

RESEARCH ARTICLE

A Formula for the Norm of an Averaging Operator on Weighted Lebesgue Space

M. Dziri^a and G. Sinnamon^{b†}

^aDepartment of Mathematics, Faculty of Sciences of Tunis, 1060 Tunis, Tunisia. Email: dzirimoncef@yahoo.fr; ^bCorresponding author. Department of Mathematics, University of Western Ontario, London, Canada. Email: sinnamon@uwo.ca

(Received: 05 January 2009; Revised: 23 April 2009)

Members of a family of averaging operators associated with systems of partial differential operators are studied as maps on a class of weighted Lebesgue spaces. Those spaces on which the operators are bounded maps are determined and the operator norms are given precisely.

Keywords: averaging operator, weighted Lebesgue space, partial differential operator
 2000 Mathematics Subject Classification: 44A15 35A22

1. Introduction

The operator \mathcal{R}_α is defined by

$$\mathcal{R}_\alpha f(r, x) = \frac{\alpha}{\pi} \int_{-1}^1 \int_{-1}^1 f(r|s|\sqrt{1-t^2}, x+tr)(1-t^2)^{\alpha-1/2}(1-s^2)^{\alpha-1} dt ds$$

for $\alpha > 0$ and by

$$\mathcal{R}_0 f(r, x) = \frac{1}{\pi} \int_{-1}^1 f(r\sqrt{1-t^2}, x+tr)(1-t^2)^{-1/2} dt$$

when $\alpha = 0$. These operators have been extensively studied in [1] and [6], as well as in a more general form in [3]. They arise in connection with the system

$$\Delta_1 = \frac{\partial}{\partial x}, \quad \Delta_2 = \frac{\partial^2}{\partial r^2} + \frac{2\alpha + 1}{r} \frac{\partial}{\partial r} - \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^2}$$

of partial differential operators. The boundedness of integral operators associated with a related differential operator is studied in [4].

The Lebesgue spaces L^p with weights of the form $|x|^\alpha$ are a natural collection to consider when boundedness of integral operators is concerned, see [7, 8, 10]. This is particularly true when studying integral operators connected with differential systems. Knowing the range of the parameter α and the index p for which an operator is bounded on weighted Lebesgue space gives quantitative information about the rate of growth of the transformed functions, about the operator itself,

[†] Support from the Natural Sciences and Engineering Research Council of Canada is gratefully acknowledged.

and about the original differential system. For some research in this direction, see [2, 5, 9, 11, 12].

In this paper we consider the boundedness of the operators \mathcal{R}_α on the weighted Lebesgue spaces $L^p((0, \infty) \times (-\infty, \infty), r^\beta dr dx)$. For convenience we refer to this space as L^p_β and denote its norm by

$$\|f\|_{p,\beta} = \left(\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \int_0^{\infty} |f(r, x)|^p r^\beta dr dx \right)^{1/p}.$$

Here β can be any real number. The space $L^\infty_\beta \equiv L^\infty$ does not depend on β , and

$$\|f\|_{\infty,\beta} \equiv \|f\|_\infty = \text{ess sup}\{|f(r, x)| : (r, x) \in (0, \infty) \times (-\infty, \infty)\}.$$

Our object is to investigate whether or not \mathcal{R}_α is a bounded operator on L^p_β , that is, whether or not there exists a constant C such that the inequality

$$\|\mathcal{R}_\alpha f\|_{p,\beta} \leq C \|f\|_{p,\beta}$$

holds for all $f \in L^p_\beta$. This question is completely answered. In addition, we provide a formula for the least possible constant C for which the inequality holds. This is called the *operator norm* of \mathcal{R}_α on L^p_β .

As usual, for $p \in [1, \infty]$ we define p' by $1/p + 1/p' = 1$.

2. Determining the Operator Norm

Making the substitution $t = \sin \theta$ and observing that the integral defining \mathcal{R}_α is symmetric in s , places the operators \mathcal{R}_α and \mathcal{R}_0 in the form that we will use most often:

$$\mathcal{R}_\alpha f(r, x) = \frac{2\alpha}{\pi} \int_0^1 \int_{-\pi/2}^{\pi/2} f(rs \cos \theta, x + r \sin \theta) (\cos \theta)^{2\alpha} (1 - s^2)^{\alpha-1} d\theta ds$$

for $\alpha > 0$ and

$$\mathcal{R}_0 f(r, x) = \frac{1}{\pi} \int_{-\pi/2}^{\pi/2} f(r \cos \theta, x + r \sin \theta) d\theta.$$

It is clear from this form that $\mathcal{R}_0 f(r, x)$ is the average of f over the right semicircle of radius r , centred at $(0, x)$.

To see that \mathcal{R}_α is also an averaging operator for $\alpha > 0$ we make the change of variable

$$u = rs \cos \theta, \quad v = x + r \sin \theta.$$

The Jacobian determinant is $|\partial(u, v)/\partial(\theta, s)| = r^2(\cos \theta)^2$ and the map $(\theta, s) \rightarrow (u, v)$ takes the open rectangle $(-\pi/2, \pi/2) \times (0, 1)$ one-to-one and onto the open half disc $D_r^+(0, x) = \{(u, v) : u > 0, u^2 + (v - x)^2 < r^2\}$. We have

$$\mathcal{R}_\alpha f(r, x) = \frac{2\alpha}{r^{2\alpha}\pi} \iint_{D_r^+(0,x)} f(u, v) (r^2 - u^2 - (v - x)^2)^{\alpha-1} dudv.$$

An easy calculation gives

$$\frac{2\alpha}{r^{2\alpha}\pi} \iint_{D_r^+(0,x)} (r^2 - u^2 - (v-x)^2)^{\alpha-1} dudv = 1$$

showing that \mathcal{R}_α is also an averaging operator. Indeed, $\mathcal{R}_\alpha f(r, x)$ is the weighted average of f over $D_r^+(0, x)$, where the weight $(r^2 - u^2 - (v-x)^2)^{\alpha-1}$ is a power of the distance to the boundary of the disc.

Our first result is an immediate consequence of these observations.

THEOREM 2.1 *If $\alpha \geq 0$ then \mathcal{R}_α is a bounded operator on L^∞ with operator norm equal to 1. That is, the inequality $\|\mathcal{R}_\alpha f\|_\infty \leq \|f\|_\infty$ holds for all bounded f and when $C < 1$ the inequality $\|\mathcal{R}_\alpha f\|_\infty \leq C\|f\|_\infty$ fails to hold for some bounded f .*

Proof. Let f be a bounded function and view $\|f\|_\infty$ as a constant function. Certainly, $f \leq \|f\|_\infty$ almost everywhere. Since \mathcal{R}_α is an averaging operator, for each (r, x) we have,

$$|\mathcal{R}_\alpha f(r, x)| \leq \mathcal{R}_\alpha(\|f\|_\infty)(r, x) = \|f\|_\infty.$$

Taking the essential supremum over all (r, x) proves that $\|\mathcal{R}_\alpha f\|_\infty \leq \|f\|_\infty$ and shows that the operator norm of \mathcal{R}_α is at most 1. Taking f to be a non-zero constant function reduces the above inequality to equality, showing that $\|\mathcal{R}_\alpha f\|_\infty \leq C\|f\|_\infty$ fails when $C < 1$ and proving that the operator norm is at least 1. This completes the proof.

The case $p = 1$ is also treated separately both for technical reasons and because the operator norm is achieved for all non-negative functions f .

THEOREM 2.2 *If $\alpha \geq 0$ and $\beta < 0$ then \mathcal{R}_α is a bounded operator on L_β^1 with operator norm equal to*

$$\frac{\Gamma(\alpha + 1)\Gamma(-\frac{\beta}{2})}{\Gamma(\alpha + \frac{1}{2} - \frac{\beta}{2})\Gamma(\frac{1}{2})}.$$

If $\beta \geq 0$, then \mathcal{R}_α is not a bounded operator on L_β^1 .

Proof. Suppose $f \in L_\beta^1$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \|\mathcal{R}_0 f\|_{1,\beta} &= \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \int_0^{\infty} |\mathcal{R}_0 f(r, x)| r^\beta dr dx \\ &\leq \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \int_0^{\infty} \frac{1}{\pi} \int_{-\pi/2}^{\pi/2} |f(r \cos \theta, x + r \sin \theta)| d\theta r^\beta dr dx. \end{aligned}$$

Interchanging the order of integration and making the change of variable $t = r \cos \theta$

and $y = x + r \sin \theta$ yields

$$\begin{aligned} \|\mathcal{R}_0 f\|_{1,\beta} &\leq \frac{1}{\pi} \int_{-\pi/2}^{\pi/2} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \int_0^{\infty} |f(r \cos \theta, x + r \sin \theta)| r^\beta dr dx d\theta \\ &= \frac{1}{\pi} \int_{-\pi/2}^{\pi/2} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \int_0^{\infty} |f(t, y)| t^\beta dt dy (\cos \theta)^{-\beta-1} d\theta \\ &= \frac{1}{\pi} \int_{-\pi/2}^{\pi/2} (\cos \theta)^{-\beta-1} d\theta \|f\|_{1,\beta} \\ &= \frac{\Gamma(-\frac{\beta}{2})}{\Gamma(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{\beta}{2})\Gamma(\frac{1}{2})} \|f\|_{1,\beta}, \end{aligned}$$

provided $\beta < 0$. The last integral above diverges when $\beta \geq 0$.

Since this inequality reduces to equality when f is non-negative, the constant is best possible. In particular, when $\beta \geq 0$ the best constant is infinite so \mathcal{R}_0 is not a bounded operator on L^1_β . This completes the proof in the case $\alpha = 0$.

When $\alpha > 0$ we proceed similarly,

$$\begin{aligned} \|\mathcal{R}_\alpha f\|_{1,\beta} &= \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \int_0^{\infty} |\mathcal{R}_\alpha f(r, x)| r^\beta dr dx \\ &\leq \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \int_0^{\infty} \frac{2\alpha}{\pi} \int_0^1 \int_{-\pi/2}^{\pi/2} |f(rs \cos \theta, x + r \sin \theta)| \times \\ &\quad (\cos \theta)^{2\alpha} (1 - s^2)^{\alpha-1} d\theta ds r^\beta dr dx. \end{aligned}$$

Interchanging again and making the change of variable, $t = rs \cos \theta$ and $y = x + r \sin \theta$, yields

$$\begin{aligned} \|\mathcal{R}_\alpha f\|_{1,\beta} &\leq \frac{2\alpha}{\pi} \int_0^1 \int_{-\pi/2}^{\pi/2} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \int_0^{\infty} |f(rs \cos \theta, x + r \sin \theta)| \times \\ &\quad r^\beta dr dx (\cos \theta)^{2\alpha} (1 - s^2)^{\alpha-1} d\theta ds \\ &= \frac{2\alpha}{\pi} \int_0^1 \int_{-\pi/2}^{\pi/2} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \int_0^{\infty} |f(t, y)| t^\beta dt dy \times \\ &\quad (\cos \theta)^{2\alpha-\beta-1} s^{-\beta-1} (1 - s^2)^{\alpha-1} d\theta ds \\ &= \frac{2\alpha}{\pi} \int_0^1 s^{-\beta-1} (1 - s^2)^{\alpha-1} ds \int_{-\pi/2}^{\pi/2} (\cos \theta)^{2\alpha-\beta-1} d\theta \|f\|_{1,\beta} \\ &= \frac{\Gamma(\alpha + 1)\Gamma(-\frac{\beta}{2})}{\Gamma(\alpha + \frac{1}{2} - \frac{\beta}{2})\Gamma(\frac{1}{2})} \|f\|_{1,\beta}, \end{aligned}$$

provided $\beta < 0$. The “ ds ” integral above diverges when $\beta \geq 0$.

Since this inequality also reduces to equality when f is non-negative, the constant is best possible. In particular, when $\beta \geq 0$ the best constant is infinite so \mathcal{R}_α is not a bounded operator on L^1_β . This completes the proof.

The next two theorems determine the values of β for which R_α is a bounded operator on L^p_β when $1 < p < \infty$. We begin by looking at the case $\alpha = 0$.

THEOREM 2.3 *Suppose $1 < p < \infty$. Then \mathcal{R}_0 is a bounded operator on L^p_β if and only if $\beta < p - 1$. Moreover, if $\beta < p - 1$ then the operator norm is*

$$\frac{\Gamma(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{\beta+1}{2p})}{\Gamma(1 - \frac{\beta+1}{2p})\Gamma(\frac{1}{2})}.$$

Proof. Suppose first that $\beta > p - 1$ and define the function f by setting $f(t, y) = 1/t$ when $(t, y) \in (0, 1) \times (-2, 2)$ and $f(t, y) = 0$ otherwise. Then

$$\|f\|_{p,\beta}^p = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \int_0^{\infty} |f(t, y)|^p t^\beta dt dy = 4 \int_0^1 t^{\beta-p} dt < \infty$$

so $f \in L^p_\beta$. On the other hand, if $0 < r < 1$ and $-1 < x < 1$, then

$$\mathcal{R}_0 f(r, x) = \frac{1}{\pi} \int_{-\pi/2}^{\pi/2} \frac{1}{r \cos \theta} d\theta = \infty$$

so $\mathcal{R}_0 f \notin L^p_\beta$. Thus \mathcal{R}_0 is not a map from L^p_β to L^p_β .

When $\beta = p - 1$ a similar argument shows \mathcal{R}_0 does not map L^p_β to L^p_β . This time, let $f(t, y) = 1/(t(1 - \log t))$ when $(t, y) \in (0, 1) \times (-2, 2)$ and $f(t, y) = 0$ otherwise. We have

$$\|f\|_{p,\beta}^p = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \int_0^{\infty} |f(t, y)|^p t^\beta dt dy = 4 \int_0^1 \frac{1}{t(1 - \log t)^p} dt < \infty$$

so $f \in L^p_\beta$. But, if $0 < r < 1$ and $-1 < x < 1$, then

$$\mathcal{R}_0 f(r, x) = \frac{1}{\pi} \int_{-\pi/2}^{\pi/2} \frac{1}{r \cos \theta (1 - \log(r \cos \theta))} d\theta = \infty$$

so $\mathcal{R}_0 f \notin L^p_\beta$.

Now suppose that $\beta < p - 1$ and fix $f \in L^p_\beta$. Let γ be a real constant to be determined later. Then, by Hölder's inequality,

$$\begin{aligned} |\mathcal{R}_0 f(r, x)| &\leq \frac{1}{\pi} \int_{-\pi/2}^{\pi/2} |f(r \cos \theta, x + r \sin \theta)| d\theta \\ &\leq \frac{C_1^{1/p'}}{\pi} \left(\int_{-\pi/2}^{\pi/2} |f(r \cos \theta, x + r \sin \theta)|^p (\cos \theta)^{p\gamma} d\theta \right)^{1/p}, \end{aligned}$$

where

$$C_1 = \int_{-\pi/2}^{\pi/2} (\cos \theta)^{-p'\gamma} d\theta.$$

Using this estimate we get,

$$\begin{aligned} \|\mathcal{R}_0 f\|_{p,\beta}^p &\leq \frac{C_1^{p/p'}}{\pi^p} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \int_0^{\infty} \int_{-\pi/2}^{\pi/2} |f(r \cos \theta, x + r \sin \theta)|^p \times \\ &\quad (\cos \theta)^{p\gamma} d\theta r^\beta dr dx \\ &= \frac{C_1^{p/p'}}{\pi^p} \int_{-\pi/2}^{\pi/2} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \int_0^{\infty} |f(r \cos \theta, x + r \sin \theta)|^p \times \\ &\quad r^\beta dr dx (\cos \theta)^{p\gamma} d\theta. \end{aligned}$$

The change of variable $t = r \cos \theta$ and $y = x + r \sin \theta$ yields

$$\begin{aligned} \|\mathcal{R}_0 f\|_{p,\beta}^p &\leq \frac{C_1^{p/p'}}{\pi^p} \int_{-\pi/2}^{\pi/2} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \int_0^{\infty} |f(t, y)|^p t^\beta dt dy (\cos \theta)^{p\gamma - \beta - 1} d\theta \\ &= \frac{C_1^{p/p'} C_2}{\pi^p} \|f\|_{p,\beta}^p, \end{aligned}$$

where

$$C_2 = \int_{-\pi/2}^{\pi/2} (\cos \theta)^{p\gamma - \beta - 1} d\theta.$$

If there exists a γ that makes both the integrals C_1 and C_2 finite, then \mathcal{R}_0 is a bounded operator on L_β^p . For C_1 to be finite requires that $-p'\gamma > -1$ and for C_2 to be finite requires that $p\gamma - \beta - 1 > -1$. These reduce to the requirement that $\gamma \in (\beta/p, 1/p')$, which is a non-empty interval because we have assumed that $\beta < p - 1$.

To obtain a specific upper bound for the operator norm let $\gamma = (\beta + 1)/(pp')$ and verify that it lies in the above interval. The upper bound obtained is,

$$\frac{C_1^{1/p'} C_2^{1/p}}{\pi} = \frac{\Gamma(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{\beta+1}{2p})}{\Gamma(1 - \frac{\beta+1}{2p})\Gamma(\frac{1}{2})}.$$

To get a lower bound for the operator norm we fix $\eta > 0$ and $M > 1$, and define f by setting $f(t, y) = t^{(\eta - \beta - 1)/p}$ when $(t, y) \in (0, 1) \times (-M, M)$ and $f(t, y) = 0$ otherwise. The norm of f in L_β^p is

$$\|f\|_{p,\beta} = \left(\int_{-M}^M \int_0^1 \left(t^{(\eta - \beta - 1)/p} \right)^p t^\beta dt dy \right)^{1/p} = (2M/\eta)^{1/p}.$$

On the other hand, if $0 < r < 1$ and $1 - M < x < M - 1$ then for any $\theta \in (-\pi/2, \pi/2)$, we have $0 < r \cos \theta < 1$ and $-M < x + r \sin \theta < M$ so

$$\mathcal{R}_0 f(r, x) = \frac{1}{\pi} \int_{-\pi/2}^{\pi/2} (r \cos \theta)^{(\eta - \beta - 1)/p} d\theta = r^{(\eta - \beta - 1)/p} \frac{\Gamma(\frac{1}{2} + \frac{\eta - \beta - 1}{2p})}{\Gamma(1 + \frac{\eta - \beta - 1}{2p})\Gamma(\frac{1}{2})}.$$

It follows that

$$\begin{aligned} \|\mathcal{R}_0 f\|_{p,\beta} &\geq \frac{\Gamma(\frac{1}{2} + \frac{\eta-\beta-1}{2p})}{\Gamma(1 + \frac{\eta-\beta-1}{2p})\Gamma(\frac{1}{2})} \left(\int_{1-M}^{M-1} \int_0^1 \left(r^{(\eta-\beta-1)/p} \right)^p r^\beta dr dx \right)^{1/p} \\ &= \frac{\Gamma(\frac{1}{2} + \frac{\eta-\beta-1}{2p})}{\Gamma(1 + \frac{\eta-\beta-1}{2p})\Gamma(\frac{1}{2})} (2(M-1)/\eta)^{1/p}. \end{aligned}$$

For each η and M the ratio $\|\mathcal{R}_0 f\|_{p,\beta}/\|f\|_{p,\beta}$ is a lower bound for the operator norm of \mathcal{R}_0 . Letting $M \rightarrow \infty$ first and then letting $\eta \rightarrow 0$ gives the lower bound,

$$\frac{\Gamma(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{\beta+1}{2p})}{\Gamma(1 - \frac{\beta+1}{2p})\Gamma(\frac{1}{2})}$$

as required. The upper and lower bounds coincide so the operator norm is determined.

THEOREM 2.4 *Suppose $1 < p < \infty$. Then \mathcal{R}_α is a bounded operator on L_β^p if and only if $\beta < p - 1$. Moreover, if $\beta < p - 1$ then the operator norm is*

$$\frac{\Gamma(\alpha + 1)\Gamma(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{\beta+1}{2p})}{\Gamma(\alpha + 1 - \frac{\beta+1}{2p})\Gamma(\frac{1}{2})}.$$

Proof. Suppose that $\beta > p - 1$ and define the function f by setting $f(t, y) = 1/t$ when $(t, y) \in (0, 1) \times (-2, 2)$ and $f(t, y) = 0$ otherwise. Then

$$\|f\|_{p,\beta}^p = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \int_0^{\infty} |f(t, y)|^p t^\beta dt dy = 4 \int_0^1 t^{\beta-p} dt < \infty$$

so $f \in L_\beta^p$. On the other hand, if $0 < r < 1$ and $-1 < x < 1$, then

$$\mathcal{R}_\alpha f(r, x) = \frac{2\alpha}{\pi} \int_{-\pi/2}^{\pi/2} \frac{1}{r \cos \theta} (\cos \theta)^{2\alpha} d\theta \int_0^1 (1 - s^2)^{\alpha-1} \frac{ds}{s} = \infty$$

so $\mathcal{R}_\alpha f \notin L_\beta^p$. Thus \mathcal{R}_α is not a map from L_β^p to L_β^p .

When $\beta = p - 1$ a similar argument shows \mathcal{R}_α does not map L_β^p to L_β^p . This time, let $f(t, y) = 1/(t(1 - \log t))$ when $(t, y) \in (0, 1) \times (-2, 2)$ and $f(t, y) = 0$ otherwise. We have

$$\|f\|_{p,\beta}^p = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \int_0^{\infty} |f(t, y)|^p t^\beta dt dy = 4 \int_0^1 \frac{1}{t(1 - \log t)^p} dt < \infty$$

so $f \in L_\beta^p$. But, if $0 < r < 1$ and $-1 < x < 1$, then

$$\mathcal{R}_\alpha f(r, x) = \frac{2\alpha}{\pi} \int_{-\pi/2}^{\pi/2} \int_0^1 \frac{(\cos \theta)^{2\alpha}(1 - s^2)^{\alpha-1}}{rs \cos \theta(1 - \log(rs \cos \theta))} ds d\theta = \infty$$

so $\mathcal{R}_0 f \notin L_\beta^p$.

Now suppose that $\beta < p - 1$ and fix $f \in L_\beta^p$. Let γ, δ , and ε be real constants to be determined later. Clearly, $|\mathcal{R}_\alpha f(r, x)| \leq \mathcal{R}_\alpha |f|(r, x)$ and by Hölder's inequality

this is no greater than

$$\frac{2\alpha C_1^{1/p'}}{\pi} \left(\int_0^1 \int_{-\pi/2}^{\pi/2} |f(rs \cos \theta, x + r \sin \theta)|^p (\cos \theta)^{\gamma p} s^{\delta p} (1 - s^2)^{\varepsilon p} d\theta ds \right)^{1/p},$$

where

$$C_1 = \int_{-\pi/2}^{\pi/2} (\cos \theta)^{(2\alpha - \gamma)p'} d\theta \int_0^1 s^{-\delta p'} (1 - s^2)^{(\alpha - 1 - \varepsilon)p'} ds.$$

Using this estimate we get,

$$\begin{aligned} \|\mathcal{R}_\alpha f\|_{p,\beta}^p &\leq \frac{(2\alpha)^p C_1^{p/p'}}{\pi^p} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \int_0^{\infty} \int_0^1 \int_{-\pi/2}^{\pi/2} |f(rs \cos \theta, x + r \sin \theta)|^p \times \\ &\quad (\cos \theta)^{\gamma p} s^{\delta p} (1 - s^2)^{\varepsilon p} d\theta ds r^\beta dr dx \\ &= \frac{(2\alpha)^p C_1^{p/p'}}{\pi^p} \int_0^1 \int_{-\pi/2}^{\pi/2} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \int_0^{\infty} |f(rs \cos \theta, x + r \sin \theta)|^p \times \\ &\quad r^\beta dr dx (\cos \theta)^{\gamma p} s^{\delta p} (1 - s^2)^{\varepsilon p} d\theta ds. \end{aligned}$$

The change of variable $t = rs \cos \theta$ and $y = x + r \sin \theta$ yields

$$\begin{aligned} \|\mathcal{R}_\alpha f\|_{p,\beta}^p &\leq \frac{(2\alpha)^p C_1^{p/p'}}{\pi^p} \int_0^1 \int_{-\pi/2}^{\pi/2} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \int_0^{\infty} |f(t, y)|^p t^\beta dt dy \times \\ &\quad (\cos \theta)^{\gamma p - \beta - 1} s^{\delta p - \beta - 1} (1 - s^2)^{\varepsilon p} d\theta ds \\ &= \frac{(2\alpha)^p C_1^{p/p'} C_2}{\pi^p} \|f\|_{p,\beta}^p, \end{aligned}$$

where

$$C_2 = \int_{-\pi/2}^{\pi/2} (\cos \theta)^{\gamma p - \beta - 1} d\theta \int_0^1 s^{\delta p - \beta - 1} (1 - s^2)^{\varepsilon p} ds.$$

If there exist $\gamma, \delta,$ and ε that make the four integrals in C_1 and C_2 all finite, then \mathcal{R}_α is a bounded operator on L_β^p . The requirements are that

$$(2\alpha - \gamma)p', \quad -\delta p', \quad (\alpha - 1 - \varepsilon)p', \quad \gamma p - \beta - 1, \quad \delta p - \beta - 1, \quad \varepsilon p$$

all be greater than -1 . These conditions reduce to

$$\gamma \in (\beta/p, 2\alpha + 1/p'), \quad \delta \in (\beta/p, 1/p'), \quad \varepsilon \in (-1/p, \alpha - 1/p).$$

Since $\alpha > 0$ and $\beta < p - 1$ all three intervals are non-empty so it is possible to choose $\gamma, \delta,$ and ε that make C_1 and C_2 finite. Thus \mathcal{R}_α is a bounded operator on L_β^p .

To obtain a specific upper bound for the operator norm let $\gamma = (2\alpha p' + \beta +$

$1)/(pp')$, $\delta = (\beta + 1)/(pp')$ and $\varepsilon = (\alpha - 1)/p$. The upper bound obtained is,

$$\frac{2\alpha C_1^{1/p'} C_2^{1/p}}{\pi} = \frac{\Gamma(\alpha + 1)\Gamma(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{\beta+1}{2p})}{\Gamma(\alpha + 1 - \frac{\beta+1}{2p})\Gamma(\frac{1}{2})}.$$

To get a lower bound for the operator norm we fix $\eta > 0$ and $M > 1$, and define f by setting $f(t, y) = t^{(\eta-\beta-1)/p}$ when $(t, y) \in (0, 1) \times (-M, M)$ and $f(t, y) = 0$ otherwise. The norm of f in L_{β}^p is

$$\|f\|_{p,\beta} = \left(\int_{-M}^M \int_0^1 \left(t^{(\eta-\beta-1)/p} \right)^p t^{\beta} dt dy \right)^{1/p} = (2M/\eta)^{1/p}.$$

On the other hand, if $0 < r < 1$ and $1 - M < x < M - 1$ then for any $s \in (0, 1)$ and $\theta \in (-\pi/2, \pi/2)$, we have $0 < rs \cos \theta < 1$ and $-M < x + r \sin \theta < M$ so

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{R}_{\alpha} f(r, x) &= \frac{2\alpha}{\pi} \int_0^1 \int_{-\pi/2}^{\pi/2} (rs \cos \theta)^{(\eta-\beta-1)/p} (\cos \theta)^{2\alpha} (1 - s^2)^{\alpha-1} d\theta ds \\ &= r^{(\eta-\beta-1)/p} \frac{\Gamma(\alpha + 1)\Gamma(\frac{1}{2} + \frac{\eta-\beta-1}{2p})}{\Gamma(\alpha + 1 + \frac{\eta-\beta-1}{2p})\Gamma(\frac{1}{2})}. \end{aligned}$$

It follows that

$$\begin{aligned} \|\mathcal{R}_{\alpha} f\|_{p,\beta} &\geq \frac{\Gamma(\alpha + 1)\Gamma(\frac{1}{2} + \frac{\eta-\beta-1}{2p})}{\Gamma(\alpha + 1 + \frac{\eta-\beta-1}{2p})\Gamma(\frac{1}{2})} \left(\int_{1-M}^{M-1} \int_0^1 \left(r^{(\eta-\beta-1)/p} \right)^p r^{\beta} dr dx \right)^{1/p} \\ &= \frac{\Gamma(\alpha + 1)\Gamma(\frac{1}{2} + \frac{\eta-\beta-1}{2p})}{\Gamma(\alpha + 1 + \frac{\eta-\beta-1}{2p})\Gamma(\frac{1}{2})} (2(M - 1)/\eta)^{1/p}. \end{aligned}$$

For each η and M the ratio $\|\mathcal{R}_{\alpha} f\|_{p,\beta}/\|f\|_{p,\beta}$ is a lower bound for the operator norm of \mathcal{R}_{α} . Letting $M \rightarrow \infty$ first and then letting $\eta \rightarrow 0$ gives the lower bound,

$$\frac{\Gamma(\alpha + 1)\Gamma(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{\beta+1}{2p})}{\Gamma(\alpha + 1 - \frac{\beta+1}{2p})\Gamma(\frac{1}{2})}$$

as required.

It is important to point out the operator norms calculated in the previous four theorems are all related. We do this in the following summary.

THEOREM 2.5 *Suppose $1 \leq p \leq \infty$ and $\alpha \geq 0$. The operator \mathcal{R}_{α} is a bounded map on L_{β}^p if and only if $\beta < p - 1$. In this case the operator norm is*

$$\frac{\Gamma(\alpha + 1)\Gamma(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{\beta+1}{2p})}{\Gamma(\alpha + 1 - \frac{\beta+1}{2p})\Gamma(\frac{1}{2})}.$$

Earlier work suggests that $L_{2\alpha+1}^p$ is a natural space for the operator \mathcal{R}_{α} . In a final corollary we restrict our attention to the case $\beta = 2\alpha + 1$.

COROLLARY 2.6 *Suppose $1 \leq p \leq \infty$ and $\alpha \geq 0$. The operator \mathcal{R}_α is a bounded map on $L^p_{2\alpha+1}$ if and only if $\alpha < (p/2) - 1$. In this case the operator norm is*

$$\frac{\Gamma(\alpha + 1)\Gamma(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{\alpha+1}{p})}{\Gamma(\frac{\alpha+1}{p'})\Gamma(\frac{1}{2})}.$$

REFERENCES

- (1) C. Baccar, N. B. Hammadi, and L. T. Rachdi, Inversion formulas for Riemann-Liouville transform and its dual associated with singular partial differential operators, *International Journal of Mathematics and Mathematical Sciences*, Volume 2006, Article ID 86238, 1–26.
- (2) C. Chettaoui and K. Trimèche, New type Paley-Weiner theorems for the Dunkl transform on \mathbb{R} . *Integral Transforms and Special Functions*, **14**(2003), 97–115.
- (3) M. Dziri and L. T. Rachdi, Generalized harmonic analysis and inversion formula for Riemann-Liouville transform and its dual associated with singular partial differential operators, submitted.
- (4) M. Dziri and L. T. Rachdi, Hardy type inequalities for integral transforms associated with singular second order differential operator, *Journal of Inequalities in Pure and Applied Mathematics*, Volume 7, Issue I, Article 38, 2006.
- (5) V. S. Guliyev and M. N. Omarova (L^p, L^q) boundedness of the fractional maximal operator on the Laguerre hypergroup, *Integral Transforms and Special Functions*, **19**(2008), 633–641.
- (6) N. B. Hamadi and L. T. Rachdi, Weyl transforms associated with the Riemann-Liouville operator, *International Journal of Mathematics and Mathematical Sciences*, Volume 2006, Article ID 94768, 1–19.
- (7) A. A. Kilbas and E. V. Gromak, \mathcal{Y}_η and \mathcal{H}_η transforms in \mathcal{L}_{vr} -spaces. *Integral Transforms and Special Functions*, **13**(2002), 259–275.
- (8) A. A. Kilbas and J. J. Trujillo, Generalized Hankel transform on \mathcal{L}_{vr} -space. *Integral Transforms and Special Functions*, **9**(2000), 271–286.
- (9) M. A. Mourou and K. Trimèche, Calderon’s Reproducing Formula Associated with a Singular Differential Operator on the Half Line, *Integral Transforms and Special Functions*, **10**(2000), 101–114.
- (10) N. D. V. Nhan and D. T. Duc, Fundamental inequalities for the iterated Laplace convolution in weighted L^p spaces and their applications, *Integral Transforms and Special Functions*, **19**(2008), 655–664.
- (11) K. Trimèche, Transformation intégrale de Weyl et théorème de Paley Wiener associés à un opérateur différentiel singulier sur $(0, \infty)$, *J. Math. Pures et Appl.* **60**(1981), 51–89.
- (12) K. Trimèche, Opérateurs de permutations et analyse harmonique associés des opérateurs aux dérivées partielles. *J. Math. Pures et Appl.* **70**(1991) 1-73.