

Gauss-Bonnet Theorem for the Noncommutative Torus

Noncommutative Torus

Fix $\theta \in \mathbb{R}$.

Let A_θ = C^* -algebra generated by unitaries U and V satisfying

$$VU = e^{2\pi i \theta} UV$$

Dense subalgebra of ‘smooth functions’:

$$A_\theta^\infty \subset A_\theta$$

$a \in A_\theta^\infty$ iff

$$a = \sum a_{mn} U^m V^n$$

where $(a_{mn}) \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{Z}^2)$ is rapidly decreasing:

$$\sup_{m,n} (1 + m^2 + n^2)^k |a_{mn}| < \infty$$

for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$.

If $\theta = \text{rational}$, $A_\theta \sim C(T^2)$ (Morita equivalence).

For $\theta = \text{irrational}$, A_θ is much more complicated; in particular it is a simple algebra; has a unique normalized trace (faithful and positive)

$$\tau_0 : A_\theta \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$$

$$\tau_0(\sum a_{mn} U^m V^n) = a_{00}$$

$$\tau_0(a^*a) \geq 0 \quad \text{positivity}$$

$$\tau_0(a^*a) = 0 \quad \text{iff} \quad a = 0 \quad \text{faithfulness}$$

Derivations

$$\delta_1, \delta_2 : A_\theta^\infty \rightarrow A_\theta^\infty$$

uniquely defined by:

$$\delta_1(U) = U, \quad \delta_1(V) = 0$$

$$\delta_2(U) = 0, \quad \delta_2(V) = V$$

We have

$$\delta_1\delta_2 = \delta_2\delta_1.$$

Invariance property:

$$\tau_0(\delta_i(a)) = 0, \quad \delta_i(a^*) = -\delta_i(a)^*$$

GNS construction: the Hilbert space

$$\mathcal{H}_0 = L^2(A_\theta, \tau_0),$$

completion of A_θ w.r.t. inner product

$$\langle a, b \rangle := \tau_0(b^*a).$$

Fact: δ_1, δ_2 have unique s.a. (unbounded) extensions

$$\delta_1, \delta_2 : \mathcal{H}_0 \rightarrow \mathcal{H}_0$$

Analogue of $\frac{1}{i} \frac{d}{dx}, \frac{1}{i} \frac{d}{dy}$.

The flat Laplacian

$$\Delta = \delta_1^2 + \delta_2^2 : A_\theta^\infty \rightarrow A_\theta^\infty$$

has a unique extension to a positive (unbounded) operator

$$\Delta : \mathcal{H}_0 \rightarrow \mathcal{H}_0$$

Complex structures

Fix

$$\tau = \tau_1 + i\tau_2, \quad \tau_2 > 0.$$

Connes-Tretkoff consider $\tau = i$, and define

$$\partial = \delta_1 + i\delta_2, \quad \partial^* = \delta_1 - i\delta_2.$$

∂^* is the formal adjoint of ∂ w.r.t. \langle , \rangle and

$$\Delta = \partial^* \partial = \delta_1^2 + \delta_2^2.$$

Define the Hilbert space

$$\mathcal{H}^{(1,0)} \subset \mathcal{H}_0$$

as the completion of the subspace spanned by $a\partial b$'s. Then

$$\partial : \mathcal{H}_0 \rightarrow \mathcal{H}^{(1,0)}$$

In general, let $\partial = \delta_1 + \tau\delta_2$. Considered as an unbounded operator,

$$\delta_1 + \tau\delta_2 : \mathcal{H}_0 \rightarrow \mathcal{H}^{(1,0)},$$

has an adjoint, given by

$$\partial^* = \delta_1 + \bar{\tau}\delta_2$$

Define

$$\Delta := \partial^*\partial = \delta_1^2 + 2\tau_1\delta_1\delta_2 + |\tau|^2\delta_2^2.$$

Conformal perturbation of the metric

Fix $h = h^* \in A_\theta^\infty$. The new volume form:

$$\varphi(a) = \tau_0(ae^{-h}) \quad a \in A_\theta.$$

φ is a positive linear functional on A_θ .

It is a twisted trace

$$\varphi(ba) = \varphi(a\sigma_i(b))$$

with the **modular automorphism group**

$$\sigma_t : A_\theta \rightarrow A_\theta, \quad t \in \mathbb{R},$$

$$\sigma_t(x) = e^{ith}xe^{-ith}$$

and

$$\sigma_i(x) = e^{-h}xe^h$$

Let \mathcal{H}_φ = completion of A_θ w.r.t. $\langle , \rangle_\varphi$, where

$$\langle a, b \rangle_\varphi = \varphi(b^*a), \quad a, b \in A_\theta.$$

Let

$$\partial_\varphi = \partial = \delta_1 + \tau\delta_2 : \mathcal{H}_\varphi \rightarrow \mathcal{H}^{(1,0)}.$$

It has a formal adjoint ∂_φ^* . Computation shows that

$$\partial_\varphi^* = R(e^h)\partial^*$$

where $R(e^h)$ is the right multiplication operator by e^h ($R(e^h)(x) = e^h x$).

Perturbed Laplacian

$$\Delta' = \partial_\varphi^* \partial_\varphi : \mathcal{H}_\varphi \rightarrow \mathcal{H}_\varphi,$$

where $\partial = \delta_1 + i\delta_2$, or, in general, $\partial = \delta_1 + \tau\delta_2$.

Lemma (Connes-Tretkoff; continues to hold in the general case): The operator Δ' is anti-unitarily equivalent to the positive unbounded operator $k\Delta k$ acting on \mathcal{H}_0 , where k is the operator of left multiplication by $e^{h/2}$.

Spectral zeta function

$$\zeta(s) = \sum \lambda_i^{-s} = \text{Tr}(\Delta^{-s}), \quad \text{Re}(s) > 1.$$

Mellin transform

$$\lambda^{-s} = \frac{1}{\Gamma(s)} \int_0^\infty e^{-t\lambda} t^{s-1} dt$$

gives us

$$\zeta(s) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(s)} \int_0^\infty \text{Trace}^+(e^{-t\Delta'}) t^{s-1} dt,$$

where

$$\text{Trace}^+(e^{-t\Delta'}) = \text{Trace}(e^{-t\Delta'}) - \text{Dim Ker}(\Delta')$$

As we shall see soon, $\zeta(s)$ has a holomorphic extension to $\mathbb{C} \setminus \{1\}$ with a simple pole at $s = 1$.

Spectral form of the classical Gauss-Bonnet Theorem: Let Σ = compact connected oriented Riemannian surface. Then

$$\zeta(0) + 1 = \frac{1}{12\pi} \int_{\Sigma} R = \frac{1}{6} \chi(\Sigma),$$

where R is the (scalar) curvature. In particular $\zeta(0)$ is a topological invariant; e.g. is invariant under conformal perturbations of the metric $g \mapsto e^f g$.

Gauss-Bonnet for NC Torus (Connes-Trekoff):

For any positive invertible element $k \in A_\theta^\infty$,
and $\Delta' \sim k\Delta k$, $\zeta_{\Delta'}(0)$ is independent of k .
($\Delta = \delta_1^2 + \delta_2^2$.)

Our goal: to extend this result to arbitrary
complex structures on A_θ with

$$\Delta = \delta_1^2 + 2\tau_1\delta_1\delta_2 + |\tau|^2\delta_2^2.$$

Next: sketch Connes-Tretkoff's proof.

Pseudodifferential operators on A_θ^∞

Recall: Connes (1980).

Differential operators of order n :

$$P : A_\theta^\infty \rightarrow A_\theta^\infty$$

$$P = \sum_j a_j \delta_1^{j_1} \delta_2^{j_2}$$

with $a_j \in A_\theta^\infty$, $j = (j_1, j_2)$, $|j| \leq n$.

Noncommutative symbols of order $n \in \mathbb{Z}$: smooth maps

$$\rho : \mathbb{R}^2 \rightarrow A_\theta^\infty$$

s.t.

$$\|\delta_1^{i_1} \delta_2^{i_2} (\partial_1^{j_1} \partial_2^{j_2} \rho(\xi))\| \leq c(1 + |\xi|)^{n - |j|},$$

where $\partial_i = \frac{\partial}{\partial \xi_i}$, and ρ is homogeneous of order n at infinity:

$$\lim \lambda^{-n} \rho(\lambda \xi_1, \lambda \xi_2) \quad \lambda \rightarrow \infty$$

exists and is smooth for $\xi \neq 0$.

Algebra of symbols:

$$S = \cup_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} S_n$$

Given a symbol ρ , define a pseudodifferential operator

$$P_\rho : A_\theta^\infty \rightarrow A_\theta^\infty$$

by

$$P_\rho(a) = (2\pi)^{-2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} e^{-is \cdot \xi} \rho(\xi) \alpha_s(a) ds d\xi,$$

where

$$\alpha_s(U^n V^m) = e^{is \cdot (n,m)} U^n V^m$$

Def:

$$\rho \sim \rho' \quad \text{if} \quad \rho - \rho' \in \cap S_n$$

Smoothing symbols: $\rho \sim 0$.

Let $\Psi =$ algebra of pseudodifferential operators P_ρ , $\rho \in S$. Symbol map

$$\sigma : \Psi \rightarrow S, \quad P \mapsto \sigma(P),$$

is well defined modulo smoothing operators and smoothing symbols. One has

$$\sigma(PQ) = \sum \frac{1}{\ell_1! \ell_2!} \partial_1^{\ell_1} \partial_2^{\ell_2}(\rho(\xi)) \delta_1^{\ell_1} \delta_2^{\ell_2}(\rho'(\xi))$$

Elliptic Symbols: A symbol $\rho(\xi)$ of order n is called elliptic if $\rho(\xi)$ is invertible for $\xi \neq 0$, and, for $|\xi|$ large enough,

$$||\rho(\xi)^{-1}|| \leq c(1 + |\xi|)^{-n}$$

Example:

$$\Delta = \partial^* \partial = \delta_1^2 + 2\tau_1 \delta_1 \delta_2 + |\tau|^2 \delta_2^2$$

is elliptic with an invertible symbol

$$\sigma(\Delta) = \xi_1^2 + 2\tau_1 \xi_1 \xi_2 + |\tau|^2 \xi_2^2.$$

Heat kernel expansion and zeta values

Spectral zeta function:

$$\zeta(s) = \sum \frac{1}{\lambda_i^s} = \text{Trace}(\Delta'^{-s}),$$

where $\Delta' = k\Delta k$.

Mellin transform:

$$\lambda^{-s} = \frac{1}{\Gamma(s)} \int_0^\infty e^{-t\lambda} t^{s-1} dt,$$

gives

$$\zeta(s) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(s)} \int_0^\infty \text{Trace}^+(e^{-t\Delta'}) t^{s-1} dt.$$

In the commutative case, $e^{-t\Delta'}$ is a smoothing pseudodifferential operator and so its trace can be computed from its kernel, or its symbol:

$$\text{Tr}(e^{-t\Delta'}) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} k(x, x) dx = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} p(x, \xi) dx d\xi$$

where $p = \sigma(e^{-t\Delta'})$.

In the NC torus case, the analogous formula is

$$\text{Tr}(e^{-t\Delta'}) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \tau_0(\sigma(e^{-t\Delta'})(\xi)) d\xi.$$

Since $\Gamma(s)$ has a simple pole at $s = 0$ with $\text{Res} = 1$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \zeta(0) &= \\ \text{Res}_{s=0} \int_0^\infty & \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \tau_0(\sigma(e^{-t\Delta'})) d\xi - 1 \right) t^{s-1} dt. \\ (1 = \dim \text{Ker}\Delta') \end{aligned}$$

Cauchy integral formula:

$$e^{-t\Delta'} = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_C e^{-t\lambda} (\Delta' - \lambda 1)^{-1} d\lambda$$

gives the asymptotic expansion: as $t \rightarrow 0^+$

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \tau_0(\sigma(e^{-t\Delta'})) d\xi \sim t^{-1} \sum_0^\infty B_{2n}(\Delta') t^n.$$

It follows that $\zeta(s)$ has analytic continuation to $\mathbb{C} \setminus \{1\}$.

For $Re(s) \gg 0$:

$$\zeta(s) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(s)} \int_0^1 \sum_0^\infty B_{2n}(\Delta') t^{n-1+s-1} dt$$

+ a holomorphic function.

It follows that:

$$\zeta(0) = B_2(\Delta').$$

Similar to the commutative case:

$$B_2(\Delta') = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int \int_C e^{-\lambda} \tau_0(b_2(\xi, \lambda)) d\lambda d\xi$$

where

$$(b_0(\xi, \lambda) + b_1(\xi, \lambda) + b_2(\xi, \lambda) + \dots) \sigma(\Delta' - \lambda) \sim 1,$$

$b_j(\xi, \lambda)$ is a symbol of order $-2-j$.

Can assume $\lambda = -1$, therefore

$$\zeta(0) = \int \tau_0(b_2(\xi)) d\xi.$$

$$\sigma(\Delta' + 1) = \sigma(k\Delta k + 1) = (a_2 + 1) + a_1 + a_0$$

where

$$a_2 = k^2 \xi_1^2 + 2\tau_1 k^2 \xi_1 \xi_2 + |\tau|^2 k^2 \xi_2^2$$

$$a_1 = (2k\delta_1(k) + 2\tau_1 k \delta_2(k)) \xi_1 +$$

$$(2\tau_1 k \delta_1(k) + 2|\tau|^2 k \delta_2(k)) \xi_2$$

$$a_0 = k \delta_1^2(k) + 2\tau_1 k \delta_1 \delta_2(k) + |\tau|^2 k \delta_2^2(k).$$

Using the calculus for symbols:

$$b_0 = (a_2 + 1)^{-1}$$

$$b_1 = -(b_0 a_1 b_0 + \partial_i(b_0) \delta_i(a_2) b_0)$$

$$b_2 = -(b_0 a_0 b_0 + b_1 a_1 b_0 + \partial_i(b_0) \delta_i(a_1) b_0)$$

$$+ \partial_i(b_1) \delta_i(a_2) b_0 + (1/2) \partial_i \partial_j(b_0) \delta_i \delta_j(a_2) b_0).$$

$\tau_0(b_2(\xi))$ is equal to τ_0 of

$$\begin{aligned}
& -b_0^2 k \delta_1^2(k) + \\
& (-2\tau_1) b_0^2 k \delta_1 \delta_2(k) + \\
& ((-1)|\tau|^2) b_0^2 k \delta_2^2(k) + \\
& ((6)\xi_1^2 + (12)\tau_1 \xi_1 \xi_2 + (4)\tau_1^2 \xi_2^2 + (2)|\tau|^2 \xi_2^2) b_0^3 k^3 \delta_1^2(k) + \\
& ((6)\xi_1^2 + (12)\tau_1 \xi_1 \xi_2 + (4)\tau_1^2 \xi_2^2 + (2)|\tau|^2 \xi_2^2) b_0^3 k^2 \delta_1(k)^2 + \\
& ((2)\xi_1^2 + (4)\tau_1 \xi_1 \xi_2 + (2)|\tau|^2 \xi_2^2) b_0^2 k^2 \delta_1(k) b_0 \delta_1(k) + \\
& ((6)\xi_1^2 + (12)\tau_1 \xi_1 \xi_2 + (4)\tau_1^2 \xi_2^2 + (2)|\tau|^2 \xi_2^2) b_0^2 k \delta_1(k) b_0 k \delta_1(k) + \\
& ((12)\tau_1 \xi_1^2 + (16)\tau_1^2 \xi_1 \xi_2 + (8)|\tau|^2 \xi_1 \xi_2 + (12)\tau_1 |\tau|^2 \xi_2^2) b_0^3 k^3 \delta_1 \delta_2(k) + \\
& ((6)\tau_1 \xi_1^2 + (8)\tau_1^2 \xi_1 \xi_2 + (4)|\tau|^2 \xi_1 \xi_2 + (6)\tau_1 |\tau|^2 \xi_2^2) b_0^3 k^2 \delta_1(k) \delta_2(k) + \\
& ((6)\tau_1 \xi_1^2 + (8)\tau_1^2 \xi_1 \xi_2 + (4)|\tau|^2 \xi_1 \xi_2 + (6)\tau_1 |\tau|^2 \xi_2^2) b_0^3 k^2 \delta_2(k) \delta_1(k) + \\
& ((2)\tau_1 \xi_1^2 + (4)\tau_1^2 \xi_1 \xi_2 + (2)\tau_1 |\tau|^2 \xi_2^2) b_0^2 k^2 \delta_1(k) b_0 \delta_2(k) + \\
& ((2)\tau_1 \xi_1^2 + (4)\tau_1^2 \xi_1 \xi_2 + (2)\tau_1 |\tau|^2 \xi_2^2) b_0^2 k^2 \delta_2(k) b_0 \delta_1(k) + \\
& ((6)\tau_1 \xi_1^2 + (8)\tau_1^2 \xi_1 \xi_2 + (4)|\tau|^2 \xi_1 \xi_2 + (6)\tau_1 |\tau|^2 \xi_2^2) b_0^2 k \delta_1(k) b_0 k \delta_2(k) + \\
& ((6)\tau_1 \xi_1^2 + (8)\tau_1^2 \xi_1 \xi_2 + (4)|\tau|^2 \xi_1 \xi_2 + (6)\tau_1 |\tau|^2 \xi_2^2) b_0^2 k \delta_2(k) b_0 k \delta_1(k) + \\
& ((4)\tau_1^2 \xi_1^2 + (2)|\tau|^2 \xi_1^2 + (12)\tau_1 |\tau|^2 \xi_1 \xi_2 + (6)|\tau|^4 \xi_2^2) b_0^3 k^3 \delta_2^2(k) + \\
& ((4)\tau_1^2 \xi_1^2 + (2)|\tau|^2 \xi_1^2 + (12)\tau_1 |\tau|^2 \xi_1 \xi_2 + (6)|\tau|^4 \xi_2^2) b_0^3 k^2 \delta_2(k)^2 + \\
& ((4)\tau_1^2 \xi_1^2 + (2)|\tau|^2 \xi_1^2 + (12)\tau_1 |\tau|^2 \xi_1 \xi_2 + (6)|\tau|^4 \xi_2^2) b_0^2 k \delta_2(k) b_0 k \delta_2(k) + \\
& ((2)|\tau|^2 \xi_1^2 + (4)\tau_1 |\tau|^2 \xi_1 \xi_2 + (2)|\tau|^4 \xi_2^2) b_0^2 k^2 \delta_2(k) b_0 \delta_2(k) + \\
& ((-8)\xi_1^4 + (-32)\tau_1 \xi_1^3 \xi_2 + (-40)\tau_1^2 \xi_1^2 \xi_2^2 + (-8)|\tau|^2 \xi_1^2 \xi_2^2 + (-16)\tau_1^3 \xi_1 \xi_2^3 + (-16)\tau_1 |\tau|^2 \xi_1 \xi_2^3 + (-8)\tau_1^2 |\tau|^2 \xi_2^4) b_0^4 k^5 \delta_1^2(k) + \\
& ((-8)\xi_1^4 + (-32)\tau_1 \xi_1^3 \xi_2 + (-40)\tau_1^2 \xi_1^2 \xi_2^2 + (-8)|\tau|^2 \xi_1^2 \xi_2^2 + (-16)\tau_1^3 \xi_1 \xi_2^3 + (-16)\tau_1 |\tau|^2 \xi_1 \xi_2^3 + (-8)\tau_1^2 |\tau|^2 \xi_2^4) b_0^4 k^4 \delta_1(k)^2 + \\
& ((-10)\xi_1^4 + (-40)\tau_1 \xi_1^3 \xi_2 + (-48)\tau_1^2 \xi_1^2 \xi_2^2 + (-12)|\tau|^2 \xi_1^2 \xi_2^2 + (-16)\tau_1^3 \xi_1 \xi_2^3 + (-24)\tau_1 |\tau|^2 \xi_1 \xi_2^3 + (-8)\tau_1^2 |\tau|^2 \xi_2^4 + (-2)|\tau|^4 \xi_2^4) b_0^3 k^4 \delta_1(k) b_0 \delta_1(k) + \\
& ((-20)\xi_1^4 + (-80)\tau_1 \xi_1^3 \xi_2 + (-96)\tau_1^2 \xi_1^2 \xi_2^2 + (-24)|\tau|^2 \xi_1^2 \xi_2^2 + (-32)\tau_1^3 \xi_1 \xi_2^3 + (-48)\tau_1 |\tau|^2 \xi_1 \xi_2^3 + (-16)\tau_1^2 |\tau|^2 \xi_2^4 + (-4)|\tau|^4 \xi_2^4) b_0^3 k^3 \delta_1(k) b_0 k \delta_1(k) + \\
& ((-10)\xi_1^4 + (-40)\tau_1 \xi_1^3 \xi_2 + (-48)\tau_1^2 \xi_1^2 \xi_2^2 + (-12)|\tau|^2 \xi_1^2 \xi_2^2 + (-16)\tau_1^3 \xi_1 \xi_2^3 + (-24)\tau_1 |\tau|^2 \xi_1 \xi_2^3 + (-8)\tau_1^2 |\tau|^2 \xi_2^4 + (-2)|\tau|^4 \xi_2^4) b_0^3 k^2 \delta_1(k) b_0 k^2 \delta_1(k) + \\
& ((-4)\xi_1^4 + (-16)\tau_1 \xi_1^3 \xi_2 + (-20)\tau_1^2 \xi_1^2 \xi_2^2 + (-4)|\tau|^2 \xi_1^2 \xi_2^2 + (-8)\tau_1^3 \xi_1 \xi_2^3 + (-8)\tau_1 |\tau|^2 \xi_1 \xi_2^3 + (-4)\tau_1^2 |\tau|^2 \xi_2^4) b_0^2 k^2 \delta_1(k) b_0^2 k^2 \delta_1(k) + \\
& ((-4)\xi_1^4 + (-16)\tau_1 \xi_1^3 \xi_2 + (-20)\tau_1^2 \xi_1^2 \xi_2^2 + (-4)|\tau|^2 \xi_1^2 \xi_2^2 + (-8)\tau_1^3 \xi_1 \xi_2^3 + (-8)\tau_1 |\tau|^2 \xi_1 \xi_2^3 + (-4)\tau_1^2 |\tau|^2 \xi_2^4) b_0^2 k \delta_1(k) b_0^2 k^3 \delta_1(k) + \\
& ((-16)\tau_1 \xi_1^4 + (-48)\tau_1^2 \xi_1^3 \xi_2 + (-16)|\tau|^2 \xi_1^3 \xi_2 + (-32)\tau_1^3 \xi_1^2 \xi_2^2 + (-64)\tau_1 |\tau|^2 \xi_1^2 \xi_2^2 + (-48)\tau_1^2 |\tau|^2 \xi_1 \xi_2^3 + (-16)|\tau|^4 \xi_1 \xi_2^3 + (-16)\tau_1 |\tau|^4 \xi_2^4) b_0^4 k^5 \delta_1 \delta_2(k) + \\
& ((-8)\tau_1 \xi_1^4 + (-24)\tau_1^2 \xi_1^3 \xi_2 + (-8)|\tau|^2 \xi_1^3 \xi_2 + (-16)\tau_1^3 \xi_1^2 \xi_2^2 + (-32)\tau_1 |\tau|^2 \xi_1^2 \xi_2^2 + (-24)\tau_1^2 |\tau|^2 \xi_1 \xi_2^3 + (-8)|\tau|^4 \xi_1 \xi_2^3 + (-8)\tau_1 |\tau|^4 \xi_2^4) b_0^4 k^4 \delta_1(k) \delta_2(k) + \\
& ((-8)\tau_1 \xi_1^4 + (-24)\tau_1^2 \xi_1^3 \xi_2 + (-8)|\tau|^2 \xi_1^3 \xi_2 + (-16)\tau_1^3 \xi_1^2 \xi_2^2 + (-32)\tau_1 |\tau|^2 \xi_1^2 \xi_2^2 + (-24)\tau_1^2 |\tau|^2 \xi_1 \xi_2^3 + (-8)|\tau|^4 \xi_1 \xi_2^3 + (-8)\tau_1 |\tau|^4 \xi_2^4) b_0^4 k^4 \delta_2(k) \delta_1(k) + \\
& ((-10)\tau_1 \xi_1^4 + (-32)\tau_1^2 \xi_1^3 \xi_2 + (-8)|\tau|^2 \xi_1^3 \xi_2 + (-24)\tau_1^3 \xi_1^2 \xi_2^2 + (-36)\tau_1 |\tau|^2 \xi_1^2 \xi_2^2 + (-32)\tau_1^2 |\tau|^2 \xi_1 \xi_2^3 + (-8)|\tau|^4 \xi_1 \xi_2^3 + (-10)\tau_1 |\tau|^4 \xi_2^4) b_0^3 k^4 \delta_1(k) b_0 \delta_2(k) + \\
& ((-10)\tau_1 \xi_1^4 + (-32)\tau_1^2 \xi_1^3 \xi_2 + (-8)|\tau|^2 \xi_1^3 \xi_2 + (-24)\tau_1^3 \xi_1^2 \xi_2^2 + (-36)\tau_1 |\tau|^2 \xi_1^2 \xi_2^2 + (-32)\tau_1^2 |\tau|^2 \xi_1 \xi_2^3 + (-8)|\tau|^4 \xi_1 \xi_2^3 + (-10)\tau_1 |\tau|^4 \xi_2^4) b_0^3 k^4 \delta_2(k) b_0 \delta_1(k) + \\
& ((-20)\tau_1 \xi_1^4 + (-64)\tau_1^2 \xi_1^3 \xi_2 + (-16)|\tau|^2 \xi_1^3 \xi_2 + (-48)\tau_1^3 \xi_1^2 \xi_2^2 + (-72)\tau_1 |\tau|^2 \xi_1^2 \xi_2^2 + (-64)\tau_1^2 |\tau|^2 \xi_1 \xi_2^3 + (-16)|\tau|^4 \xi_1 \xi_2^3 + (-20)\tau_1 |\tau|^4 \xi_2^4) b_0^3 k^3 \delta_1(k) b_0 k \delta_2(k) + \\
& ((-20)\tau_1 \xi_1^4 + (-64)\tau_1^2 \xi_1^3 \xi_2 + (-16)|\tau|^2 \xi_1^3 \xi_2 + (-48)\tau_1^3 \xi_1^2 \xi_2^2 + (-72)\tau_1 |\tau|^2 \xi_1^2 \xi_2^2 + (-64)\tau_1^2 |\tau|^2 \xi_1 \xi_2^3 + (-16)|\tau|^4 \xi_1 \xi_2^3 + (-20)\tau_1 |\tau|^4 \xi_2^4) b_0^3 k^3 \delta_2(k) b_0 k \delta_1(k) + \\
& ((-10)\tau_1 \xi_1^4 + (-32)\tau_1^2 \xi_1^3 \xi_2 + (-8)|\tau|^2 \xi_1^3 \xi_2 + (-24)\tau_1^3 \xi_1^2 \xi_2^2 + (-36)\tau_1 |\tau|^2 \xi_1^2 \xi_2^2 + (-32)\tau_1^2 |\tau|^2 \xi_1 \xi_2^3 + (-8)|\tau|^4 \xi_1 \xi_2^3 + (-10)\tau_1 |\tau|^4 \xi_2^4) b_0^3 k^2 \delta_1(k) b_0 k^2 \delta_2(k) +
\end{aligned}$$

In the case of $\tau = i$, Connes and Tretkoff by passing to polar coordinates and integrating the angular variable, obtain term such as

$$8\pi r^2 b_0^3 k^3 \delta_1^2(k)$$

all b_0 on the left,

terms such as

$$-4\pi r^4 b_0^2 k \delta_2(k) b_0^2 k^3 \delta_2(k)$$

with b_0^2 in the middle,

and terms such as

$$16\pi r^6 b_0^4 k^5 \delta_1(k) b_0 k \delta_1(k)$$

with b_0 in the middle.

Using

$$\partial_r(b_0) = -2rk^2 b_0^2$$

and integration by parts terms with b_0^2 in the middle can be converted to terms with b_0 in the middle and for integrating the terms of the latter type the following lemma is used.

Lemma (Connes-Tretkoff). For $\rho \in A_\theta^\infty$ and every non-negative integer m :

$$\int_0^\infty \frac{k^{2m+2}u^m}{(k^2u+1)^{m+1}} \frac{1}{\rho(k^2u+1)} du = \mathcal{D}_m(\rho)$$

where

$$\mathcal{D}_m = \mathcal{L}_m(\Delta),$$

Δ = the modular automorphism,

$$\mathcal{L}_m(u) = \int_0^\infty \frac{x^m}{(x+1)^{m+1}} \frac{1}{(xu+1)} dx =$$

$$(-1)^m (u-1)^{-(m+1)} \left(\log u - \sum_{j=1}^m (-1)^{j+1} \frac{(u-1)^j}{j} \right)$$

(modified logarithm).

Theorem (Connes-Tretkoff). The value $\zeta(0)$ of the zeta function of the operator $\Delta' \sim k\Delta k$ is independent of k .

Lemma

$$\zeta(0) + 1 = 2\pi\tau_0(f(\Delta)(\delta_j(k))\delta_j(k)k^{-2})$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} f(u) = & \frac{1}{6}u^{-1/2} - \frac{1}{3} + \mathcal{L}_1(u) - 2(1+u^{1/2})\mathcal{L}_2(u) \\ & +(1+u^{1/2})^2\mathcal{L}_3(u). \end{aligned}$$

If we write $f(u) = h(\log(u))$, it follows that:

$$\zeta(0) + 1 = 2\pi\tau_0(K(\log\Delta)(\delta_j(\log k))\delta_j(\log k))$$

where

$$K(x) = 4(-1 + e^{x/2})^2 x^{-2} h(x).$$

and $\log \Delta(x) = -[h, x]$.

Proof of the theorem: From the fact that K is an odd function it follows that

$$\tau_0(K(\log\Delta)(\delta_j(\log k))\delta_j(\log k)) = 0.$$

What we have done so far:

Case $\tau = \tau_2 i$ is done now,

General case is in progress!

For the case of complex parameter $\tau = \tau_2 i$ with $\tau_2 > 0$, after computing the integral of $b_2(\xi)$ over the plane, we find the following lemma which is the analogue of Lemma 3.2 in the paper by Connes and Tretkoff.

Lemma 1. $\zeta(0)$ of the zeta function of the operator $\Delta' \sim k\Delta k$ is given by

$$\zeta(0) + 1 = \frac{2\pi}{\tau_2} \varphi(f(\Delta)(\delta_1(k))\delta_1(k)) + 2\pi\tau_2 \varphi(f(\Delta)(\delta_2(k))\delta_2(k))$$

where $\varphi(x) = \tau_0(xk^{-2})$, Δ is the modular operator,

$$f(u) = \frac{1}{6}u^{-1/2} - \frac{1}{3} + \mathcal{L}_1(u) - 2(1+u^{1/2})\mathcal{L}_2(u) + (1+u^{1/2})^2\mathcal{L}_3(u),$$

and

$$\mathcal{L}_m(u) = (-1)^m(u-1)^{-(m+1)} \left(\log u - \sum_{j=1}^m (-1)^{j+1} \frac{(u-1)^j}{j} \right).$$

Then in their paper, Connes and Tretkoff have proved that both terms $\varphi(f(\Delta)(\delta_j(k))\delta_j(k))$ vanish for $j = 1, 2$ (Lemma 3.3 and proof of Theorem 3.1 in page 8). Therefore from the above lemma it follows that $\zeta(0) + 1 = 0$, in particular it is independent of k .