

Fredholm Determinant

Rui Dong

April 22, 2015

1 DEFINITION OF FREDHOLM DETERMINANT

Suppose if $f(x) \in C[0,1]$, $K(x,y) \in C([0,1] \times [0,1])$, we hope to find out the solution of the following equation:

$$u(x) + \int_0^1 K(x,y)u(y)dy = f(x), \quad (1.1)$$

where $u(x) \in C[0,1]$.

Actually, we can write (1.1) as

$$(\mathbf{I} + \mathbf{K})u(x) = f(x). \quad (1.2)$$

Fredholm solved it by replacing the integral in (1.1) by a Riemann sum over n intervals of length h . This yields a system of n linear equations for the values u_j of u at the n nodes j/n of the subdivision. Fredholm expressed the solution of these equations as $n \rightarrow \infty$. The discretized form of (1.1) is

$$u_i + h \sum K_{ij}u_j = f_i, \quad i = 1, \dots, n, \quad (1.3)$$

where $f_i = f(ih)$, $h = 1/n$ and $K_{ij} = K(ih, jh)$. Denote by $D(h)$ the determinant of the matrix acting on the vector u in (1.3):

$$D(h) = \det(I + hK_{ij}) \quad (1.4)$$

We can write $D(h)$ as a polynomial in h :

$$D(h) = \sum_{m=0}^n a_m h^m. \quad (1.5)$$

a_m can be written as Taylor coefficients:

$$a_m = \frac{1}{m!} \left(\frac{d}{dh} \right)^m D(h)|_{h=0} \quad (1.6)$$

In general, we have a rule:

$$\frac{d}{dh} \det(C_1, \dots, C_n) = \sum_l \det \left(C_1, \dots, \frac{d}{dh} C_l, \dots, C_n \right). \quad (1.7)$$

And notice that at $h = 0$, $C_j(0) = E_j$, thus using (1.4) in (1.6), we have

$$D(h) = 1 + h \sum_i K_{ij} + \frac{h^2}{2} \sum_{i,j} \det \begin{pmatrix} K_{ii} & K_{ij} \\ K_{ji} & K_{jj} \end{pmatrix} + \dots \quad (1.8)$$

Fredholm denoted

$$K \begin{pmatrix} x_1 & \dots & x_k \\ y_1 & \dots & y_k \end{pmatrix} = \det K(x_i, y_j), \quad 1 \leq i, j \leq k. \quad (1.9)$$

Now we set $h = 1/n$, and let n tend to ∞ , then we change (1.8) into

$$D = \sum_0^{\infty} \frac{1}{k!} \int \dots \int K \begin{pmatrix} x_1 & \dots & x_k \\ x_1 & \dots & x_k \end{pmatrix} dx_1 \dots dx_k. \quad (1.10)$$

Definition. D is called the Fredholm determinant of the operator $(\mathbf{I} + \mathbf{K})$ acting on the left of (1.2).

Theorem 1. The series (1.10) is convergent.

Proof.

Recall the Hadamard's inequality says that

$$|\det(C_1, \dots, C_k)| \leq \prod_{j=0}^k \|C_j\|,$$

where $\|C\|$ denotes the Euclidean length of the vector C . Since the kernel K is continuous, it is bounded, let's say,

$$|K(x, y)| \leq M,$$

for all x, y , so the length of each column vector of the $k \times k$ matrix (1.9) is less than $M\sqrt{k}$. Thus, according to Hadamard's inequality,

$$|K \begin{pmatrix} x_1 & \dots & x_k \\ y_1 & \dots & y_k \end{pmatrix}| \leq M^k k^{k/2}.$$

So the k th term in series (1.10) is $\leq M^k k^{k/2} / k!$, by Stirling's formula,

$$M^k k^{k/2} / k! \leq (Me)^k k^{-k/2}.$$

Therefore,

$$D \leq \sum_0^{\infty} (Me)^k k^{-k/2} < +\infty.$$

That is, the Fredholm Determinant is well-defined.

2 THE INVERSE OF $\mathbf{I} + \mathbf{K}$

If we denote

$$R(x, y) = K(x, y) + \int K \begin{pmatrix} x & x_1 \\ y & x_1 \end{pmatrix} dx_1 + \cdots = \sum_0^{\infty} \frac{1}{k!} \int \cdots \int K \begin{pmatrix} x & x_1 & \cdots & x_k \\ y & x_1 & \cdots & x_k \end{pmatrix} dx_1 \cdots dx_k, \quad (2.1)$$

and notice that

$$K \begin{pmatrix} x & x_1 & \cdots & x_k \\ y & x_1 & \cdots & x_k \end{pmatrix} = K(x, y)K \begin{pmatrix} x_1 & \cdots & x_k \\ x_1 & \cdots & x_k \end{pmatrix} - K(x, x_1)K \begin{pmatrix} x_1 & x_2 & \cdots & x_k \\ y & x_2 & \cdots & x_k \end{pmatrix} + \cdots, \quad (2.2)$$

It is obvious that the integrals of the last k terms on the right are all equal. In fact, by interchanging in the j th integral the names of the variables x_1 and x_j , and then performing one row permutation and $j - 2$ column permutations, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & (-1)^j \int \cdots \int K(x, x_j)K \begin{pmatrix} x_1 & x_2 & \cdots & x_j & \cdots & x_k \\ y & x_1 & \cdots & x_{j-1} & x_{j+1} & \cdots & x_k \end{pmatrix} dx_1 \cdots dx_k \\ &= (-1)^j \int \cdots \int K(x, x_1)K \begin{pmatrix} x_j & x_2 & \cdots & x_1 & \cdots & x_k \\ y & x_j & \cdots & x_{j-1} & x_{j+1} & \cdots & x_k \end{pmatrix} dx_1 \cdots dx_k \\ &= (-1)^{j+1} \int \cdots \int K(x, x_1)K \begin{pmatrix} x_1 & x_2 & \cdots & x_j & \cdots & x_k \\ y & x_j & \cdots & x_{j-1} & x_{j+1} & \cdots & x_k \end{pmatrix} dx_1 \cdots dx_k \quad (2.3) \\ &= (-1)^{j+1} (-1)^{j-2} \int \cdots \int K(x, x_1)K \begin{pmatrix} x_1 & x_2 & \cdots & x_j & \cdots & x_k \\ y & x_2 & \cdots & x_j & x_{j+1} & \cdots & x_k \end{pmatrix} dx_1 \cdots dx_k \\ &= (-1) \int \cdots \int K(x, x_1)K \begin{pmatrix} x_1 & x_2 & \cdots & x_k \\ y & x_2 & \cdots & x_k \end{pmatrix} dx_1 \cdots dx_k \end{aligned}$$

therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} & \int \cdots \int K \begin{pmatrix} x & x_1 & \cdots & x_k \\ y & x_1 & \cdots & x_k \end{pmatrix} dx_1 \cdots dx_k \\ &= K(x, y) \int \cdots \int K \begin{pmatrix} x_1 & \cdots & x_k \\ x_1 & \cdots & x_k \end{pmatrix} dx_1 \cdots dx_k \quad (2.4) \\ & - k \int \cdots \int K(x, x_1)K \begin{pmatrix} x_1 & x_2 & \cdots & x_k \\ y & x_2 & \cdots & x_k \end{pmatrix} dx_1 \cdots dx_k \end{aligned}$$

Divide it by $k!$ and sum. According to (2.1) of $R(x, y)$ and (1.10) of D , we have

$$R(x, y) = K(x, y)D - \int K(x, x_1)R(x_1, y)dx_1,$$

or, we can write it as

$$R(x, y) + \int K(x, z)R(z, y)dz - DK(x, y) = 0 \quad (2.5)$$

If we expand the determinants in (2.1) according to the first column instead of the first row, then we have an analogous identity as

$$R(x, y) + \int K(t, y)R(x, t)dt - DK(x, y) = 0 \quad (2.6)$$

Now we can compute the inverse of $(\mathbf{I} + \mathbf{K})$ now.

Theorem 2. Let K be a continuous kernel, and suppose $D \neq 0$. Then the operator $(\mathbf{I} + \mathbf{K})$ is invertible, and the inverse is $(\mathbf{I} - D^{-1}\mathbf{R})$.

Proof. According to (2.5) and eqref15,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{R} + \mathbf{K}\mathbf{R} - D\mathbf{K} &= 0. \\ \mathbf{R} + \mathbf{R}\mathbf{K} - D\mathbf{K} &= 0. \end{aligned} \quad (2.7)$$

Namely,

$$\begin{aligned} (\mathbf{I} + \mathbf{K})(\mathbf{I} - D^{-1}\mathbf{R}) &= \mathbf{I}. \\ (\mathbf{I} - D^{-1}\mathbf{R})(\mathbf{I} + \mathbf{K}) &= \mathbf{I}. \end{aligned} \quad (2.8)$$

□

Actually we can prove the inverse is also true. Let λ denote a complex parameter. If we replace K by λK in (1.10), we have

$$D(\lambda) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{\lambda^k}{k!} \int \cdots \int K \begin{pmatrix} x_1 & \cdots & x_k \\ x_1 & \cdots & x_k \end{pmatrix} dx_1 \cdots dx_k. \quad (2.9)$$

Similarly, we can define $R(x, y, \lambda)$ as

$$R(x, y, \lambda) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{\lambda^{k+1}}{k!} \int \cdots \int K \begin{pmatrix} x & x_1 & \cdots & x_k \\ y & x_1 & \cdots & x_k \end{pmatrix} dx_1 \cdots dx_k. \quad (2.10)$$

It is obvious to see that both $D(\lambda)$ and $R(x, y, \lambda)$ are entire analytic functions of λ .

Lemma 3. Suppose that $D(\lambda)$ has a zero of order m at $\lambda = 1$. Then there is a value of x such that $R(x, x, \lambda)$ has a zero of order $< m$ at $\lambda = 1$.

Proof. According to (2.10),

$$\int R(x, x, \lambda) dx = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{\lambda^{k+1}}{k!} \int \cdots \int K \begin{pmatrix} x & x_1 & \cdots & x_k \\ x & x_1 & \cdots & x_k \end{pmatrix} dx dx_1 \cdots dx_k.$$

Notice that the right side is equal to the derivative of (2.9) with respect to λ and times λ , hence,

$$\int R(x, x, \lambda) dx \equiv \lambda \frac{d}{d\lambda} D(\lambda).$$

Since $R(x, x, \lambda)$ is entire analytic, we can write $R(x, x, \lambda)$ as

$$R(x, x, \lambda) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} a_k(x)(\lambda - 1)^k.$$

If the order of $D(\lambda)$ at $\lambda = 1$ is m , then the order of the right side at $\lambda = 1$ is $m - 1$. So the order of the left at $\lambda = 1$ is also equal to $m - 1$, which means that

$$\int a_{m-1}(x)dx \neq 0.$$

Hence there must be some x_0 such that $a_{m-1}(x_0) \neq 0$. Then we proved that for some value of x , the order of $R(x, x, \lambda)$ at $\lambda = 1$ is less than m . \square

Now we can prove the inverse of **Theorem 2**.

Theorem 4. Let K be a continuous kernel such that $D = 0$; then the operator $\mathbf{I} + \mathbf{K}$ has a nontrivial null-space and so is not invertible.

Proof. Denote by l the largest number such that $R(x, y, \lambda)$ has a zero of order l at $\lambda = 1$ for every x and y . According to **Lemma 3**, $l < m$. So we can write

$$R(x, y, \lambda) = g(x, y)(\lambda - 1)^l + O(\lambda - 1)^{l+1} \quad (2.11)$$

By our definition of l , $g(x, y) \neq 0$. According to (2.6),

$$R(x, y, \lambda) + \int \lambda K(x, t)R(t, y, \lambda)dt = \lambda K(x, y)D(\lambda), \quad (2.12)$$

where $\lambda \neq 1$. Divide both sides by $(\lambda - 1)^l$ and let $\lambda \rightarrow 1$, then we have

$$g(x, y) + \int K(x, t)g(t, y)dt = 0. \quad (2.13)$$

Since $g \neq 0$, there exists some y_0 such that $g(x, y_0) \neq 0$.

Denote $u(x) = g(x, y_0)$. Hence

$$u(x) + \int K(x, y)u(y)dy = 0. \quad (2.14)$$

That is, $u(x)$ is inside the nullspace of $\mathbf{I} + \mathbf{K}$. \square

To sum up, we have that the Fredholm determinant $D \neq 0$ iff the operator $\mathbf{I} + \mathbf{K}$ is invertible.

Corollary 5. The complex number k is an eigenvalue of the integral operator \mathbf{K} iff $\lambda = -1/k$ is a zero of $D(\lambda)$.

Proof. Since the determinant of $\mathbf{I} + \mathbf{K}$ is $D(1) = 0$, the determinant of $\mathbf{I} + \lambda\mathbf{K}$ is $D(\lambda)$, according to **Theorem 2** and **Theorem 4**, $D(1) = 0$ iff there exists some $u(x)$ such that $\mathbf{K}u(x) = -u(x)$, we replace K by λK , then $D(\lambda) = 0 \Leftrightarrow \lambda\mathbf{K}u(x) = -u(x) \Leftrightarrow \mathbf{K}u(x) = -1/\lambda u(x)$. \square

3 THE MULTIPLICATIVE PROPERTY OF THE FREDHOLM DETERMINANT

Now we can present Fredholm's extension of the multiplicative property of determinants to operators. Here we denote the determinant of $\mathbf{I} + \mathbf{K}$ by D_K , $\mathbf{I} + \mathbf{H}$ by D_H , and the inverse of $\mathbf{I} + \mathbf{K}$ by $\mathbf{I} - D_K^{-1}\mathbf{R}_K$, the kernel of \mathbf{R}_K by $R_K(x, y)$.

Theorem 6. Let \mathbf{H} and \mathbf{K} be integral operators with continuous kernels, and set $(\mathbf{I} + \mathbf{H})(\mathbf{I} + \mathbf{K}) = \mathbf{I} + \mathbf{L}$. Then

$$D_L = D_H D_K. \quad (3.1)$$

Proof. We should notice that

$$\begin{aligned} (\mathbf{I} + \mathbf{H})(\mathbf{I} + \mathbf{K}) &= \mathbf{I} + \mathbf{H} + \mathbf{K} + \mathbf{H}\mathbf{K} = \mathbf{I} + \mathbf{L}, \\ \Rightarrow \mathbf{H} + \mathbf{K} + \mathbf{H}\mathbf{K} &= \mathbf{L}. \end{aligned}$$

therefore the kernel of \mathbf{L} is

Firstly, we prove this when $D_K \neq 0, D_H \neq 0$.

$$L(x, y) = K(x, y) + H(x, y) + \int H(x, t)K(t, y)dt \quad (3.2)$$

We define

$$\delta D_K = \delta \frac{d}{d\epsilon} D_{K+\epsilon\delta K}|_{\epsilon=0} \quad (3.3)$$

First we calculate the variation of the determinant (1.9). According to (1.7)

$$\delta K \begin{pmatrix} x_1 & \cdots & x_k \\ x_1 & \cdots & x_k \end{pmatrix} = \sum_{\ell} \det K_{\ell}, \quad (3.4)$$

where the ℓ th column of K_{ℓ} is $\delta K(x_i, y_{\ell})$. Expand set K_{ℓ} with respect to the ℓ th column:

$$\delta K \begin{pmatrix} x_1 & \cdots & x_k \\ x_1 & \cdots & x_k \end{pmatrix} = \sum_{m,\ell} (-1)^{m+\ell} K \begin{pmatrix} x_1 & \cdots & (x_m) & \cdots & x_k \\ x_1 & \cdots & (x_{\ell}) & \cdots & x_k \end{pmatrix} \delta K(x_m, x_{\ell}), \quad (3.5)$$

where the parentheses indicate that the ℓ th column and the m th row are to be omitted. Now we integrate (3.5) over the k -dimensional unit cube. We separate the sum into two parts as following:

When $\ell = m$, we notice that all k terms with $\ell = m$ are equal, denoting $x_{\ell} = x_m = x$, relabelling the remaining variables as x_1, \dots, x_{k-1} , hence when $\ell = m$, the sum of the integral is

$$k \int \cdots \int K \begin{pmatrix} x_1 & \cdots & x_{k-1} \\ x_1 & \cdots & x_{k-1} \end{pmatrix} dx_1 \cdots dx_{k-1} \int \delta K(x, x) dx. \quad (3.6)$$

When $\ell \neq m$, relabel $x_m = x, x_\ell = y$, and the remaining variables as x_1, \dots, x_{k-2} . Then each term is equal to

$$(-1)^{\ell+m}(-1)^{\ell-1+m-2} \int \dots \int K \begin{pmatrix} y & x_1 & \dots & x_{k-2} \\ x & x_1 & \dots & x_{k-2} \end{pmatrix} \delta K(x, y) dx_1 \dots dx_{k-2} dx dy,$$

hence all the $k(k-1)$ terms are equal, and the sum is

$$-k(k-1) \int \dots \int K \begin{pmatrix} y & x_1 & \dots & x_{k-2} \\ x & x_1 & \dots & x_{k-2} \end{pmatrix} \delta K(x, y) dx_1 \dots dx_{k-2} dx dy. \quad (3.7)$$

According to (1.10) and (2.1), if we get the sum of (3.6) and (3.7) divided by $k!$, the sum of (3.6) is

$$D_K \int \delta K(x, x) dx,$$

and the sum of (3.7) is

$$- \int \int R_K(y, x) \delta K(x, y) dx dy.$$

Plus them together, we get

$$\delta D_K = D_K \int \delta K(x, x) dx - \int \int R_K(y, x) \delta K(x, y) dx dy.$$

Assume that $D_K \neq 0$, we get

$$\frac{\delta D_K}{D_K} = \delta \log D_K = \int \delta K(x, x) dx - D_K^{-1} \int \int R_K(y, x) \delta K(x, y) dx dy. \quad (3.8)$$

Since

$$\delta K(x, y) - D_K^{-1} \int R(y, x) \delta K(x, y) dx = (\mathbf{I} - D_K^{-1} \mathbf{R}_K) \delta K(x, y),$$

hence,

$$\begin{aligned} \delta \log D_K &= \int (\mathbf{I} - D_K^{-1} \mathbf{R}_K) \delta K(x, y)|_{x=y} dx \\ &= \int (\mathbf{I} + \mathbf{K})^{-1} \delta K(x, y)|_{x=y} dx. \end{aligned} \quad (3.9)$$

Similarly, if we regard the right part of (3.8) as the applications of the transpose of $\mathbf{I} - D_K^{-1} \mathbf{R}_K$ to the function $\delta K(x, \cdot)$, then we have

$$\delta \log D_K = \int (\mathbf{I} + \mathbf{K}')^{-1} \delta K(x, y)|_{x=y} dx, \quad (3.10)$$

where \mathbf{K}' represents the transpose of \mathbf{K} .

According to (3.2),

$$L(x, y) = K(x, y) + H(x, y) + \int H(x, t) K(t, y) dt,$$

hence

$$\begin{aligned}
& \delta L(x, y) \\
&= \delta K(x, y) + \delta H(x, y) + \int \delta H(x, t)K(t, y)dt + \int H(x, t)\delta K(t, y)dt \\
&= (\delta K(x, y) + \int H(x, t)\delta K(t, y)dt) + (\delta H(x, y) + \int \delta H(x, t)K(t, y)dt) \\
&= (\mathbf{I} + \mathbf{H})\delta K(x, y) + (\mathbf{I} + \mathbf{K}')\delta H(x, y)
\end{aligned} \tag{3.11}$$

By (3.8),

$$\begin{aligned}
& \delta \log D_L \\
&= \int \delta L(x, x)dx - D_L^{-1} \int \int R_L(y, x)\delta L(x, y)dx dy \\
&= \int ((\mathbf{I} + \mathbf{H})\delta K(x, y)|_{x=y} + (\mathbf{I} + \mathbf{K}')\delta H(x, y)|_{x=y})dx - D_L^{-1} \int \int R_L(y, x)((\mathbf{I} + \mathbf{H})\delta K(x, y) + (\mathbf{I} + \mathbf{K}')\delta H(x, y))dx dy \\
&= (\int ((\mathbf{I} + \mathbf{H})\delta K(x, y)|_{x=y}dx - D_L^{-1} \int \int R_L(y, x)((\mathbf{I} + \mathbf{H})\delta K(x, y))dx dy) \\
&\quad + (\int (\mathbf{I} + \mathbf{K}')\delta H(x, y)|_{x=y}dx - D_L^{-1} \int \int R_L(y, x)(\mathbf{I} + \mathbf{K}')\delta H(x, y))dx dy) \\
&= \int (\mathbf{I} - D_L^{-1}\mathbf{R}_L)(\mathbf{I} + \mathbf{H})\delta K(x, y)|_{x=y}dx + \int (\mathbf{I} - D_L^{-1}\mathbf{R}'_L)(\mathbf{I} + \mathbf{K}')\delta H(x, y)|_{x=y}dx \\
&= \int ((\mathbf{I} + \mathbf{L})^{-1})(\mathbf{I} + \mathbf{H})\delta K(x, y)|_{x=y}dx + \int ((\mathbf{I} + \mathbf{L}')^{-1})(\mathbf{I} + \mathbf{K}')\delta H(x, y)|_{x=y}dx
\end{aligned} \tag{3.12}$$

Recalled that $(\mathbf{I} + \mathbf{L}) = (\mathbf{I} + \mathbf{H})(\mathbf{I} + \mathbf{K})$, hence force,

$$\begin{aligned}
(\mathbf{I} + \mathbf{L})^{-1} &= (\mathbf{I} + \mathbf{K})^{-1}(\mathbf{I} + \mathbf{H})^{-1}, \\
(\mathbf{I} + \mathbf{L}')^{-1} &= (\mathbf{I} + \mathbf{H}')^{-1}(\mathbf{I} + \mathbf{K}')^{-1}.
\end{aligned}$$

Now we can write down $\delta \log D_L$ as

$$\delta \log D_L = \int (\mathbf{I} + \mathbf{K})^{-1}\delta K(x, y)|_{x=y}dx + \int (\mathbf{I} + \mathbf{H}')^{-1}\delta H(x, y)|_{x=y}dx \tag{3.13}$$

That is ,

$$\delta \log D_L = \delta \log D_K + \delta \log D_H. \tag{3.14}$$

We can deform K and H into 0 so that $D_K \neq 0$ and $D_H \neq 0$ during this deformation. For instance, set $K(t) = \lambda(t)K$, $H(t) = \lambda(t)H$, where the complex-valued function $\lambda(t)$ avoids all the zero points of $D_K(\lambda)$ and $D_H(\lambda)$. By (3.14),

$$\frac{d}{dt} [\log D_{L(t)} - \log(D_{K(t)}D_{H(t)})] = 0. \tag{3.15}$$

Since $L(0) = K(0) = H(0) = 0$, and $D_0 = I$, we deduce that

$$\log D_L = \log(D_K D_H).$$

Therefore,

$$D_L = D_K D_H. \tag{3.16}$$

When $D_H = 0$, $\mathbf{I} + \mathbf{H}$ is not surjective, and when $D_K = 0$, $\mathbf{I} + \mathbf{K}$ is not injective. In either case, $(\mathbf{I} + \mathbf{H})(\mathbf{I} + \mathbf{K})$ is not invertible, so $D_L = 0$.

□

REFERENCES

- [1] Peter Lax, Functional Analysis, Wiley-Interscience; 1 edition, April 4, 2002.
- [2] Fredholm, I. Sur une classe d' équations fonctionnelles, Acta Math., 27 (1903): 365-390.