence.

The space  $\Gamma^*(X)$  is supposed to be a model for Barratt's space  $\Gamma^+(X)$  [1], and here's a reality check:

**Lemma 1.** *There is a weak equivalence*

$$\bigsqcup_{n \geq 0} B\Sigma_n \simeq \Gamma^+(S^0).$$

*Proof.* Write  $S^0 = \{0, 1\}$ , pointed by 0. Then  $\Gamma^+(S^0)$  is the nerve of the translation  $EP_{S^0}$  category whose objects are all pairs  $(\underline{n}, x)$  with  $x \in \{0, 1\}^{\times n}$  and with morphisms

$$\theta : (\underline{m}, y) \rightarrow (\underline{n}, x)$$

given by monomorphisms  $\theta$  such that  $\theta_*(y) = x$ .

Given  $(\underline{m}, y)$ , write  $\underline{k}_y$  for the subset of  $\underline{m}$  on which the function  $y$  is non-zero. Then there is a unique ordered monomorphism  $m_y : \underline{k}_y \rightarrow \underline{m}$  and a corresponding morphism  $m_y : (\underline{k}_y, 1) \rightarrow (\underline{m}, y)$ , where 1 is the function taking all elements to  $1 \in S^0$ . One can show that two objects  $(\underline{m}, y)$  and  $(\underline{n}, x)$  are in the same path component of  $EP_{S^0}$  if and only if  $\underline{k}_x = \underline{k}_y$ , meaning that  $x$  and  $y$  have the same number of non-zero entries.

Given  $\theta : (\underline{m}, y) \rightarrow (\underline{n}, x)$  and  $\underline{k}_y \neq \emptyset$ , there is a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} (1, \underline{k}) & \xrightarrow{m_y} & (\underline{m}, y) \\ \sigma_\theta \downarrow & & \downarrow \theta \\ (1, \underline{k}) & \xrightarrow{m_x} & (\underline{n}, x) \end{array}$$

where  $\underline{k} = \underline{k}_y = \underline{k}_x$  and  $\sigma_\theta$  is a uniquely determined element of the symmetric group  $\Sigma_{\underline{k}}$ . It follows that the component of  $(\underline{m}, y)$  in  $EP_{S^0}$  is homotopy equivalent to  $\Sigma_{\underline{k}}$ .

The component of  $(\emptyset, 0)$  in  $EP_{S_0}$  is a copy of  $\mathbf{Mon}$ , which is contractible. It is therefore equivalent to  $B\Sigma_0 = *$ .  $\square$

Write  $\mathbf{Mon}_k$  for the full subcategory of  $\mathbf{Mon}$  consisting of the sets  $\underline{n}$  of cardinality less than or equal to  $k$ , and write  $P_X^{(k)}$  for the left Kan extension to  $\mathbf{Mon}$  of the restricted functor

$$\mathbf{Mon}_k \subset \mathbf{Mon} \xrightarrow{P_X} \mathbf{sSet}.$$

Let  $\Gamma^+(X)^{(k)} = \underline{\text{holim}} P_X^{(k)}$ .

**Example 2.** The space  $P_X^{(1)}(\underline{n}) = \bigvee_n X$  is the  $n$ -fold wedge of copies of  $X$ .  $\mathbf{Mon}_1$  is the category  $\emptyset \rightarrow \underline{1}$ , and the restriction of  $P_X$  to  $\mathbf{Mon}_1$  is the diagram  $* \rightarrow X$ , which is projective cofibrant. It follows that the Kan extension  $P_X^{(1)}$  is also a projective cofibrant diagram, so that the map

$$\underline{\text{holim}} P_X^{(1)} \rightarrow \underline{\text{lim}} P_X^{(1)}$$

is a weak equivalence. The fold maps  $\bigvee_n X \rightarrow X$  define an isomorphism  $\underline{\text{lim}} P_X^{(1)} \cong X$ .

**Example 3.** More generally, the space  $P_X^{(k)}(\underline{n})$  is isomorphic to the subset  $A_X^{(k)}(\underline{n})$  of  $X^{\times n}$  which consists of those  $n$ -tuples  $(x_1, \dots, x_n) \in X^n$  such that  $x_i \neq *$  in at most  $k$  places.

In effect, the map

$$P_X^{(k)}(\underline{n}) = \underline{\text{lim}}_{\underline{m} \rightarrow \underline{n}, m \leq k} X^m \rightarrow X^n$$

factors through the inclusion  $A_X^{(k)}(\underline{n}) \subset X^{\times n}$ . Also, for subsets  $A, B$  of  $\underline{n}$  the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} X^{A \cap B} & \longrightarrow & X^B \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ X^A & \longrightarrow & X^n \end{array}$$

is a pullback, where the functions are defined by extension by base point. It follows that the function

$$P_X^{(k)}(\underline{n}) = \underline{\text{lim}}_{\underline{m} \rightarrow \underline{n}, m \leq k} X^m \rightarrow A_X^{(k)}(\underline{n})$$

is injective.

**Theorem 4.** 1) *There is a pointed weak equivalence*

$$E\Sigma_k \wedge_{\Sigma_k} X^{\wedge k} \xrightarrow{\cong} \Gamma^+(X)^{(k)} / \Gamma^+(X)^{(k-1)}.$$

2) The canonical maps  $E\Sigma_k \wedge_{\Sigma_k} X^{\wedge k} \rightarrow \Gamma^* X$  induce a weak equivalence

$$\bigvee_{k \geq 1} E\Sigma_k \wedge_{\Sigma_k} X^{\wedge k} \xrightarrow{\simeq} \Gamma^* X.$$

Here,  $E\Sigma_k \wedge_{\Sigma_k} X^{\wedge k}$  is the pointed homotopy colimit for the  $\Sigma_k$  action on the  $k$ -fold smash  $X^{\wedge k}$  which interchanges smash factors.

*Proof.* **NB:** Part 2) needs proof, but see [5].

For  $k \leq n$ , there is a surjective map

$$\bigsqcup_{A \subset \underline{n}, |A|=k} X^{\times k} \rightarrow P_X^{(k)}(\underline{n}),$$

where the induced map off the direct summand corresponding to the  $k$ -element subset  $A \subset \underline{n}$  is the map  $\theta_{A^*} : X^{\times k} \rightarrow X^{\times n}$  which is induced by the unique ordered function  $\underline{k} \rightarrow \underline{n}$  which picks out the elements of  $A$ . If the  $n$ -tuple  $x$  is in the image of  $\theta_{A^*}$  and  $\theta_{B^*}$  in the sense that  $x = \theta_{A^*}(y) = \theta_{B^*}(z)$  for distinct  $k$ -element subsets  $A$  and  $B$ , then  $x \in P_X^{(k-1)}$ , as are the elements  $y, z$ . It follows that there is an induced pointed isomorphism

$$\bigvee_{A \subset \underline{n}, |A|=k} X^{\wedge k} \xrightarrow{\cong} P_X^{(k)} / P_X^{(k-1)}(\underline{n})$$

for  $n \geq k$ , while

$$P_X^{(k)} / P_X^{(k-1)}(\underline{n}) = *$$

for  $n \leq k - 1$ .

The space  $\Gamma^+(X)^{(k)} / \Gamma^+(X)^{(k-1)}$  is the pointed homotopy colimit of the functor

$$\underline{n} \mapsto P_X^{(k)} / P_X^{(k-1)}(\underline{n}).$$

There is a category  $\mathbf{M}_k$  whose objects are the set of order preserving injections  $A : \underline{k} \subset \underline{n}$ , and whose morphisms are the commutative diagrams of injections

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \underline{k} & \xrightarrow{A} & \underline{m} \\ \sigma \downarrow & & \downarrow \theta \\ \underline{k} & \xrightarrow{B} & \underline{n} \end{array}$$

Note that  $B = \theta(A)$  and  $\sigma \in \Sigma_k$ . It follows from the analysis above that  $\Gamma^+(X)^{(k)} / \Gamma^+(X)^{(k-1)}$  is the pointed homotopy colimit  $\text{holim}_* X^\bullet$  of the functor

$$X^\bullet : \mathbf{M}_k \rightarrow \mathbf{sSet}_*$$

taking values in pointed simplicial sets, which is defined by sending  $A : \underline{k} \subset \underline{n}$  to  $X^{\wedge k}$ , and which sends a morphism  $(\theta, \sigma)$  to the induced isomorphism  $\sigma_* : X^{\wedge k} \rightarrow X^{\wedge k}$ .

There is a functor  $f : \mathbf{M}_k \rightarrow \Sigma_k$  which sends  $(\theta, \sigma)$  to  $\sigma$ , and the functor  $X^\bullet$  is the composite

$$\mathbf{M}_k \xrightarrow{f} \Sigma_k \xrightarrow{X^{\wedge k}} s\mathbf{Set}_*$$

There is also a functor  $g : \Sigma_k \rightarrow \mathbf{M}_k$  which takes  $*$  to the object  $\underline{k} : \underline{k} \xrightarrow{1} \underline{k}$ , and takes  $\tau \in \Sigma_k$  to the morphism

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \underline{k} & \xrightarrow{1} & \underline{k} \\ \tau \downarrow & & \downarrow \tau \\ \underline{k} & \xrightarrow{1} & \underline{k} \end{array}$$

Observe that  $fg = 1$  and there is a natural transformation  $gf \rightarrow 1$ . It follows that the map (functor)  $f$  in the pullback diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \underline{\text{holim}}_{\mathbf{M}_k} X^\bullet & \xrightarrow{f_*} & \underline{\text{holim}}_{\Sigma_k} X^{\wedge k} \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ B\mathbf{M}_k & \xrightarrow{f} & B\Sigma_k \end{array}$$

induces a weak equivalence  $f_*$ , since the group  $\Sigma_k$  acts invertibly on  $X^{\wedge k}$ . It follows that the induced map of pointed homotopy colimits

$$\underline{\text{holim}}_* X^\bullet \rightarrow E\Sigma_k \wedge_{\Sigma_k} X^{\wedge k}$$

is a weak equivalence. □

**Remark 5.** Generally, suppose that  $X : I \rightarrow s\mathbf{Set}$  is a small diagram of simplicial sets which is a diagram of equivalences in the sense that all morphisms  $\alpha : i \rightarrow j$  of the category  $I$  induce weak equivalences  $X(i) \rightarrow X(j)$ . Then all pullback diagrams

$$\begin{array}{ccc} Y \times_{BI} \underline{\text{holim}}_I X & \longrightarrow & \underline{\text{holim}}_I X \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ Y & \longrightarrow & BI \end{array}$$

are homotopy cartesian. This is a slight variation of Quillen's "Theorem B" — see [4, IV.5.7].

The assignment  $K \mapsto \Gamma^*(K)$  for finite pointed sets  $K$  defines a  $\Gamma$ -space, and the natural pointed maps  $K \rightarrow \Gamma^*(K)$  form a morphism of  $\Gamma$ -spaces, which will be denoted by  $\phi : \text{Id} \rightarrow \Gamma^*(\text{Id})$ . Here,  $\text{Id}$  refers to the  $\Gamma$ -space defined by the identity functor  $K \mapsto K$ . Recall that the associated spectrum  $\text{Id}(S)$  is the sphere spectrum  $S^0$ .

More generally, for a pointed simplicial set  $X$ , the spectrum  $\text{Id} \wedge X(S)$  associated to the  $\Gamma$ -space  $\text{Id} \wedge X$  is the suspension spectrum  $\Sigma^\infty X$ . The  $\Gamma^*$  construction can be applied to any  $\Gamma$ -space  $Y$ , and there is a corresponding natural map of  $\Gamma$ -spaces

$$\phi_Y : Y \rightarrow \Gamma^*(Y).$$

**Corollary 6.** *The  $\Gamma$ -space map  $\phi_{\text{Id} \wedge X}$  induces a stable equivalence*

$$\Sigma^\infty X = \text{Id} \wedge X(S) \rightarrow \Gamma^*(\text{Id} \wedge X)(S)$$

of associated spectra.

*Proof.* In general, if  $Y$  is an  $k$ -connected pointed simplicial set, then the cofibre of the map  $Y \rightarrow \Gamma^*(Y)$  is at least  $(2k + 1)$ -connected on account of Theorem 4, and it follows (by relative Hurewicz) that the map  $\pi_j Y \rightarrow \pi_j \Gamma^*(Y)$  is an isomorphism for  $j \leq 2k$ . The map  $S^n \wedge X \rightarrow \Gamma^*(S^n \wedge X)$  therefore induces an isomorphism

$$\pi_i(S^n \wedge X) \xrightarrow{\cong} \pi_i(\Gamma^*(S^n \wedge X))$$

for  $i \leq 2(n - 1)$ . □

The space  $\Gamma^+(X)$  has the structure of a simplicial monoid. In effect, given morphisms  $\theta : (\underline{n}, x) \rightarrow (\underline{m}, y)$  and  $\theta' : (\underline{n}', x') \rightarrow (\underline{m}', y')$ , in the translation category defining  $\text{holim} P_X$ , their sum is the map

$$\theta \oplus \theta' := \theta \vee \theta' : (\underline{m} \vee \underline{m}', (x, x')) \rightarrow (\underline{n} \vee \underline{n}', (y, y'))$$

Here, for example,  $(x, x') \in X^m \times X^{m'}$ , and there is a canonical bijection  $\underline{m} \vee \underline{m}' \cong \underline{m} + \underline{m}'$  which identifies  $\underline{m}$  with the first  $m$  elements of  $\underline{m} + \underline{m}'$ , and identifies  $\underline{m}'$  with the last  $m'$  elements, both identifications in order. This bijection also forces an identification

$$X^m \times X^{m'} = \text{hom}(\underline{m}, X) \times \text{hom}(\underline{m}', X) \cong \text{hom}(\underline{m} + \underline{m}', X) = X^{m+m'}.$$

The identity for the monoid structure is the object  $(\emptyset, *)$ , and the monoid is homotopy commutative since there are natural diagrams

$$\begin{array}{ccc} (\underline{m} \vee \underline{m}', (x, x')) & \xrightarrow{\theta \vee \theta'} & (\underline{n} \vee \underline{n}', (y, y')) \\ \tau \downarrow & & \downarrow \tau' \\ (\underline{m}' \vee \underline{m}, (x', x)) & \xrightarrow{\theta' \vee \theta} & (\underline{n}' \vee \underline{n}, (y', y)) \end{array}$$

in the underlying translation category, where the maps  $\tau$  and  $\tau'$  are suitably defined shuffles.

We shall use the standard models for finite pointed sets: in particular  $\mathbf{n}_+$  denotes the finite ordinal number  $\mathbf{n} = \{0, 1, \dots, n\}$ , pointed by 0. Observe that the pointed set  $S^0$  of Lemma 1 is the pointed ordinal number  $\mathbf{1}_+$  in this notation.

Write  $in_i$  for the pointed map function  $\mathbf{1}_+ \rightarrow \mathbf{n}_+$  which picks out the number  $i$  for  $1 \leq i \leq n$ , and let  $\psi$  denote the composite

$$\Gamma^+(\mathbf{1}_+) \times \cdots \times \Gamma^+(\mathbf{1}_+) \xrightarrow{in_1 \times \cdots \times in_n} \Gamma^+(\mathbf{n}_+) \times \cdots \times \Gamma^+(\mathbf{n}_+) \xrightarrow{\oplus} \Gamma^+(\mathbf{n}_+).$$

Now here's a generalization of Lemma 1:

**Lemma 7.** *The map  $\psi$  is a weak equivalence.*

*Proof.* Two elements  $(\underline{m}, x)$  and  $(\underline{r}, y)$  are in the same path component if and only if the functions  $x : \underline{m} \rightarrow \mathbf{n}_+$  and  $y : \underline{r} \rightarrow \mathbf{n}_+$  have the same number  $k_i$  of images of each ‘‘colour’’  $i$  for  $1 \leq i \leq n$ . It follows, by the same argument as for Lemma 1, that the path component of  $\Gamma^+(\mathbf{n}_+)$  corresponding to the numbers  $k_i$ ,  $1 \leq i \leq n$  has the homotopy type of the product

$$B\Sigma_{k_1} \times \cdots \times B\Sigma_{k_n}.$$

Lemma 1 implies that the path components of the space

$$\Gamma^+(\mathbf{1}_+) \times \cdots \times \Gamma^+(\mathbf{1}_+)$$

have the same description, and the map  $\psi$  preserves them.  $\square$

**Corollary 8.** *There is a levelwise weak equivalence of simplicial spaces*

$$B\Gamma^+(\mathbf{1}_+) \xrightarrow{\simeq} \Gamma^+(S^1).$$

*Proof.* The simplicial space  $\Gamma^+(S^1)$  is defined by  $\mathbf{n} \mapsto \Gamma^+(S_n^1)$ , and there are commutative diagrams

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \Gamma^+(\mathbf{1}_+)^{\times n} & \xrightarrow[\simeq]{\psi} & \Gamma^+(S_n^1) \\ \theta^* \downarrow & & \downarrow \theta^* \\ \Gamma^+(\mathbf{1}_+)^{\times m} & \xrightarrow[\psi]{\simeq} & \Gamma^+(S_m^1) \end{array}$$

for each ordinal number map  $\theta : \mathbf{m} \rightarrow \mathbf{n}$ , and where the copy of  $\theta^*$  on the left is the simplicial structure map for  $B\Gamma^+(\mathbf{1}_+)$ .  $\square$

**Corollary 9.** *The  $\Gamma$ -space  $\Gamma^*(\text{Id})$  is special, meaning that the pinch maps form a weak equivalence*

$$\Gamma^*(\mathbf{n}_+) \rightarrow \prod_{i=1}^n \Gamma^*(\mathbf{1}_+) \tag{1}$$

for all  $n \geq 1$ .

*Proof.* The pinch maps induce a map  $\Gamma^+(\mathbf{n}_+) \rightarrow \prod_{i=1}^n \Gamma^+(\mathbf{1}_+)$  which is weakly equivalent to the map in (1), and the composite

$$\prod_{i=1}^n \Gamma^+(\mathbf{1}_+) \xrightarrow{\psi} \Gamma^+(\mathbf{n}_+) \rightarrow \prod_{i=1}^n \Gamma^+(\mathbf{1}_+)$$

is homotopic to the identity.  $\square$

A standard group completion argument, arising from the action of the monoid  $\bigsqcup_{n \geq 0} B\Sigma_n$  on the space  $\bigsqcup_{\mathbb{Z}} B\Sigma_{\infty}$  then implies the following:

**Lemma 10.** *There is a weak equivalence*

$$\bigsqcup_{\mathbb{Z}} (B\Sigma_{\infty})_+ \xrightarrow{\cong} \Omega B(\bigsqcup_{n \geq 0} B\Sigma_n).$$

Here,  $(B\Sigma_{\infty})_+$  is the result of applying the plus construction to the space  $B\Sigma_{\infty}$ ; it can also be characterized as an  $H$ -space having the homology of  $B\Sigma_{\infty}$ .

The spectrum  $Y(S)$  associated to a special  $\Gamma$ -spaces  $Y$  is an  $\Omega$ -spectrum above level 1 in the sense that the maps  $Y(S)^n \rightarrow \Omega Y(S)^{n+1}$  are weak equivalences for  $n \geq 1$  [3, Thm. 4.4]. It follows that the spectrum defined by the spaces

$$\Omega Y(S)^1, Y(S)^1, Y(S)^2, \dots$$

is an  $\Omega$ -spectrum having the same stable homotopy type as  $Y(S)$ .

In view of Corollary 6, Corollary 9 and Lemma 10, we therefore have the following:

**Theorem 11** (Barratt-Priddy-Quillen). *There is a weak equivalence*

$$QS^0 \simeq \bigsqcup_{\mathbb{Z}} (B\Sigma_{\infty})^+.$$

## References

- [1] M. G. Barratt. A free group functor for stable homotopy. In *Algebraic topology (Proc. Sympos. Pure Math., Vol. XXII, Univ. Wisconsin, Madison, Wis., 1970)*, pages 31–35. Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, R., 1971.
- [2] Michael Barratt and Stewart Priddy. On the homology of non-connected monoids and their associated groups. *Comment. Math. Helv.*, 47:1–14, 1972.
- [3] A. K. Bousfield and E. M. Friedlander. Homotopy theory of  $\Gamma$ -spaces, spectra, and bisimplicial sets. In *Geometric applications of homotopy theory (Proc. Conf., Evanston, Ill., 1977), II*, volume 658 of *Lecture Notes in Math.*, pages 80–130. Springer, Berlin, 1978.
- [4] P. G. Goerss and J. F. Jardine. *Simplicial Homotopy Theory*, volume 174 of *Progress in Mathematics*. Birkhäuser Verlag, Basel, 1999.
- [5] Christian Schlichtkrull. The homotopy infinite symmetric product represents stable homotopy. *Algebr. Geom. Topol.*, 7:1963–1977, 2007.